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Abstract. The Caucasian leucogeorgiinine genera Archileucogeorgia Lohmander, 1936 and Leucogeorgia Verhoeff, 1930 are revised, with Leucogeorgia being considered as a senior subjective synonym of Archileucogeorgia, syn. nov. The following new combinations are thus warranted: Leucogeorgia abchasica (Lohmander, 1936) and L. satunini (Lohmander, 1936), both comb. nov. ex Archileucogeorgia. All four previously described species, viz., L. longipes Verhoeff, 1930, L. abchasica, L. satunini and L. rediviva Golovatch, 1983, are redescribed based on new material, partly also on the type series, with a lectotype being designated for L. longipes. In addition, eleven new species of Leucogeorgia are described, both with normal (L. borealis sp. nov., L. gioi sp. nov., L. golovatchi sp. nov., L. lobata sp. nov., L. oculata sp. nov. and L. prometheus sp. nov.) and modified mouthparts (L. caudata sp. nov., L. mystax sp. nov., L. profunda sp. nov., L. redivivoides sp. nov. and L. turbanowi sp. nov., all clearly troglobionts). Additionally, a new monotypic genus, Martvilia gen. nov., is erected, with M. parva gen. et sp. nov. as the type species, another presumed troglobiont. An identification key to both genera and all 16 species of Caucasian Leucogeorgiini is presented. Several other members of this tribe are also re-examined, including a syntype male of Telsonius nycteridonis Strasser, 1976, from Greece, herewith designated as the lectotype. Troglomorphisms and mouthpart modifications, as well as the distributions and relationships within both Leucogeorgia and Leucogeorgiini, and a Leucogeorgiini species richness estimate for the western Caucasus are discussed.
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Introduction

The genus Leucogeorgia Verhoeff, 1930 (Fig. 1) was established for the hydrophilous cave-dweller L. longipes Verhoeff, 1930 found in ponds (“Pfützen”) in Rionhesi Cave (flooded nowadays) in Georgia, Caucasus. Based on highly modified mouthparts, unknown until then in the order Julida, Verhoeff (1930) also established a new family for this genus and species, the Leucogeorgiidae. However, this family was short-lived, as just a few years later Lohmander (1936) described another blind julid genus from Georgia, Archileucogeorgia Lohmander, 1936, with two species, viz., A. abchasica Lohmander, 1936 and A. satunini Lohmander, 1936 from Abkhazia. Based on the structure of the gonopods and vulvae, as well as the unmodified mouthparts, Lohmander (1936) established a new tribe, Archileucogeorgiini, for the genus Archileucogeorgia, also mentioning the possibly close relationship between Archileucogeorgia and Leucogeorgia. At the same time, he expressed doubts concerning the validity of the family Leucogeorgiidae and suggested that Leucogeorgia could be regarded as a very aberrant member of Archileucogeorgiini (Lohmander 1936). This point of view was later supported by Strasser (1974), who had also studied some species of Typhloiulus Latzel, 1884 with highly modified mouthparts. However, two years later Strasser (1976) fully accepted the tribe Archileucogeorgiini and placed therein not only both Archileucogeorgia and Leucogeorgia, but also Symius Strasser, 1974 (one species from Sardinia, Italy), Paratyphloiulus Ceuca, 1971 (two species from Spain) and Telsonius Strasser, 1976 (one species from Greece). Hoffman (1980) correctly used Leucogeorgiini as the oldest name available for this tribe, but dropped Paratyphloiulus as it had been invalidly proposed without a type species. Paratyphloiulus was later typified and validated by Mauriès (1983), who thus became its true author: Paratyphloiulus Mauriès, 1983.

Not until more than half a century since the description of the first hydrophilous cave julid with heavily modified mouthparts from the Caucasus, Golovatch (1983) published a second one: Leucogeorgia rediviva Golovatch, 1983 from the Sobachya (Dzaglis) Cave, Abkhazia. Both Archileucogeorgia and Leucogeorgia have hitherto remained accepted as genera of full rank, even though at least a few other European julid genera, including speciose ones such as Typhloiulus and Trogloiulus Manfredi, 1931, have long been known to comprise some species, always troglobionts, with modified mouthparts, as well as some others, sometimes even epigean, with normal ones. Similar mouthpart modifications, especially their brush-like appearance, sporadically occur in a few other Euro-Mediterranean cave-dwelling genera or lineages of the order Polydesmida. These are generally considered as peculiar, sporadic species-level adaptations to hydrophily associated with cavernicoly (Enghoff 1985; Antić et al. 2017).

The present paper revises the genera Archileucogeorgia and Leucogeorgia based mainly on freshly collected material. Archileucogeorgia is regarded here as a junior subjective synonym of Leucogeorgia. In addition, eleven new species of Leucogeorgia are described, as well as a new monotypic genus of Leucogeorgiini, all from the Caucasus. A dichotomous key to both genera and all 16 species of Caucasian Leucogeorgiini is also provided. Mouthpart modifications, troglomorphisms, distributions and relationships within the Caucasian Leucogeorgiini are discussed.

Material and methods

Most material was derived from the huge collection of the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, Russia, provided to us by Sergei Golovatch. Some samples date back to 1939, collected by the famous Russian cave biologist J.A. Birstein. Also, a large amount of fresh material was provided by Shalva Barjadze and Giorgi Nebieridze (Tbilisi, Georgia), Ilya S. Turbanov (Borok, Yaroslavl Region, Russia) and several other courageous cave explorers (see Material examined sections). The second author (HR) added some fresh material from a cave exploration tour in 2019.

Specimens preserved in 70% ethanol were examined with a Nikon SMZ 745T binocular stereo microscope. All taxonomically important structures were dissected and mounted in glycerine as
temporary microscope preparations and observed with a Carl Zeiss Axioscope 40 microscope. Pictures of the gonopods (and of the penes of Leucogeorgia abchasica and L. rediviva) were taken with a Canon PowerShot A80 digital camera connected to the Axioscope 40 microscope. Line drawings of the gonopods, penes and first male leg-pairs were executed using tracing paper placed on a computer monitor as well as on photographs of those structures. Pictures of the specimens and relevant habitus structures were taken using a Nikon DS-Fi2 camera with a Nikon DS-L3 camera controller attached to a Nikon SMZ 1270 binocular stereo microscope (IZB), either with a Nikon DS-Fi2 camera mounted on a Nikon SMZ25 stereo microscope (NHMW), with a Canon EOS 600D camera, or with a Keyence VHX-5000 Digital Microscope and with a Keyence VHX-5000 Digital Microscope (University of Graz). Focal stacking was completed with Zerene Stacker or Helicon Focus software. For SEM micrographs, the different body parts of the specimens were glued to a pin, placed on an SEM-stub, air-dried for two days in a glass filled with Silica gel and finally coated with gold. The SEM micrographs were taken using a JEOL JSM-6510LV (SMNG) scanning electron microscope. After examination, body parts were removed from the pins and returned to alcohol.

The distribution map was created using Google Earth Pro (ver. 7.3.0.3832) and Adobe Photoshop CS6. The final images were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS6.

With the location names Abkhazia and Central-West Georgia, we only refer to the geographical region. We completely abstain from attributing any political meaning to these names.

The names of the caves are cited fully in English transliteration. Because some of the caves are also inhabited by some rare insects and other animals that could be endangered by commercial collectors, the coordinates of the caves are abbreviated to two decimals. Interested scientists are referred to local speleology clubs, the Ilia State University, Tbilisi (IZISU) or local guides.

Museum and collection acronyms
IZB = Institute of Zoology, University of Belgrade – Faculty of Biology, Belgrade, Serbia
IZISU = Institute of Zoology, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
NHMW = Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria
NMNHS = National Museum of Natural History, Sofia, Bulgaria
SMNG = Senckenberg Museum of Natural History in Görlitz, Germany
ZMUM = Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

Species richness estimation
The potential number of Leucogeorgiini species for the Caucasus area was estimated with EstimateS ver. 9.1.0 (Colwell 2013; http://viceroy.eeb.uconn.edu/estimates/). For this, the CHAO1-estimator (Chao 1984) based on the species distribution was calculated from the underlying data in Table 1. Together with the ACE-index, the CHAO1-estimator is the main estimator for collection-based (here caves) abundance data (Gotelli & Colwell 2011). It is based on the total number of species with one confirmed locality (e.g., a cave) in relation to the total number of species with two confirmed localities. With 1000 randomized runs, the species accumulation curve (rarefaction curve) and the 95% lower and upper boundaries of confidence intervals were calculated and additionally also their extrapolation curves (for details on formulas, see Colwell et al. 2012).

Terminology and general description of the Caucasian Leucogeorgiini gonopods
To denote certain parts of the rather simple gonopods observed in the Caucasian Leucogeorgiini, we used the standard terminology for labeling the gonopods in Julidae, with some combinations and modifications (see Fig. 2). We marked the anterior gonopods as a promere (p). Promere mainly long and slender; apex rounded in anteroposterior view and with a posterior excavation surrounded by small denticles; telopodite absent; basal half with two posterior, longitudinal ridges, mesal one sometimes
Fig. 2. Gonopods, mesal view. A. *Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov., right gonopods, paratype ♂ from Kalitshona Cave (ZMUM). B. *L. longipes* Verhoeff, 1930, right gonopods, ♂ from Dolabistavi Cave (SMNG). C. *L. prometheus* sp. nov., left gonopods, non-type ♂ from Sataplia II Cave (ZMUM). D. *L. satunini* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov., right gonopods, ♂ from Kelasurskaya Cave (ZMUM). Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; l = lobe; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum.
with one seta. Flagellum (f) long, retracted into an opisthomeral membranous fold supplied with a spine, further continuing longitudinally along posterior edge of solenomere all the way to base of solenomere tip. Posterior gonopods consisting of two main parts, a mesomere (m) and an opisthomere (o). Since the mesomere is almost completely divided from the opisthomere, we prefer to use both these terms. Mesomere strongly developed, anterodistally with a mesomeral claw (mc) developed to varying degrees: long, slender, hook-shaped, robust, with or without denticles. A strongly developed mesomeral lamella (ml) (velum *sensu* Mauriès 1983 and Enghoff 1987) lying posterior to mesomeral claw. The mesomeral lamella is also variable: with a smooth or serrate distal margin, sometimes with a poorly or strongly developed lobe (l), fimbriate posteriorly. Opisthomere bipartite. Anterior branch includes solenomere (s) with a short, medium-sized or long and fimbriate tip, opening at base of tip on posterior side; anteriorly with a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch a shield-like protective lamella (pl) (paracoxite *sensu* Enghoff 1987), positioned posteromesally, straight or sometimes curved anteriad. On the mesal side of the posterior gonopods, there is an accessory membrane (am) (*sensu* Enghoff 1987) forming a pocket to connect the mesomere and opisthomere.

**Results**

**Taxonomy**

Class Diplopoda de Blainville in Gervais, 1844  
Order Julida Leach, 1815  
Family Julidae Cook, 1896  
Subfamily Oncoiulinae Verhoeff, 1909  
Tribe Leucogeorgiini Verhoeff, 1930  

Genus *Leucogeorgia* Verhoeff, 1930

*Leucogeorgia* Verhoeff, 1930: 33 (name regarded as a feminine noun).  

**Type species**

*Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930 (by monotypy).

**Diagnosis**

Differs from other members of the tribe Leucogeorgiini (see Discussion for the tribe composition), except *Martvilia* gen. nov., by the deep division of the opisthomere into two branches. It can easily be distinguished from *Martvilia* gen. nov. by the presence of a mesomeral lamella attached just below the mesomere claw (vs mesomere almost free, with basally attached lamella in *Martvilia* gen. nov.).

**Included species**

*Leucogeorgia abchasica* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov. (ex *Archileucogeorgia*)  
*Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930  
*Leucogeorgia rediviva* Golovatch, 1983  
*Leucogeorgia satunini* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov. (ex *Archileucogeorgia*)  

**New species without modified mouthparts**

*Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov.  
*Leucogeorgia gioi* sp. nov.  
*Leucogeorgia golovatchi* sp. nov.  
*Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov.  
*Leucogeorgia oculata* sp. nov.  
*Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov.
New species with modified mouthparts
Leucogeorgia caudata sp. nov.
Leucogeorgia mystax sp. nov.
Leucogeorgia profunda sp. nov.
Leucogeorgia redivivoides sp. nov.
Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov.

Previously described species

Leucogeorgia abchasica (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov.
Figs 1A, 3–6, 56–57

Archileucogeorgia abchasica Lohmander, 1936: 91.

Diagnosis

This species belongs to the group of Leucogeorgia spp. without modified mouthparts, also characterized by the presence of teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs absent in L. golovatchi sp. nov., L. lobata sp. nov. and L. prometheus sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia abchasica differs from L. satunini by the height of the mesomeral lamella, which slopes down caudad, also lacking a posterior rise (vs lamella of the same height all along and with a posterior rise in L. satunini), by the presence of short midbody setae, ca 5% of vertical diameter of rings (vs longer midbody setae, ca 10% of vertical diameter of rings in L. satunini), and by the presence of a subtriangular ventral margin on male body ring 7, with strong teeth in ventral view (vs a rounded ventral margin on male body ring 7, devoid of strong ventral teeth in L. satunini). Leucogeorgia abchasica differs from L. borealis sp. nov. by the presence of an elongate and erect mesomeral claw (vs robust in L. borealis sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia abchasica differs from L. gioi sp. nov. by the presence of a more robust and more or less strongly denticulate mesomeral claw (vs a more slender mesomeral claw with just a few teeth in L. gioi sp. nov.), by the presence of a slightly serrate mesomeral lamella (vs a strongly serrate mesomeral lamella in L. gioi sp. nov.) and by the presence of a subtriangular ventral margin on male body ring 7 (vs a strongly developed triangular ventral margin in L. gioi sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia abchasica differs from L. oculata sp. nov. by the height of the mesomeral lamella gradually decreasing caudad and lacking a posterior rise (vs a lamella of the same height all along and with a posterior rise in L. oculata sp. nov.), by the presence of an elongate and erect mesomeral claw (vs robust in L. oculata sp. nov.), and by the absence of both pigmentation and ommatidia and the presence of metazonal setae (vs a pigmented body, the presence of ommatidia and the absence of metazonal setae in L. oculata sp. nov.).

Material examined

ABKHAZIA – Gubauty District • 2 ♂♂, 4 ♀; Bzyb Mt Ridge, Hipstinsky karst Massif, Hipsta Mountain, Snezhnaya Cave, small crevice near entrance; 43.26° N, 40.72° E; Aug. 1980; V. Dolzhansky leg.; ZMUM.

Guripsh District • 4 ♀; Tsebeldinsky karst Massif, near Amtkel village, Holodnaya Rechka Canyon, Pakhuchaya Cave, depth -65 m; 43.06° N, 41.31° E; 18 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂, 5 ♀, 18 juvs; Tsebeldinsky karst Massif, near Amtkel village, Holodnaya Rechka Canyon, Bolshaya Medveditza Cave, depth -15 m; 43.06° N, 41.31° E; 17 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂, 2 juvs; Tsebeldinsky karst Massif, near Tsebelda village, Tsebeldinskaya (=Tsebelda) Cave ; 43.03° N, 41.28° E; 29 Aug. 1959; S.I. Ljovuschkin leg.; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂, 2 ♀♀, 2 juvs; same collection data as for preceding but N.T. Zalesskaja leg.; ZMUM.

Ochamchira District • 5 ♂♂, 8 ♀♀, 3 juvs; Panavsky karst Massif, near Otap village, Dzykhayskaya I Cave, 15 m from the entrance; 42.93° N, 41.52° E; 7 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM • 2 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀, 3 juvs; Panavsky karst Massif, near Otap village, Dzykhayskaya II Cave, 10 m from the entrance; 42.94° N, 41.53° E; 7 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; Panavsky karst Massif, near Otap village, Abraskila (=Achzhe-
Fig. 3. *Leucogeorgia abchasica* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov., ♂ ♂ from Abraskila Cave (ZMUM). A. Habitus, lateral view. B. Anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Body ring 7, lateral view. D. Body ring 7, ventral view. E. Posterior part of body, lateral view. F. Midbody rings, lateral view. Arrows indicate metazonal setae. Scale bars: 1 mm.
Redescription

Size and number of body rings. Males 21–37 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.5–2 mm, body with 42–51 podous rings + 1–3 apodous rings + telson. Females 22–35 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.6–2.1 mm, body with 41–51 podous rings + 0–2 apodous rings + telson.

Colour (Figs 1A, 3). Live colouration pigmentationless, pallid. In alcohol from pale yellow to dark brown.

Head (Fig. 3B). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum with three (four in one specimen) labral teeth, four supralabral and 14–17 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with rhomboid promentum. Lamellae linguales with 3+3–5+5 setae, stipites with 3+3 long distolateral setae and 2+3–5+5 short medial setae. Antennae 3 mm long (in males with 2 mm vertical diameter of the body rings), their length 150% of vertical diameter of the largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.2 (I), 0.7 (II), 0.61 (III), 0.53 (IV), 0.57 (V), 0.27 (VI), 0.09 (VII) and 0.03 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 3.8 (II), 3 (III), 2.8 (IV), 2.2 (V), 1.1 (VI) and 0.5 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

Body rings (Fig. 3F). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Metazonal setae short, length of midbody setae ca 5% of vertical diameter of rings.

Telson (Fig. 3E). Epiproct variable, with an almost absent to short and blunt preanal process. Paraprocts rounded, setose. Hypoproct without any modifications.

Legs in males. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Fig. 4C–E), with three complete podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 4–9 setae; femur, postfemur and tibiotarsus coalesced; femur with 2–3 setae; postfemur with two setae; tibiotarsus with a small distal lobe (tarsal remnant), sometimes also with one short seta. Podomeres slightly tuberculate. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads well developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

Ventral margin of male body ring 7 (Fig. 3C–D). Well-developed, more or less subtriangular in lateral view, with strong teeth in ventral view.

Penes (Fig. 4G). In form of a short trapezoid, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

Gonopods (Figs 4F, 5–6). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum; apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two poorly developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a well-developed and denticulate mesosomal claw (mc); mesosomal lamella (ml) mainly flattened, sometimes poorly elevated in central part, slightly serrate, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate
Fig. 4. *Leucogeorgia abchasica* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov. (A–F: ♂ from Bolshaya Medveditza Cave (ZMUM); G: ♂ from Uatapachy Cave (ZMUM)). A. Head, anterior view. B. Head, ventral view. C. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. D. Left leg 1, lateral view. E. Left leg 1, distolateral view. F. Right gonopods, mesal view. G. Penes, anterior view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A–B = 0.2 mm; C, F–G = 0.1 mm; D–E = 0.05 mm.
velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl), straight or sometimes curved anteriad. Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Fig. 5.** *Leucogeorgia abchasica* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov., left gonopods, mesal views (ZMUM). 
A. ♂ from Tsebelinskaya Cave. B. ♂ from Abraskila Cave. C. ♂ from Bolshaya Medveditza Cave. D. ♂ from Snezhnaya Cave. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
**Type locality**

Kelasurskaya Cave (43.02° N, 41.14° E), Sukhum District, Abkhazia.

---

**Fig. 6.** *Leucogeorgia abchasica* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov., right gonopods, mesal views (ZMUM).  
A. ♂ from Dzykhayskaya I Cave. B. ♂ from Abraskila Cave. C. ♂ from Uatapachy Cave. D. ♂ from Samshitovaya Cave. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
Distribution
Known only from caves in the Gudauty, Gulripsh, Sukhum and Ochamchira districts of Abkhazia (Fig. 57, green triangle).

Remarks
Several specimens have been found in a small crevice near the entrance to the Snezhnaya Cave where *Leucogeorgia rediviva* lives in the deeper parts. In Kelasurskaya Cave, *L. abchasica* occurs together with *L. satunini* and an unidentified species of *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts.

See video about this species from the Abraskila (= Achzhe-Tyz-Gua, Golova Otapa) Cave: www.youtube.com/watch?v=sRDQ1iByPWA&t=35s

*Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930
Figs 2B, 7–10, 56, 58

*Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930: 34.

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with modified mouthparts and it differs from all of them by several gonopodal and habitus structures, viz., the absence of teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs presence in all other *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts), the absence of a process on the epiproct (vs presence of a very short to long process in all other *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts), and the presence of a characteristic distal row of 3–5 long setae on the lamellae linguales (vs absence of such a row of setae in other *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts or presence of 9–10 setae in two irregular rows in *L. mystax* sp. nov.).

Material examined

**Lectotype** (here designated)
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Kutaisi District • ♂; Imereti, Rionhesi Cave; 42.29° N, 42.75° E; “Kaukasus, Rion Höhle bei Kutais, Pfützen, 11.11.1929, Borutzky Dr. leg., Verhoeff don. 1940”; alcohol material; NHMW 3063.

**Paralectotype**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Kutaisi District • 1 ♂; same collection data as for lectotype; NHMW 9980.

**Other material**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Ambrolauri District • 1 ♂; Racha karst Massif, 1 km from Velevi village, Dolabistavi Cave, dark zone; 42.45° N, 43.17° E; 13 Oct. 2014; S. Barjadze leg.; SMNG • 2 ♀♀♀; Verkhiye Tlugi village, Sakishore Cave, beyond siphon; 42.44° N, 43.16° E; 20 Jan. 1987; V. Bogdanov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same collection data as for preceding; IZB. – Chitatura District • 2 ♂♀♀; Sveri village, Kotias Cave; 42.21° N, 43.32° E; 1 Mar. 2018; Z. Tsutsiridze, T. Arabuli and G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU. – Kutaisi District • 1 ♂; Tskhal-Tsiteli Cave; 42.27° N, 42.73° E; 1 Aug. 1939; J. Birstein leg.; ZMUM.

Redescription

**Size and number of body rings.** Males 19–20 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.3–1.4 mm, body with 33–36 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson. Females 18–22 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.5–1.6 mm, body with 31–37 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson.
Fig. 7. *Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930. A. Paralectotype ♂ (NHMW 9980), habitus, lateral view. B–D. Lectotype ♂ (NHMW 3063). B. Habitus, lateral view. C. Anterior part of body, lateral view. D. Posterior part of body, lateral view. Photos: Oliver Macek (NHMW). Scale bars: A–B = 1 mm; C–D = 0.5 mm.
Fig. 8. *Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930 (A–D, F–G: ♂♂ from Kotias Cave (IZISU); E: ♂ from Dolabistavi Cave (SMNG)).

A. Habitus, lateral view.

B. Anterior part of body, anterolateral view.

C. Head, anterodorsal view.

D. Telson, lateral view.

E. Telson, ventral view.

F. Body ring 7, lateral view.

G. Midbody rings, lateral view. Arrows indicate metazonal setae. Scale bars: A = 5 mm; B, D, F = 1 mm; C, E, G = 0.5 mm.
COLOUR (Figs 7–8). Specimens in alcohol yellowish white to yellowish brown; a recently collected male greyish white.

HEAD (Figs 8B–C, 9B–E). Without ommatidia. Labrum without labral teeth, with four supralabral and 12–19 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with rhomboid promentum; lamellae linguales with 2+2–3+3 shorter basal setae and 3+5 or 4+4 long distal setae in a transverse row; stipites with 3+3–4+4 distolateral setae and 5+5–9+9 medial setae. Antennae 1.8 mm long (in male from Kotias Cave), their length ca 130% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.16 (I), 0.44 (II), 0.33 (III), 0.27 (IV), 0.35 (V), 0.15 (VI), 0.08 (VII) and 0.02 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 2.7 (II), 1.9 (III), 1.6 (IV), 1.8 (V), 0.9 (VI) and 0.7 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

BODY RINGS (Fig. 8G). Ventrolateral sides of metazonae with longitudinal striations; dorsal and dorsolateral sides smooth. Length of midbody setae ca 6% of vertical diameter of rings.

TELSON (Figs 7D, 8D–E). Epiproct without preanal process. Paraprocts rounded, setose, mesal edges strongly bulging, resembling lips. Hypoproct more or less lanceolate, with a slightly protruding mesodistal margin and two long apical setae.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 9A, 10D), with three complete podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 3–5 setae; femur, postfemur and tibiotarsus coalesced; femur with three setae; postfemur with one seta. Tip slightly tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed, more or less clearly visible on pregonopodal legs, only remnants on postgonopodal legs.

VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 8F). Low, more subquadrate in lateral view.

PENES. Not checked.

GONOPODS (Figs 2B, 9F, 10A–C). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a hook-shaped mesomeral claw (mc), without denticles; mesomeral lamella (ml) high, slightly serrate, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

Distribution

Known from five caves in Kutaisi, Ambrolauri and Chiatura districts in Central-West Georgia (Fig. 58, blue square).

Remarks

The type locality Rionhesi (= Sapichkhia) Cave is submerged due to the construction of the Rioni Hydroelectric Power Station near Kutaisi, in the early 1930s. This species was declared as probably extinct by Golovatch (1983, 1985) and Barjadze et al. (2018), but now with the discovery of new specimens in four additional caves in the same region, it has really been ‘brought back to life’.

Verhoeff (1930) stated that specimens he examined had been found in small ponds. In addition to this, two males collected from the Kotias Cave were observed crawling on stones under the water (G. Nebieridze pers. comm.). In the same cave, L. longipes lives in sympatry with L. gioi sp. nov., a species with normal mouthparts.
**Fig. 9.** *Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930, ♂ from Dolabistavi Cave (SMNG). A. Left leg 1, lateral view. B. Head, ventral view. C. Head, anterior view. D. Head, lateral view. E. Anterior part of head, ventral view. F. Right gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A, E = 0.05 mm; B–D = 0.2 mm; F = 0.1 mm.
Together with *L. gioi* sp. nov., these species represent the southeasternmost records of the genus *Leucogeorgia*.

**Fig. 10.** *Leucogeorgia longipes* Verhoeff, 1930, ♂ from Kotias Cave (IZISU). A. Left gonopods, mesal view. B. Right promere, lateral view. C. Left gonopods, lateral view (flipped). D. Right leg 1, anterior view. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
**Diagnosis**

This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with modified mouthparts and with teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs absence of teeth in *L. longipes*). *Leucogeorgia rediviva* differs from *L. mystax* sp. nov., *L. profunda* sp. nov. and *L. turbanovi* sp. nov. by having a mesomeral claw that does not directly continue with the margin of the lamella, but is with a clear connection to the mesal side (vs a mesomeral claw that continues directly with the margin of the lamella, both parts being fully coalesced in *L. mystax* sp. nov., *L. profunda* sp. nov. and *L. turbanovi* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia rediviva* differs from *L. caudata* sp. nov. by having a more slender mesomeral claw and by the absence of a very long and sharp process on the epiproct, with a hyaline tip (vs a more robust mesomeral claw and the presence of a long and sharp process with a hyaline tip in *L. caudata* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia rediviva* differs from the superficially most similar *L. redivivoides* sp. nov. by having a somewhat more smooth and high central part of the mesomeral lamella, in the form of a lobe (vs the mesomeral lamella flattened, slightly denticulate in the central part in *L. redivivoides* sp. nov.), a lanceolate hypoproct (vs a subrhomboid hypoproct in *L. redivivoides* sp. nov.), a well-developed, rounded, ventral margin of male body ring 7 (vs ventral margin of male body ring 7 low, more squarish, with a right posterior angle in *L. redivivoides* sp. nov.) and a somewhat more elongate body with 38–47 podous rings in males (vs body more stocky, with 28–35 podous rings in males of *L. redivivoides* sp. nov.).

**Material examined**

ABKHAZIA – GUDAUTY District • 1 ♂; Gumishkhinsky karst Massif, Novyi Afon, Novoafonskaya (= New Athos) Cave, hall Apsny; 43.09° N, 40.81° E; 20 May 2016; S.A. Kapralov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; Gumishkhinsky karst Massif, Gumishkha Mountain, near Khabyu village, Khabyu Cave; 43.20°N, 40.79°E; 1990; V. Kiselev leg.; SMNG • 2 ♂♂; Bzyb Mt Ridge, Khipstinsky karst Massif, Khipsta Mountain, Snezhnaya Cave, depth -780 m; 43.26° N, 40.72° E; 21 Feb. 1983; E. Laionas leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same locality as for preceding; 7 Jun. 1985; A. Kritsky leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same locality as for preceding; 2 Feb. 2015; S. Kebez and O. Lebedeva leg.; IZB • 1 ♂; same locality as for preceding, depth -1300 m, between Iks and Penelopa halls; 13 Aug. 2017; A.S. Tyagunova leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; ZMUM. Redescription

**Size and number of body rings.** Males 26–35 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 2–2.3 mm, body with 38–47 podous rings + 0–2 apodous rings + telson. Male from Novoafonskaya Cave 33 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 2.1 mm, body with 40 podous rings + 0 apodous ring + telson.

**Colour (Fig. 11).** In alcohol from pale yellow to dark brown.
Fig. 11. *Leucogeorgia rediviva* Golovatch, 1983 (A–G: ♂ from Novoafonskaya Cave (ZMUM); H: ♂ from Snezhnaya Cave (ZMUM)). **A**. Habitus, lateral view. **B**. Head, lateral view. **C**. Head, anterior view. **D**. Body ring 7, lateral view. **E**. Telson, posterior view. **F**. Midbody rings, lateral view. **G**. Telson, lateral view. **H**. Telson, lateral view. Arrows indicate three reduced labral teeth on C, or metazonal setae on F. Scale bars: 1 mm.
vertical diameter of largest body rings. Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**Body Rings** (Fig. 11F). Ventral and ventrolateral sides of metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Dorsal side with poorly visible striations. Length of midbody setae ca 6% of vertical diameter of rings.

**Telson** (Fig. 11E, G–H). Epiproct variable, with a short to somewhat longer, blunt or somewhat acuminate preanal process. Paraprocts rounded, setose. Hypoproct lanceolate, with seven long setae in male from Novaofonskaya Cave.

**Legs in Males.** First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Fig. 12A–B), with three complete podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 5–6 setae; femur, postfemur and tibiotarsus coalesced; femur with 3–5 setae; postfemur with one seta; tibiotarsus with a small distal lobe (tarsal remnant). Tip tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

**Ventral Margin of Body Ring 7** (Fig. 11D). Well-developed, rounded in lateral view.

**Penes** (Fig. 12F). Elongate, with two subtriangular apical lobes.

**Gonopods** (Figs 12D, 13). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a well-developed denticulate mesomeral claw (mc); mesomeral lamella (ml) high, convex in central part, distal margin smooth, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Type locality**
Verkhne-Esherskaya Cave (= Sobachya = Dzaglis), 43.08° N, 40.91° E, near Verkhnyaya Eshera village, Sukhum District, Abkhazia.

**Distribution**
Known only from four caves in the Gudauty and Sukhum districts in Abkhazia (Fig. 57, violet square).

**Remarks**
Given that the holotype of *L. rediviva* appears to have been lost (S. Golovatch pers. comm.), while the female paratype is still available in the ZMUM collection, our identification of this species is based solely on the original description of Golovatch (1983). We have assigned to *L. rediviva* only males from three caves, viz., Snezhnaya, Novaofonskaya and Khabyu, of which the Novaofonskaya Cave is the closest (just a few kilometers away) to the type locality. The characters of the males from these caves generally coincide with Golovatch’s (1983) description, albeit with some small exceptions. Both males from Novaofonskaya and Khabyu caves are characterized by the presence of three small, reduced labral teeth, while *L. rediviva* was characterized by Golovatch (1983) by the absence of labral teeth, a character shared with other congeners with modified mouthparts. Modified mouthparts, including the absence of labral teeth, are well-known not only in *Leucogeorgia*, but also in some species of *Typhloiulus* Latzel, 1884 and *Trogloiulus* Manfredi, 1931. Recently, one of us (DA) examined specimens of *Typhloiulus* with modified mouthparts from a cave in Italy, where intrapopulation variability was observed in the structure of the labrum, which may be toothless or with three teeth developed to varying degrees, but
Fig. 12. Leucogeorgia rediviva Golovatch, 1983, ♂ from Khabyu Cave (SMNG). A. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. B. Left leg 1, lateral view. C. Head, ventral view. D. Left gonopods, mesal view. E. Detail of labrum, ventral view. F. Penes, posterior view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A–B, D–E = 0.1 mm; C, F = 0.2 mm.
never developed as in the congeners with normal mouthparts. Thus, we do not consider the presence of three reduced labral teeth in some specimens to be an important feature. Males from the Snezhnaya Cave possess a somewhat longer and more robust process on the epiproct (see Fig. 11G–H). Yet, this process can be variable in some species as well, even within the same population (see below and Antić et al. 2018b: 261, fig. 1e–g), and in this case we do not allot it too much importance either.

The Novoafonskaya Cave is the type locality of *L. caudata* sp. nov., also with modified mouthparts, where the two species are living at least sympatrically. The presence of two species of *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts in the same cave is not rare, since there are a few more examples where two species are sympatric or even syntopic in the same cave (see below).

**Fig. 13.** *Leucogeorgia rediviva* Golovatch, 1983, gonopods. A. ♂ from Khabyu Cave, right gonopods, mesal view (SMNG). B. ♂ from Novoafonskaya Cave, left gonopods, lateral view (ZMUM). C. ♂ from Novoafonskaya Cave, right gonopods, mesal view (ZMUM). D. ♂ from Snezhnaya Cave, left gonopods, lateral view (ZMUM). E. ♂ from Snezhnaya Cave, right gonopods, mesal view (ZMUM). Scale bars: 0.3 mm.
In the Snezhnaya Cave, several specimens were observed underwater. Some of them were almost motionless and seemed to ‘graze’ the sediment, while others were poorly mobile and attached to the bottom, despite a strong water current (I. Turbanov pers. comm.).

**Leucogeorgia satunini** (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov.
Figs 2D, 14–15, 56–57

*Archileucogeorgia satunini* Lohmander, 1936: 95.

**Diagnosis**

This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. without modified mouthparts and with teeth on the mesosomal claw (vs their absence in *L. golovatchi* sp. nov., *L. lobata* sp. nov. and *L. prometheus* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia satunini* differs from *L. abchasica* by having a mesosomal lamella of the same height all along and the presence of a posterior rise (vs height of the mesosomal lamella gradually decreasing caudal, also lacking a posterior rise in *L. abchasica*), and by having a rounded ventral margin of male body ring 7 without strong teeth in ventral view (vs subtriangular ventral margin of male body ring 7 with strong teeth in ventral view in *L. abchasica*). *Leucogeorgia satunini* differs from both *L. borealis* sp. nov. and *L. oculata* sp. nov. by having a mesosomal claw (vs stout in *L. borealis* sp. nov. and *L. oculata* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia satunini* differs from *L. gioi* sp. nov. by having a more robust and strongly denticulate mesosomal claw (vs mesosomal claw being more slender and with just a few teeth in *L. gioi* sp. nov.) and a not too strongly denticulate mesosomal lamella (vs mesosomal lamella strongly denticulate in *L. gioi* sp. nov.). From *L. oculata* sp. nov., *L. satunini* in addition differs by the absence of both pigmentation and ommatidia (vs a pigmented body and ommatidia present in *L. oculata* sp. nov.). Besides all this, *L. satunini* differs from all of the previously mentioned species by the presence of longer midbody metazonal setae, these being ca 10% of vertical diameter of rings (vs either midbody metazonal setae 5–6 % of vertical diameter of rings in *L. abchasica*, *L. borealis* sp. nov. and *L. gioi* sp. nov. or metazonal setae completely absent in *L. oculata* sp. nov.).

**Material examined**

ABKHAZIA – Gulripsh District • 1 ♂, 3 ♀♀, 8 juvs; Tsebeldinsky karst Massif, near Nizhniy Kyalasur village, Kelasurskaya Cave, depth -95 m; 43.02° N, 41.14° E; 3 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM.

**Redescription**

**SIZE AND NUMBER OF BODY RINGS.** Male 17 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1 mm, body with 43 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Females 14–16.5 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 0.95–1.2 mm, body with 38–40 podous rings + 1–2 apodous rings + telson.

**COLOUR** (Fig. 14). Yellowish white in alcohol.

**HEAD** (Figs 14B, 15A). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum with three labral teeth, four supralabral setae and 14 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with rhomboid promentum. Lamellae linguales with 4+4 setae, stipites with 3+3 distolateral and 5+4 medial setae. Antennae 1.5 mm long in male, their length 150% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.08 (I), 0.34 (II), 0.27 (III), 0.24 (IV), 0.28 (V), 0.17 (VI), 0.1 (VII) and 0.02 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 3.4 (II), 2.5 (III), 2 (IV), 2.1 (V), 1.1 (VI) and 1 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**BODY RINGS** (Fig. 14C). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Metazonal setae relatively long, length of midbody setae ca 10% of vertical diameter of rings.
Fig. 14. *Leucogeorgia satunini* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov., ♀ and ♂ from Klasurskaya Cave (ZMUM). A. ♀, habitus, lateral view. B. ♀, anterior part of body, lateral view. C. ♂, midbody rings, lateral view. D. ♂, body ring 7, lateral view. E. ♂, body ring 7, ventral view. F. ♂, posterior part of body, lateral view. Scale bars: 1 mm.
Fig. 15. *Leucogeorgia satunini* (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov., ♂ from Kelasurskaya Cave (ZMUM). A. Head, ventral view. B. Right gonopods, mesal view. C. Left gonopods, mesal view. D. Left gonopods, lateral view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: 0.1 mm.
TELSON (Fig. 14F). Epiproct with a very short and blunt preanal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, with numerous setae. Hypoproct without any modifications.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs lost during SEM manipulations. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads well developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 14D–E). Well-developed, rounded in lateral view, without strong teeth in ventral view.

PENES. Not examined.

GONOPODS (Figs 2D, 15B–D). Promere (p) long and slender, slightly wider in central part, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a well-developed and denticulate mesomeral claw (mc); mesomeral lamella of same height as entire length, with a posterior rise, distal margin smooth, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

Type locality
Canyon of the Kelasuri River, Sukhum and Gulripsh districts, Abkhazia.

Distribution
Known only from three localities in Sukhum and Gulripsh districts (Fig. 57, black triangle).

Remarks
In the Kelasurskaya Cave, this species lives together with L. abchasica and an unidentified Leucogeorgia with modified mouthparts.

New species without modified mouthparts

*Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D8CFFCD0-8D33-42A0-B7FD-E8FDE92E99E2
Figs 16–18, 56–57

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. without modified mouthparts and with teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs absent in *L. golovatchi* sp. nov., *L. lobata* sp. nov. and *L. prometheus* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov. differs from *L. abchasica*, *L. satunini* and *L. gioi* sp. nov. by having a stout mesomeral claw (vs elongate and slender in *L. abchasica*, *L. satunini* and *L. gioi* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov. differs from *L. oculata* sp. nov. by having a strongly serrate mesomeral lamella without a posterior rise (vs lamella non-serrate and with a posterior rise in *L. oculata* sp. nov.), and in the absence both of pigmentation and ommatidia, and the presence of metazonal setae (vs a pigmented body, ommatidia present and metazonal setae absent in *L. oculata* sp. nov.).

Etymology
From the Latin ‘boreas’ (= ‘north’), reflecting its having the northernmost species in the genus. Adjective.
Fig. 16. *Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov., types from Fanagoriyskaya Cave (ZMUM). A. Paratype ♀, habitus, lateral view. B. Paratype ♀, anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Paratype ♂, posterior part of body, lateral view. D. Holotype ♂, body ring 7, lateral view. E. Paratype ♀, midbody rings, lateral view. Arrow indicated metazonal seta. Scale bars: 1 mm.
Type material

Holotype
RUSSIA • ♂; Krasnodar Province, near Goryachiy Klyuch, NW karst area of Greater Caucasus, Fanagoriyskaya (= Bolshaya Fanagoriyskaya, = Psekupskaya) Cave; 44.47° N, 38.98° E; 3 Nov. 1943; J. Birstein leg.; ZMUM.

Paratypes
RUSSIA • 1 ♂, 1 ♀, 2 juvs; same collection data as for holotype but 8 Jul. 1961; S.I. Ljovuschkin leg.; ZMUM • 1 juv.; same collection data as for holotype but 19 May 1983; S.I. Golovatch leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype but 20 Sep. 1968; ZMUM.

Description

Size and number of body rings. Holotype male 24 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.5 mm, body with 43 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype male 28 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.55 mm, body with 49 podous rings + 2 apodous rings + telson. Paratype females 21 and 24 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.4–1.5 mm, body with 37 or 44 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson.

Colour (Fig. 16). Yellowish white in alcohol.

Head (Figs 16B, 17C). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum with three labral teeth, four supralabral setae and 17 labral setae in paratype male. Gnathochilarium with a rhomboid promentum; lamellae linguales with 4+4 setae, stipites with 3+3 distolateral and 5+6 medial setae. Antennae 1.9 mm long in smaller paratype female, their length ca 140% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.13 (I), 0.45 (II), 0.36 (III), 0.30 (IV), 0.40 (V), 0.18 (VI), 0.06 (VII) and 0.02 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 3 (II), 2.4 (III), 2 (IV), 2.7 (V), 1 (VI) and 0.5 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

Body rings (Fig. 16E). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 5% of vertical diameter of rings.

Telson (Fig. 16C). Epiproct with a short and blunt preanal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, setose. Hypoproct without any modifications.

Legs in males. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 17A–B, 18D–E), with three complete podomeres; coxa with two setae; prefemur with four setae; femur, postfemur and tibiotarsus coalesced; femur with two setae; postfemur with one seta; tibiotarsus with a prominent distal lobe (tarsal remnant). Tip slightly tuberculated. Post femoral and tibial ventral pads well developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

Ventral margin of male body ring 7 (Fig. 16D). Poorly developed, low, rounded in lateral view.

Penes (Fig. 18C). In form of a short trapezoid, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

Gonopods (Figs 17D, 18A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a well-developed, robust and denticulate mesosomal claw (mc); mesosomal lamella (ml) convex in central part, distal margin serrate, posterior part finely fimbriated. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s), with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch
of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally through an accessory membrane (am).

Fig. 17. *Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Fanagoriyskaya Cave (ZMUM). A. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. B. Right leg 1, lateral view. C. Head, ventral view. D. Left gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A–B = 0.05 mm; C = 0.2 mm; D = 0.1 mm.
Distribution
Known only from its type locality (Fig. 57, blue triangle).

Remarks
This is the northwesternmost record of the entire genus.

Fig. 18. *Leucogeorgia borealis* sp. nov., holotype ♂ from Fanagoriyskaya Cave (ZMUM). A. Right gonopods, mesal view. B. Right gonopods, lateral view. C. Penes, posterior view. D. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. E. Right leg 1, lateral view. Scale bar: 0.1 mm.
Leucogeorgia gioi sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A102B926-4299-4931-A6D7-F026A81CDE57
Figs 1C, 19–21, 56, 58

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of Leucogeorgia spp. without modified mouthparts and with teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs absent from L. golovatchi sp. nov., L. lobata sp. nov. and L. prometheus sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia gioi sp. nov. differs from both L. abchasica and L. satunini by having a more slender mesomeral claw with just a few teeth (vs a more robust, more or less strongly denticulate mesomeral claw in L. abchasica and L. satunini) and a strongly serrate mesomeral lamella (vs mesomeral lamella not too strongly serrate in L. abchasica and L. satunini). Leucogeorgia gioi sp. nov. differs from L. borealis sp. nov. and L. oculata sp. nov. by having an elongate and erect mesomeral claw with a few teeth (vs a stout mesomeral claw strongly denticulate in L. borealis sp. nov. and L. oculata sp. nov.).

Etymology
The new species is dedicated to its collector, Giorgi Gio Nebieridze from Georgia. Noun in the genitive case.

Material examined

Holotype
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Chiatura District • ♂; village Gundaeti, Sachinkia Cave; 42.23° N, 43.32° E; 2 Mar. 2018; G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU.

Paratypes
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Chiatura District • 1 ♂, 1 ♀, 1 juv.; same collection data as for holotype; IZISU.

Other material
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Chiatura District • 2 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀, 3 juvs; Rgani to Vachevi, Kokozouri Cave; 42.32° N, 43.22° E; 21 Nov. 2017; G. Nebieridze and S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding but 15 Jun. 2019; H. Reip leg.; SMNG • 1 ♂; village Sveri, Kotias Cave; 42.21° N, 43.32° E; Nov. 2018; G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♂; Shvilobisa Cave; 42.32° N, 43.26° E; Nov. 2018; G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♀; Devis Khvreli Cave; 42.31° N, 43.24° E; Nov. 2018; G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU.

Description
SIZE AND NUMBER OF BODY RINGS. Holotype male 22 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.3 mm, body with 50 podous rings + 4 apodous rings + telson. Paratype male 32 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.6 mm, body with 67 podous rings + 0 apodous rings + telson. Females 27–31 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body rings 1.4–1.7, body with 58–62 podous rings + 1–3 apodous rings + telson.

COLOUR (Figs 1C, 19). Live colouration yellowish white. Greyish white in alcohol.
Fig. 19. *Leucogeorgia gioi* sp. nov., types from Sachinkia Cave (IZISU). **A.** Holotype ♂, habitus, dorsal view. **B.** Paratype ♀, anterior part of body, lateral view. **C.** Holotype, posterior part of body, lateral view. **D.** Paratype ♂, midbody rings, lateral view. **E.** Paratype ♂, body ring 7, lateral view. Arrows indicate metazonal setae. Scale bars: A = 5 mm; B–E = 1 mm.
0.8 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**BODY RINGS** (Fig. 19D). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 6% of vertical diameter of rings.

**TELSON** (Fig. 19C). Epiproct with a very short and blunt preanal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, with numerous setae. Hypoproct without any modifications.

**LEGS IN MALES.** First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Fig. 21E–F), with three podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with five setae; femora, postfemora, and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with 3–4 setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe (tarsal remnant). Tip tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads well developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

**PENES** (Fig. 21D). In form of a short trapezoid, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

**VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7** (Fig. 19E). Well-developed, subtriangular in lateral view.

**GONOPODS** (Figs 20, 21A–C). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a slender mesomeral claw (mc) with just a few teeth; mesomeral lamella (ml) with a strongly serrate distal margin, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a long tip significantly exceeding posterior part of lamella in height, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Distribution**

Known only from four caves in the Chiatura district (Fig. 58, green triangles).

---

**Fig. 20. Leucogorgia gioi sp. nov., gonopods, lateral views (IZISU).** A. ♂ from Kotias Cave. B. ♂ from Shvilobisa Cave.
Fig. 21. Leucogeorgia gioi sp. nov., holotype ♂ from Sachinkia Cave (IZISU). A. Right gonopods, mesal view. B. Left gonopods, lateral view. C. Left promere, lateral view. D. Penes, posterior view. E. Right leg 1, lateral view. F. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
Remarks
In the Kotias Cave, this species lives in sympatry with *L. longipes*, which has modified mouthparts; these two species represent the southeasternmost records of the genus *Leucogeorgia*.

*Leucogeorgia golovatchi* sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:AFC4B93A-128A-4FF6-9E97-726F4DDE4CA1
Figs 22–24, 56, 58

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with neither modified mouthparts nor teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs teeth present in *L. abchasica*, *L. borealis* sp. nov., *L. gioi* sp. nov., *L. oculata* sp. nov. and *L. satunini*). *Leucogeorgia golovatchi* sp. nov. differs from *L. lobata* sp. nov. by the absence of a strongly developed lobe on the mesomeral lamella (vs presence of a strongly developed lobe in *L. lobata* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia golovatchi* sp. nov. differs from *L. prometheus* sp. nov. by both a shorter mesomeral claw and a long solenomere clearly exceeding the height of the mesomeral lamella (vs a longer mesomeral claw and a short solenomere not exceeding the height of the mesomeral lamella in *L. prometheus* sp. nov.). Additionally, *L. golovatchi* sp. nov. clearly differs from all other congeners by having strongly developed ventral lobes on body ring 2 in both sexes (vs absence of such lobes in all other congeners).

Etymology
This new species is dedicated to Sergei Golovatch, one of the collectors, a well-known diplopodologist worldwide, and our good friend and colleague. His collection of millipedes from the Caucasus, now in ZMUM, is one of the largest and most complete. Noun in the genitive case.

Material examined
Holotype
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Racha-Lechkhumi • ♂; Ambrolauri District, near Gogoleti, Tsakhi (= Gogoleti) Cave; 42.54° N, 42.90° E; 30 Jul. 1939; J. Birstein leg.; ZMUM.

Paratypes
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Racha-Lechkhumi • 8 ♂♂, 1 ♀, 6 juvs; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype; IZB • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype; SMNG; • 7 ♂♂, 3 ♀♀, 7 juvs (mainly fragmented); same collection data as for holotype but 9 Aug. 1974; S.I. Golovatch leg.; ZMUM.

Description
Size and number of body rings. Holotype male 25 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.6 mm, body with 50 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype males 22–29 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.3–1.8 mm, body with 43–52 podous rings + 0–2 apodous rings + telson. Paratype females 21–29 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.3–1.8 mm, body with 46–50 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson.

Colour (Fig. 22). Yellowish white in alcohol.

Head (Figs 22B, 23C). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum with three labral teeth, four supralabral setae and 15 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with rhomboid promentum. Lamellae linguales with 5+5 setae, stipites with 3+3 distolateral and 11+11 medial setae. Antennae 2.3 mm long in holotype.
Fig. 22. *Leucogeorgia golovatchi* sp. nov., type ♀♂ from Tsakhi Cave (ZMUM) (A–D: holotype; E–G: paratype). A. Habitus, lateral view. B. Anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Posterior part of body, lateral view. D. Midbody rings, lateral view. E. Body ring 2, lateral view. F. Body ring 2, anterior view. G. Body ring 7, lateral view. Scale bars: A–D = 1 mm; E–G = 0.5 mm.
male, their length ca 140% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.12 (I), 0.47 (II), 0.41 (III), 0.4 (IV), 0.46 (V), 0.29 (VI), 0.12 (VII) and 0.03 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 3 (II), 3 (III), 2.7 (IV), 2.4 (V), 1.6 (VI) and 0.9 (VII). Antennomeres

![Fig. 23. Leucogeorgia golovatchi sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Tsakhi Cave (ZMUM). A. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. B. Right leg 1, lateral view. C. Head, ventral view. D. Right gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A, C–D = 0.1 mm; B = 0.05 mm.](image-url)
Fig. 24. *Leucogeorgia golovatchi* sp. nov., type ♂♂ from Tsakhi Cave (ZMUM). A. Holotype, right gonopods, mesal view. B. Holotype, left gonopods, lateral view. C. Paratype, penes, posterior view. D. Paratype, leg-pair 1, anterior view. E. Right leg 1, lateral view. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**Body rings** (Fig. 22D–F). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 5% of vertical diameter of rings. Body ring 2 with strongly developed ventral lobes (Fig. 22B, E–F), rounded in lateral view.

**Telson** (Fig. 22C). Epiproct with a very short and blunt preanal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, with numerous setae. Hypoproct without any modifications.

**Legs in males.** First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 23A–B, 24D–E), with three complete podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 8–10 setae; femur, postfemur and tibiotarsus coalesced; femur with 2–3 setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsus with a short distal lobe (tarsal remnant). Tip slightly tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads well-developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

**Penes** (Fig. 24C). In form of a short trapezoid, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

**Ventral margin of male body ring 7** (Fig. 22G). Small, rounded in lateral view.

**Gonopods** (Figs 23D, 24A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part with poorly denticulated margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a slender mesomeral claw (mc) lacking teeth; mesomeral lamella (ml) gradually decreasing in height posteriad, distal margin serrate, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a long tip exceeding posterior part of lamella, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Distribution**

Known only from its type locality (Fig. 58, orange triangle).

*Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov.

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A52F58C6-7035-48FA-924D-6F237089CE7C](urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A52F58C6-7035-48FA-924D-6F237089CE7C)  
Figs 1D, 2A, 25–26, 27A–C, 28, 56, 58

**Diagnosis**

This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with neither modified mouthparts nor teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs teeth present in *L. abchasica*, *L. borealis* sp. nov., *L. gioi* sp. nov., *L. oculata* sp. nov. and *L. satunini*). *Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov. differs from *L. golovatchi* sp. nov. and *L. prometheus* sp. nov. by having a strongly developed lobe on the mesomeral lamella, with a deep rift between mesomeral claw and the lobe (vs absence of such a lobe or rift in *L. golovatchi* sp. nov. or sometimes a poorly developed lobe without a deep rift between the mesomeral claw and lobe in *L. prometheus* sp. nov.).

**Etymology**

From the Latin ‘lobata’ (= ‘having lobes’, ‘lobate’), reflecting the presence of a well-developed lobe on the mesomeral lamella. Adjective in feminine gender.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**  
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti ♂; Chkhorotsku District, near Chkhorotsku, Odishi Plateau, Kalitshona (= Konglomeratnaya) Cave; 42.53° N, 42.16° E; 14 Aug. 2016; D.M. Palatov leg.; ZMUM.
**Paratypes**

CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti • 1 ♀, 1 juv.; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂, 2 ♀♀; same collection data as for holotype but 29 Oct. 1991; V. Kiselev leg.; ZMUM • 2 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀, 5 juvs; same collection data as for holotype but 3 Feb. 2017; D.M. Palatov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype; IZB • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype; SMNG; • 1 ♂; village Garakha, Odishi Plateau, Garakha Cave; 42.53° N, 42.17° E; 30 Apr. 2017; G. Nebieridze and S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♂; same collection data as for preceding but 2 Feb. 2017; D.M. Palatov leg.; ZMUM.

**Other material**

CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti • 1 juv.; Martvili District, Askhi karst Massif, near Balda village, Motena Cave; 42.47° N, 42.39° E; 19 Oct. 1978; R.A. Djanashvili leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding except 12 Aug. 2016; ZMUM • 4 ♂♂, 5 ♀♀; same collection data as for preceding except 30 Jan. 2017; D.M. Palatov leg.; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂; same collection data as for preceding except 13 Jun. 2019; H. Reip leg.; SMNG • 2 ♀♀; Askhi karst Massif, Martvili village, Jortsku Cave; 42.51° N, 42.42° E; 2 Feb. 2017; D.M. Palatov and A.M. Sokolova leg.; ZMUM.

**Description**

**Size and number of body rings.** Holotype male 20 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.5 mm, body with 37 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype males 14.5–22 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.1–1.6 mm, body with 32–41 podous rings + 1–2 apodous rings + telson. Paratype females 20–22 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.5–1.7 mm, body with 37–40 podous rings + 0–2 apodous rings + telson. Additional males 19–33 mm, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.4–2 mm, body with 40–46 podous rings + 1–2 apodous rings + telson. Additional females 21–34 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.6–2.3 mm, body with 39–46 podous rings + 1–2 apodous rings + telson.

**Colour** (Figs 1D, 25). Yellowish white.

**Head** (Figs 25B, 26C). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum with three labral teeth, four supralabral setae and 12–14 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with rhomboid promentum; lamellae linguales with 4+4–5+5 setae in one row, stipites each with 3 distolateral setae and 6–7 medial setae. Antennae 2.3 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 150% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.12 (I), 0.45 (II), 0.46 (III), 0.4 (IV), 0.46 (V), 0.28 (VI), 0.11 (VII) and 0.02 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.75 (I), 3.7 (II), 3.5 (III), 3 (IV), 2.7 (V), 1.8 (VI) and 1 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**Body rings** (Fig. 25D). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 7% of vertical diameter of rings.

**Telson** (Fig. 25C). Epiproct with a short and blunt preanal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, with numerous setae. Hypoproct without any modifications.

**Legs in males.** First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 26A–B, 28E–F), with three podomeres; coxae each with one seta; prefemora each with 6–9 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced, with 4+4 setae (3+3 on remnants of femora and 1+1 on remnants of postfemora). Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe. Tip slightly tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads well-developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

**Penes** (Fig. 28D). In the form of a short trapezoid, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.
Fig. 25. *Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov., type ♂♂. 

A. Holotype from Kalitshona Cave, habitus, lateral view (ZMUM). 
B. Paratype from Garakha Cave, anterior part of body, lateral view (IZISU). 
C. Paratype from Kalitshona Cave, posterior part of body, lateral view (ZMUM). 
D. Paratype from Garakha Cave, midbody rings, lateral view (IZISU). 
E. Paratype from Garakha Cave, body ring 7, lateral view (IZISU). 

Arrow indicates metazonal setae. Scale bars: 1 mm.
Ventral margin of male body ring 7 (Fig. 25E). Strongly developed, more or less rounded in lateral view.

Gonopods (Figs 2A, 26D, 27A–C, 28A–C). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulated margin; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a slender mesomeral claw (mc) lacking teeth, more or less curved anteriad; mesomeral lamella (ml) with a strongly developed lobe (l) and a deep rift between mesomeral claw and lobe, distal margin smooth, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a short tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

Fig. 26. Leucogeorgia lobata sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Kalitshona Cave (ZMUM). A. Left leg 1, lateral view. B. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. C. Head, ventral view. D. Right gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; l = lobe; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A = 0.05 mm; B, D = 0.1 mm; C = 0.2 mm.
Fig. 27. Gonopods (A–C: *Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov.; D: *L. aff. lobata* sp. nov., ♂ from Eckis Cave). A. Paratype ♂ from Garakha Cave, right gonopods, lateral view (IZISU). B. ♂ from Motena Cave, left gonopod, mesal view (SMNG). C. ♂ from Motena Cave, left gonopod, mesal view (ZMUM). D. Left gonopod, mesal view (SMNG). Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
Fig. 28. *Leucogeorgia lobata* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Garakha Cave (IZISU). A. Right gonopods, mesal view. B. Left gonopods, lateral view. C. Left promere, lateral view. D. Penes, posterior view. E. Left leg 1, lateral view. F. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
**Distribution**
Known only from four caves in the Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti region in Central-West Georgia (Fig. 58, violet triangles).

**Remarks**
In the Motena and Jortsku caves, this species has been found together with another taxon of Leucogeorgiini, *Martvilia parva* gen. et sp. nov.

See also under *Leucogeorgia aff. lobata* sp. nov.

*Leucogeorgia* aff. *lobata* sp. nov.
Figs 27D, 56, 58

**Material examined**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – *Ratscha-Letschchumi* • 1 ♂; Ambrolauri District, W of Ambrolauri, Achara, above street SH17, riverside opposite Zeda Ghvardia, *Eckis caves*, right cave; 42.54° N, 42.89° E; 16 Jun. 2019; H. Reip leg.; SMNG.

**Remarks**
This male, collected in Achara, a new cave near Ambrolauri (Fig. 58, violet triangle with a question mark), is characterized by the presence of a lobe (l) on the mesomeral lamella and of a rift between the mesomeral claw and lobe, similar to conditions observed in the typical *L. lobata* sp. nov. However, this male is slightly different from those of *L. lobata* sp. nov. in showing a more slender and straight promere, an elongate mesomeral claw, a somewhat reduced mesomeral lamella, as well as an elongate tip of the solenomere. The length of the latter we generally find constant within the species. Further, the locality of this male is slightly separated from the four sites of *L. lobata* sp. nov. All this may indicate that another new, cryptic taxon might be involved here, but in the absence of more males we do not dare formalize this.

The newly discovered cave was probably part of a larger parted cave system, where the entrance to the second part is just a few meters left of the above cave. Both caves were opened and divided into separate caves during the building of street SH17. We call this small new cave system Eckis Caves after the name of the discoverer, Eckard Göbel.

**Leucogeorgia oculata** sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:4F63C54D-B233-4BE1-A448-E2BAA27764F5
Figs 29–31, 56–57

*Archileucogeorgia* sp. – Ghilarov 1972: 38.

**Diagnosis**
This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. without modified mouthparts, but it clearly differs from all other congeners by the pigmented body, the presence of ommatidia and the absence of metazonal setae (vs absence of both pigmentation and ommatidia and the presence of metazonal setae in all other congeners).

**Etymology**
From the Latin ‘*oculatus*’ (= ‘having eyes’), reflecting the presence of ommatidia. Adjective.
Material examined

Holotype
RUSSIA – Adygea, Caucasian Biosphere Nature Reserve ♂; Lagonaki Plateau, Lunnaya glade, in soil 0–15 cm; 43.94° N, 39.88° E; 8 Sep. 2012; Y. Chumachenko leg.; ZMUM.

Paratypes
RUSSIA – Adygea, Caucasian Biosphere Nature Reserve ♂♂ 3, ♀♀ 4, 1 juv.; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM.

Other material
RUSSIA – Adygea, Caucasian Biosphere Nature Reserve ♂♂ 4, ♀♀ 3; Lagonaki Plateau; 44.03° N, 39.96° E; 2015; Y. Chumachenko leg.; ZMUM; ♂♂ 30, ♀♀ 7, ♀♀ 4 juvs; Lagonaki Plateau, Instruktorskaya cleft, subalpine meadow, in soil 0–10 cm deep; 11 Sep. 2013; Y. Chumachenko; ZMUM; ♂♂ 5, ♀♀ 5; same collection data as for preceding; SMNG ♂ 1, ♀♀ 4, 3 juvs; Pasture Abago, litter; 43.93° N, 40.23° E; 1800 m a.s.l.; 6 Jun. 2009; Y. Chumachenko leg.; ZMUM.

Description

SIZE AND NUMBER OF BODY RINGS. Holotype male 9 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 0.8 mm, body with 35 podous rings + 3 apodous rings + telson. Paratype males 7–10 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 0.7–0.8 mm, body with 28–37 podous rings + 2–5 apodous rings + telson. Paratype females 8–11.5 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 0.8–1 mm, body with 32–39 podous rings + 2–4 apodous rings + telson. Largest male 11 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 0.8 mm, body with 38 podous rings + 2 apodous rings + telson. Largest female 13 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.1 mm, body with 44 podous rings + 2 apodous rings + telson.

COLOUR (Figs 29–30). Variable, from pale yellowish to dark grey.

HEAD (Fig. 29B–C). Males and females with 8–12 and 8–13 ommatidia per roundish eye field, respectively. Labrum with three teeth, four supralabral setae and 16 labral setae (paratype male). Gnathochilarium (paratype male) with rhomboid promentum; lamellae linguales with 3+3 setae in one row, stipites with 3+3 long distolateral setae and 4+5 short medial setae each. Antennae short, 0.7 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 90% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.06 (I), 0.15 (II), 0.13 (III), 0.11 (IV), 0.12 (V), 0.08 (VI), 0.03 (VII) and 0.02 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 2 (II), 1.6 (III), 1.4 (IV), 1.2 (V), 0.9 (VI) and 0.5 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

BODY RINGS (Fig. 29E). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Metazonal setae absent.

TELSON (Fig. 29F–G). Epiproct variable in length, from short to long, blunt, sloping slightly downwards and covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, with 3+3 setae. Hypoproct without any modifications.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Fig. 31D–E), with three podomeres; coxae each with one seta; prefemora each with 4–5 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with two setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe (tarsal remnant). Tip slightly tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 29D). Poorly developed, low.
Fig. 29. *Leucogeorgia oculata* sp. nov., from Lagonaki Plateau (ZMUM). A. Holotype ♂, habitus, lateral view. B. Holotype, anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Paratype ♀, head, anterior view. D. Non-type ♂, body ring 7, lateral view. E. Holotype, midbody rings, lateral view. F. Holotype, telson, lateral view. G. Non-type ♂, telson, lateral view. Scale bars: A, E = 1 mm; B–D, F = 0.5 mm.
**Penes** (Fig. 31C). Short, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

**Gonopods** (Fig. 31A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with poorly denticulated margins. Mesomere (m) with a robust and denticulate mesomeral claw (mc); mesomeral lamella (ml) with a smooth and slightly concave distal margin and a posterior rise, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a

---

**Fig. 30.** *Leucogeorgia oculata* sp. nov., specimens from Lagonaki Plateau, habitus, lateral views.  
A. Largest paratype ♀ (ZMUM).  
B. Smallest paratype ♀ (ZMUM).  
C–F. Non-type ♂♂ (IZB). Scale bars: 1 mm.
long tip significantly exceeding posterior part of lamella, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Distribution**

Known only from Lagonaki Plateau and Pasture Abago, Caucasian Biosphere Nature Reserve, Russia (Fig. 57, red triangles).

**Fig. 31.** *Leucogeorgia oculata* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Lagonaki Plateau (ZMUM). A. Left gonopods, lateral view. B. Left gonopods, mesal view. C. Penes, posterior view. D. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. E. Left leg 1, lateral view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bar: 0.2 mm.
Remarks
This is probably an endogean subalpine species. It is the only member of the genus characterized by the presence of both a pigmented body and ommatidia, and the absence of metazonal setae. Ghilarov (1972) recorded this species as “Archileucogeorgia sp.” (det. J. Gulička) from Pasture Abago as well.

**Leucogeorgia prometheus** sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:CD700B52-FE5E-4C36-967E-8A0125AEE240
Figs 1E, 2C, 32–35, 56, 58

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with neither modified mouthparts nor teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs teeth present in *L. abchasica, L. borealis* sp. nov., *L. gioi* sp. nov., *L. oculata* sp. nov. and *L. satunini*). *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov. differs from *L. golovatchi* sp. nov. by having a longer mesomeral claw and a short solenomere not exceeding the height of the mesomeral lamella (vs a shorter mesomeral claw and a longer solenomere clearly exceeding the height of the mesomeral lamella in *L. golovatchi* sp. nov.). *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov. differs from *L. lobata* sp. nov. by the absence of a strongly developed lobe on the mesomeral lamella, with a deep rift between the mesomeral claw and lobe (vs presence of a strongly developed lobe on the mesomeral lamella, with a deep rift between the mesomeral claw and lobe in *L. lobata* sp. nov.).

Etymology
This new species is named after its type locality, the Kumistavi Cave, popularly known as the Prometheus Cave. Noun in apposition.

Material examined

**Holotype**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Tskaltubo District • ♂; Sataplia-Tskaltubo karst Massif, Kumistavi village, Kumistavi (= Prometheus, = Orpiri I) Cave; 42.37° N, 42.60° E; 11 Jun. 2019; H. Reip leg.; SMNG.

**Paratypes**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Tskaltubo District • 6 ♂♂, 5 ♀♀, 10 juvs; same collection data as for holotype; SMNG • 7 ♂♂, 1 ♀, 2 juvs; same collection data as for holotype except 16 Mar. 2018; E. Magradze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding; IZB • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype except 1 May 2018; J. Grego leg.; NHMW 9981.

**Other material**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Tskaltubo District • 2 juvs; same collection data for holotype; 10 Jan. 1981; V. Kiselev leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype but 7 Jan. 1987; N.T. Zaleskaja leg.; ZMUM • 7 ♂♂, 6 ♀♀, 1 juv.; same collection data as for holotype but 12 Dec. 2009; O. Hell leg.; IZB • 5 ♂♂; same collection data as for holotype but 17 Apr. 2011; R. Fohler leg.; ZMUM • 2 ♀♀; same collection data as for holotype but 10 Mar. 2012; S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype but 1 Aug. 2016; collector unknown; ZMUM • 5 ♂♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype but 4–6 Feb. 2017; D.M. Palatov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; Sataplia-Tskaltubo karst Massif, Kumistavi village, Solkota Cave; 42.38° N, 42.62° E; 10 Mar. 2014; S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 3 ♂♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding but 23 Jul. 2017; G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU • 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding but 9 Mar. 2014; S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 3 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀, 5 juvs; Sataplia-Ktkaltubo karst Massif, Kumistavi village, Orpiri II Cave; 42.37° N, 42.60° E; Mar. 2014; L. Mumladze leg.; IZISU • 7 ♂♂, 13 ♀♀; Sataplia-Tskaltubo karst Massif, near Tskaltubo, Tetra Cave; 42.33° N, 42.62° E; 29 Aug. 1985; S.I. Golovatch leg.; ZMUM •
Fig. 32. *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Prometheus Cave (IZISU). A. Habitus, lateral view. B. Anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Posterior part of body, lateral view. D. Midbody rings, lateral view. E. Body ring 7, lateral view. Scale bars: A = 5 mm; B–D = 1 mm; E = 0.5 mm.
1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding but 1 May 2018; J. Grego leg.; NHMW • 2 ♂♂, 3 ♀♀, 1 juv.; same collection data as for preceding but 11 Mar. 2014; S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 3 ♂♂; 1 ♀, 1 juv.; Sataplia-Tskaltubo karst Massif, Chuneshi village, Sakire Cave; 42.34° N, 42.60° E; 14 May 2018; G. Nebieridze leg.; IZISU. – Kutaisi District, Sataplia Nature Reserve • 14 ♂♂, 7 ♀♀, 6 juvs; Sataplia I Cave; 42.31° N, 42.67° E; 27 Jan. 1984; K. Makarov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂; same collection data as for preceding but 16 Apr. 1988; D.V. Logunov leg.; ZMUM • 10 ♂♂, 5 ♀♀, 7 juvs; same collection data as for preceding but 5 Jun. 1981; S.I. Golovatch and J. Martens leg.; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂, 5 ♀♀; same collection data as for preceding but 27 Jan. 1984; V. Dushenkov leg.; ZMUM • many broken specs; same collection data as for preceding but 8 Aug. 1984; S.I. Golovatch leg.; ZMUM • many specs; same collection data as for preceding but 25 Oct. 1981; S.I. Golovatch leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding but Mar. 2014; L. Mumladze; IZISU • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for preceding but 11 Mar. 2014; S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU • 4 ♂♂, 3 ♀♀, 12 juvs; same collection data as for preceding but 11 Jun. 2019; H. Reip leg.; SMNG • 5 ♂♂, 1 juv.; same locality as for preceding; ZMUM • 7 ♂♂, 17 ♀♀, 3 juvs; Sataplia II Cave; 42.31° N, 42.67° E; 28 Jan. 1987; V. Bogdanov leg.; ZMUM • 3 ♂♂, 16 ♀♀, 5 juvs; same collection data as for preceding but 27 Jan. 1987; collector unknown; ZMUM. – Tkbuli District • 7 ♂♂, 13 ♀♀, 5 juvs; Okriba karst Massif, Tsutshkvati village, Tsutshkvati Cave; 42.27° N, 42.85° E; 28 Feb. 2013; S. Barjadze leg.; IZISU.

Description

Size and number of body rings. Holotype male 29 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.9 mm, body with 43 podous rings + 0 apodous rings + telson. Paratype males 16.5–27 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.3–1.9 mm, body with 35–44 podous rings + 0–3 apodous rings + telson. Paratype females 23–29 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body rings 1.5–1.9 mm, body with 40–44 podous rings + 0–1 apodous rings + telson.

Colour (Figs 1E, 32). Living animals yellowish white. Specimens from alcohol brownish.

Head (Figs 32B, 33D–E). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum with three teeth, four supralabral setae and 14 to 16 (7+7, 7+8 or 8+8) labral setae. Gnathochilarium with rhomboid prominence; lamellae linguales with 3–5 setae each in one row; stipites with 3+3 long distolateral and 5–7 short medial setae each. Antennae 2.9 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 150% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.2 (I), 0.67 (II), 0.59 (III), 0.45 (IV), 0.55 (V), 0.25 (VI), 0.14 (VII) and 0.05 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 1 (I), 3.7 (II), 3.3 (III), 2.5 (IV), 2.4 (V), 1.1 (VI) and 1 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

Body rings (Fig. 32D). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 7% of vertical diameter of rings.

Telson (Fig. 32C). Epiproct with a short and blunt preanal process, sloping slightly downwards and covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, with numerous setae. Hypoproct without any modifications.

Legs in males. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 33A–C, 35E–F), with three podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 6–9 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotori coalesced, with 5–6 setae (3–5 on remnants of femora and 1+1 on remnants of postfemora). Podomeres tuberculate. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads well-developed on anterior legs, then gradually disappearing towards posterior legs.

Ventral margin of body ring 7 (Fig. 32E). Strongly developed, rounded in lateral view.
Fig. 33. *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov., non-type ♂ from Sataplia I Cave (ZMUM). A. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. B. Left leg 1, lateral view. C. Leg-pair 1, anterolateral view. D. Head, ventral view. E. Tip of antenna. F. Left gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; l = lobe; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A–B, E = 0.05 mm; C–D, F = 0.2 mm.
**Penes** (Fig. 35D). In form of a short trapezoid, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

**Gonopods** (Figs 33F, 34, 35A–C). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulated margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a

---

**Fig. 34.** *Leucogorgia prometheus* sp. nov., paratype ♂♂ from Prometheus Cave (IZISU). A–D. Left gonopods, mesal views. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
Fig. 35. *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Prometheus Cave (IZISU). A. Left gonopods, mesal view. B. Right gonopods, lateral view. C. Left promere, lateral view. D. Penes, posterior view. E. Left leg 1, lateral view. F. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
slender mesomeral claw (mc) devoid of teeth, slightly curved anteriad; mesomeral lamella (ml) with or without a poorly developed lobe (l), distal margin smooth, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Distribution**

Known from several caves in the Tsqaltubo, Kutaisi and Tkibuli districts of Georgia (Fig. 58, light blue triangles).

**Remarks**

The occurrence of this remarkable species has been noted several times by tourists visiting the famous touristic caves Prometheus or Sataplia. Although a fairly large and easy-to-spot julid, *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov. has never been studied scientifically. This species is very abundant and does not seem to be endangered by the operation of these show caves, because it was collected several times in extremely large numbers. Nor have the extensive collections undermined the local populations. The animals were found especially abundant on rotting timber poles that support the electric lamps inside the caves (Golovatch pers. comm.), living there together with the glomerid millipede, *Trachysphaera fragilis* (Golovatch, 1976), likewise cavernicolous, common and abundant in the same region (Golovatch & Turbanov 2017). In contrast, only very few specimens of *Leucogeorgia prometheus* sp. nov. were spotted grazing on the lamp flora, being more abundant also in muddy areas without any sign of introduced organic material. As a guess, the true habitats of this species might not be cave chambers proper, but the subterranean small crevices and cracks.

**New species with modified mouthparts**

*Leucogeorgia caudata* sp. nov.


Figs 1B, 36–38, 56–57

**Diagnosis**

This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with modified mouthparts and teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs teeth absent in *L. longipes*). *Leucogeorgia caudata* sp. nov. clearly differs from all other congeners by having an epiproct with a very long and sharp caudal process, apically with a hyaline tip slightly curved upwards (vs absence of such a long and sharp process with a hyaline tip in all other congeners) and elongate antennae with the length 200% of the vertical diameter of the largest body ring (vs shorter antennae, ≤ 190% of the vertical diameter of the largest body ring in other species of *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts).

**Etymology**

From the Latin ‘caudata’ (= ‘tailed’), reflecting the presence of a long and sharp caudal process on the epiproct. Adjective in feminine gender.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**

ABKHAZIA – Gudauty District • ♂; Gumishkhinsky karst Massif, Novyi Afon, Novoafonskaya (= New Athos) Cave, Corallite Gallery; 43.09° N, 40.81° E; 18–20 May 2016; S.A. Kapralov leg.; ZMUM.
Fig. 36. *Leucogeorgia caudata* sp. nov., type ♂♂ from Novoafonskaya Cave. A. Holotype, habitus, lateral view (ZMUM). B. Holotype, head, lateral view. C. Paratype, head and anterior part of body, anterior view (IZB). D. Paratype, labrum, dorsal view (IZB). E. Holotype, midbody rings, lateral view. F. Paratype, body ring 7, lateral view (IZB). G. Paratype, telson, ventral view (IZB). H. Holotype, telson, lateral view. Arrow indicates metazonal seta. Scale bars: A–C, E–F, H = 1 mm; D, G = 0.5 mm.
Paratypes
ABKHAZIA – Gudauta District • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; IZB • 5 ♀♀; same collection data as for holotype but White Mountain; 2 Jan. 2017; ZMUM.

Other material
ABKHAZIA – Sukhum District • 1 ♂, 2 ♀♀, 1 juv.; Gumishkhinskiy karst Massif, near Verkhnaiia Eshera village, Adzaba Cave, 50–100 m from entrance; 43.07° N, 40.99° E; 14 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM.

Description
SIZE AND NUMBER OF BODY RINGS. Holotype male 20 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.55 mm, body with 34 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Longer paratype male 31 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.7 mm, body with 40 podous rings + 0 apodous ring + telson. Smaller paratype male body with 38 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype females 19–25 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.6–2 mm, body with 32–38 podous rings + 0–2 apodous rings + telson.

COLOUR (Figs 1B, 36). Living animals with whitish head, legs and telson; due to thin and transparent cuticle, body looks blackish, with some greyish or blackish patterns lateroventrally. Yellowish white to yellowish brown or greyish white in alcohol.

HEAD (Figs 36B–D, 37C–E). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum without labral teeth, with 4 supralabral setae and 26–30 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with a triangular promentum; lamellae linguales with 1+1 long distal setae and 3+3 or 4+4 long proximal setae; stipites with 3+3 long distolateral setae; no other setae. Antennae 3.1 mm long in holotype male, their length 200% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.11 (I), 0.57 (II), 0.71 (III), 0.58 (IV), 0.65 (V), 0.33 (VI), 0.1 (VII) and 0.05 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 2.8 (II), 3.5 (III), 3 (IV), 3.3 (V), 1.7 (VI) and 0.7 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

BODY RINGS (Fig. 36E). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 8% of vertical diameter of rings.

TELSON (Fig. 36G–H). Epiproct with a very long and sharp caudal process, apically with a hyaline tip slightly curved upwards; covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, densely setose. Hypoproct subtrapezoid, in paratype female with 11 long setae.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 37A–B, 38C), with three podomers; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 5–6 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with 3–4 setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe and either with or without one seta. Tip slightly tuberculate. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 36F). Well-developed, low, rounded in lateral view.

PENES (Fig. 38D). Elongate, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

GONOPODS (Figs 37F, 38A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, slightly curved anteriad, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a robust and denticulate mesosomal claw (mc); mesosomal lamella (ml) slightly convex,
Fig. 37. *Leucogeorgia caudata* sp. nov., non-type ♂♂ from Adzaba Cave (ZMUM). A. Left leg 1, lateral view. B. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. C. Head, ventral view. D. Head, anterior view. E. Head, ventrolateral view. F. Right gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A = 0.05 mm; B, E–F = 0.1 mm; C–D = 0.2 mm.
starting from mesal side of mc, distal margin serrate, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Distribution**

Known only from two neighbouring caves in the Gudauty and Sukhum districts of Abkhazia (Fig. 57, blue square).

![Figure 38](image_url)

**Fig. 38. Leucogeorgia caudata** sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Novoafonskaya Cave (IZB). A. Left gonopods, mesal view. B. Right gonopods, lateral view. C. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. D. Penes, posterior view. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
Remarks
In the Novoafonskaya Cave, this species lives in sympatry with *L. rediviva*, which also has modified mouthparts.

*Leucogeorgia mystax* sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9AE0998A-E021-4120-B1CA-DC5A021D20D0
Figs 39–41, 56–57

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of *Leucogeorgia* spp. with modified mouthparts and teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs teeth absent in *L. longipes*). *Leucogeorgia mystax* sp. nov. clearly differs from all congeners by its highly modified mouthparts, viz., two rounded labral lobes with a deep incision in between, and by having a unique gnathochilarium with a distolateral bundle of long setae on the stipites and distal (anterior) rows of long setae on both stipites and lamellae linguales (vs such structures absent in all other congeners).

Etymology
From the Latin ‘*mystax*’ (= ‘moustache’), reflecting the presence of long setae laterally on the gnathochilarium, resembling moustaches. Noun in apposition.

Material examined
Holotype
ABKHAZIA – Gagry District • ♂; Gagra Mt Ridge, Arabika karst Massif, Lastochkino Krylo area, Nytskha Cave, depth ~7 m; 43.45° N, 40.31° E; 27 Aug. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM.

Paratypes
ABKHAZIA – Gagry District • 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM • 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; IZB.

Description
SIZE AND NUMBER OF BODY RINGS. Holotype male 15 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1 mm, body with 29 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype females 15–16 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.1–1.2 mm, body with 30 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson.

COLOUR (Fig. 39). Yellowish white in alcohol.

HEAD (Figs 39B–D, 40A–G). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum without labral teeth, but with two rounded lobes with a deep incision in between; each lobe with ca 7–8 long labral setae. Gnathochilarium (holotype male) with rhomboid promentum; lamellae linguales each with two irregular, transverse, distal rows of 9+11 long setae and one longitudinal row of 4+6 shorter setae; stipites with two irregular, transverse, distal rows of 9–10 long setae and a distolateral bundle of long setae. Antennae long, 1.8 mm long in holotype male, their length 180% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres 1–VIII (in mm): 0.09 (I), 0.39 (II), 0.40 (III), 0.26 (IV), 0.32 (V), 0.23 (VI), 0.07 (VII) and 0.04 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres 1–VII: 0.8 (I), 3 (II), 3.3 (III), 2 (IV), 2.1 (V), 1.6 (VI) and 0.6 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

BODY RINGS (Fig. 39E). Ventral side of metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Dorsal side of metazonal area smooth. Metazonal setae abraded from midbody rings. Length of body ring 7 setae ca 6% of vertical diameter of ring.
Fig. 39. *Leucogeorgia mystax* sp. nov., types from Nytshka Cave. A. Paratype ♀, habitus, lateral view (ZMUM). B. Paratype ♂♂, head, lateral view (ZMUM). C. Paratype ♀, head, anterolateral view (IZB). D. Paratype ♀, head, anterior view (IZB). E. Paratype ♀, midbody rings, lateral view (ZMUM). F. Holotype ♂, telson, lateral view (ZMUM). G. Paratype ♀, telson, ventral view (IZB). H. Holotype, body ring 7, lateral view. Scale bars: A = 1 mm; B–H = 0.5 mm.
Fig. 40. Leucogorgia mystax sp. nov., holotype ♂ from Nytshka Cave (ZMUM). A. Head, dorsal view. B. Head, anterior view. C. Head, anterolateral view. D. Head, ventral view. E. Lamellae linguales, ventral view. F. Left stipe, ventral view. G. Left stipe, lateral view. H. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. I. Left leg 1, lateral view. J. Left gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bars: A = 0.2 mm; B–G, J = 0.1 mm; H–I = 0.05 mm.
TELSON (Fig. 39F–G). Epiproct with a very short and blunt caudal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, setose, mesal edges slightly bulging. Hypoproct lanceolate, most probably with two apical setae. Setae on telson mainly abraded.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 40H–I, 41C), with three podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with four setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with two setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe. Tip slightly tuberculate. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 39H). Strongly developed, rounded in lateral view.

Fig. 41. *Leucogeorgia mystax* sp. nov., holotype ♂ from Nytshka Cave (ZMUM). A. Right gonopods, mesal view. B. Right gonopods, lateral view. C. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. D. Penes, posterior view. Scale bar: 0.2 mm.
Penes (Fig. 41D). Short, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

Gonopods (Figs 40J, 41A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, slightly curved anteriad, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a robust and denticate mesosomal claw (mc), mesosomal lamella (ml) with a serrate posterodistal margin, posterior part smooth. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s), with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed velum (v), fimbriate at anterior margin. Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

Distribution
Known only from its type locality (Fig. 57, red square).

Remarks
This species, with the most bizarre mouthpart modifications, lives in syntopy with L. turbanovi sp. nov., also with modified mouthparts.

Leucogeorgia profunda sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2FE7074E-8768-4D83-8C88-20A3F312A49F
Figs 1F, 42-43, 56–57

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of Leucogeorgia spp. with modified mouthparts and teeth on the mesosomal claw (vs teeth absent from L. longipes). Leucogeorgia profunda sp. nov. differs from L. rediviva, L. redivivoides sp. nov. and L. caudata sp. nov. by having a mesosomal claw that continues directly with the margin of the lamella, both parts being fully coalesced (vs mesosomal claw and lamella clearly connected mesally in L. rediviva, L. redivivoides sp. nov. and L. caudata sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia profunda sp. nov. differs from both L. mystax sp. nov. and L. turbanovi sp. nov. by having a more slender and elongate mesosomal claw (vs a more robust and shorter mesosomal claw in L. mystax sp. nov. and L. turbanovi sp. nov.). In addition to some other external characters, L. profunda sp. nov. differs from other congeners with modified mouthparts and teeth on the mesosomal claw by having a characteristic rounded extension of the hypoproct’s posterior margin in both sexes and shorter antennae, with the length 160% of the vertical diameter of the largest body ring (vs absence of such a rounded extension in congeners with modified mouthparts and teeth on the mesosomal claw and the presence of longer antennae, with the length \( \geq 170\% \) of the vertical diameter of the largest body ring).

Etymology
From the Latin ‘profunda’ (= ‘deep’), referring to this being the world’s deepest-occurring julid species so far, found as deep as -1650 m below the surface. Adjective in feminine gender.

Material examined
Holotype
ABKHAZIA – Gagry District • ♂; Gagra Mt Ridge, Arabika karst Massif, Ortobalagan Valley, Krubera (= Krubera-Voronya) Cave, -1650 m deep; 43.41° N, 40.31° E; 20 Aug. 2015; I. Sofiniya and G.V. Samokhin leg.; ZMUM.

Paratype
ABKHAZIA – Gagry District • 1 ♂ same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM.
Other material
ABKHAZIA – Gagry District • 1 ♀; Gagra Mt Ridge, Arabika karst Massif, Veryovkina Cave, -1360 m deep; 43.41° N, 40.35° E; 1 Mar. 2018; P.E. Demidov leg.; IZB • 1 ♀; Gagra Mt Ridge, Arabika karst Massif, Sarma Cave, -1260 m deep, Transsib meander, cascade of cliffs under KSS; 43.38° N, 40.38° E; 15 Sep. 2011; P.V. Rudko leg.; ZMUM 1 ♀; Gagra Mt Ridge, Arabika karst Massif, Sarma Cave, -1370 m deep, well K25; 43.38° N, 40.38° E; 17 Sep. 2011; P.V. Rudko leg.; ZMUM.

Description

Size and number of body rings. Holotype male 31 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.9 mm, body with 43 podous rings + 0 apodous ring + telson. Paratype male 30 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.9 mm, body with 42 podous rings + 0 apodous ring + telson. Non type females 32–44 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 2.3–2.6 mm, body with 40–52 podous rings + 0–2 apodous rings + telson.

Colour (Figs 1F, 42). Living animal with whitish head, anterior rings, legs and several posterior rings; due to thin and transparent cuticle, body looks blackish, with some greyish or blackish patterns. Yellowish white to yellowish brown or brown in alcohol.

Head (Fig. 42B). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum without labral teeth (paratype male with three small labral teeth), with four supralabral setae and 30 labral setae in paratype male. Gnathochilarium with a triangular promentum; lamellae linguales with 1+1 long distal and 5+5 long proximal setae; stipites with 3+3 long distal setae; no other setae. Antennae 3 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 160% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.17 (I), 0.6 (II), 0.67 (III), 0.58 (IV), 0.53 (V), 0.25 (VI), 0.15 (VII) and 0.05 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.7 (I), 2.7 (II), 3 (III), 2.6 (IV), 1.9 (V), 1 (VI) and 1 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

Body rings (Fig. 42D). Entire metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Length of midbody setae ca 8% of vertical diameter of rings.

Telson (Fig. 42C, E). Epiproct with a more or less long, robust and triangular caudal process, covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, setose, mesal edges slightly bulging. Posterior margin of hypoproct with a characteristic rounded extension with two long apical setae.

Legs in males. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Fig. 43C), with three podomers; coxa with three setae; prefemur with 8–9 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with 4–5 setae; postfemur with one seta. Tip slightly tuberculated. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

Ventral margin of male body ring 7 (Fig. 42F–G). Well-developed, low, more or less subquadrangular in lateral view.

Penes (Fig. 43D). Short, apically with two small subtriangular lobes.

Gonopods (Fig. 43A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, slightly curved anteriad, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a robust and denticulate mesosomal claw (mc) proceeding directly to a mesosomal lamella (ml); ml poorly serrate, posterior part slightly fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).
Fig. 42. *Leucogeorgia profunda* sp. nov. (A–D: holotype ♂ from Krubera Cave (ZMUM); E: non-type ♀ from Veryovkina Cave (IZB); F–G: paratype ♂ from Krubera Cave (ZMUM)). A. Habitus, lateral view. B. Anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Posterior part of body, lateral view. D. Midbody segments, lateral view. E. Telson, posterior view. F. Body ring 7, lateral view. G. Body ring 7, ventral view. Arrow indicates metazonal setae. Scale bars: 1 mm.
Distribution

Known only from three deep caves in the Arabika karst Massif (Fig. 57, green square). The occurrence of this species in these three neighbouring caves has previously been recorded by Sidorov et al. (2014), Turbanov (2015) and Turbanov et al. (2018).

**Fig. 43.** *Leucogeorgia profunda* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Krubera Cave (ZMUM). **A.** Right gonopods, mesal view. **B.** Left gonopods, lateral view. **C.** Leg-pairs 1, anterior view. **D.** Penes, posterior view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
Remarks
This new species represents the deepest julid species ever to be encountered, as well as the second
deepest-occurring millipede globally. Leucogeorgia profunda sp. nov. was found in the deep parts
of three caves, viz., Sarma (-1270 m and -1370 m), Veryovkina (-1360 m) and Krubera (-1650 m). Only
the chordematidan millipede, Heterocaucaseuma deprofundum Antić & Reboleira, 2018, has been
found deeper, i.e., in the Krubera Cave at depths of down to -1980 m (Antić et al. 2018a). Interestingly,
Leucogeorgia profunda sp. nov. lives in the Krubera Cave sympatrically with L. turbanovi sp. nov.,
but with modified mouthparts, and it apparently the two species occupy separate niches, since L. profunda
sp. nov. has been found in the deep part of the cave (-1650 m), while L. turbanovi sp. nov. occurs closer
to the surface (-100 m). It seems noteworthy that these three caves and their biotic communities are the
deepest not only in the Caucasus, but also in the world (Shelepin 2019).

Leucogeorgia redivivoides sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:BCBC9EFB-506F-4EF2-A3A4-3FABE0756DED
Figs 44–46, 56–57

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of Leucogeorgia spp. with modified mouthparts and teeth on the
mesomeral claw (vs teeth absent from L. longipes). Leucogeorgia redivivoides sp. nov. differs from
L. mystax sp. nov., L. profunda sp. nov. and L. turbanovi sp. nov. by having a mesomeral claw that does
not directly continue with the margin of the lamella, but with a clear connection on the mesal side
(vs mesomeral claw continues directly with the margin of the lamella, both parts being fully coalesced
in L. mystax sp. nov., L. profunda sp. nov. and L. turbanovi sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia redivivoides sp. nov.
differs from L. caudata sp. nov. by having a more slender mesomeral claw and the absence of a very
long and sharp process on the epiproct with a hyaline tip (vs a more robust mesomeral claw and the
presence of a long and sharp process with a hyaline tip in L. caudata sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia redivivoides
sp. nov. differs from the most similar species, L. rediviva sp. nov., by having a flattened and centrally
slightly serrate mesomeral lamella (vs a more smooth and high central part of the mesomeral lamella
in the form of a lobe in L. rediviva), a subrhomboid hypoproct (vs lanceolate in L. rediviva), a low, more
subquadangular ventral margin of male body ring 7, with a right posterior angle (vs a well-developed
and rounded ventral margin of body ring 7 in L. rediviva) and a stocky body with 28–35 podous rings in
males (vs somewhat elongate, with 38–47 podous rings in males of L. rediviva).

Etymology
The species name is a combination of the name ‘rediviva’ and the Ancient Greek suffix ‘-oides’, referring
to the species’ particularly strong resemblance to Leucogeorgia rediviva. Adjective.

Material examined
Holotype
ABKHAZIA – Gulripsh District • ♂; Tsebeldinsky karst Massif, near Amtkel village, Nizhnyaya
Shakuranskaya Cave, 700 m from entrance; 43.03° N, 41.33° E; 16 Sep. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.;
ZMUM.

Paratypes
ABKHAZIA – Gulripsh District • 2 ♂♂, 3 ♀♀, 3 juvs; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM •
1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; IZB • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype;
SMNG.

Description
Size and number of body rings. Holotype male 24 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.95
mm, body with 34 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype males 16.5–24 mm long, vertical
diameter of largest body ring 1.7–1.95 mm, body with 28–35 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype females 25.5–26.5 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 2.3 mm, body with 34–39 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson.

Colour (Fig. 44). In alcohol brownish, with dark brown rings on prozonae.

Head (Figs 44B, 45C). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum without labral teeth, with 2+2, 3+2 or 4+3 supralabral setae and 26–32 labral setae. Gnathochilarium (paratype males) with a triangular

---

Fig. 45. Leucogeorgia redivivoides sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Nizhnyaya Shakuranskaya Cave (SMNG).
A. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. B. Right leg 1, lateral view. C. Head, ventral view. D. Left gonopods, mesal view. Abbreviations: m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere. Solenomere broken. Scale bars: A–B, D = 0.1 mm; C = 0.2 mm.
promentum; lamellae linguales in one paratype male with 4+4 proximal setae, in another paratype male with 3+2 proximal, 1+0 medial and 1+1 distal setae (5+3 setae); stipites in one paratype male with 1+2 long distolateral setae, in another paratype male with 3+3 distolateral setae; no other setae. Antennae 3.7 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 190% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.15 (I), 0.79 (II), 0.76 (III), 0.73 (IV), 0.72 (V), 0.38 (VI), 0.14 (VII) and 0.03 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.7 (I), 3.6 (II), 3.4 (III), 3.8 (IV), 3.8 (V), 1.8 (VI) and 0.9 (VII). Length of antennae in other males 180–190% of vertical diameter of largest body rings. Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

BODY RINGS (Fig. 44E). Ventral and ventrolateral sides of metazonal areas with longitudinal striations. Dorsal side with poorly visible striations. Length of midbody setae ca 8% of vertical diameter of rings.

TELSON (Fig. 44D, F–G). Epiproct variable, with a short to somewhat longer, blunt preanal process. Paraprocts rounded, setose, mesal edges slightly bulging. Hypoproct subrhomboid, covered with ca eight long setae in paratype male.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 45A–B, 46E), with three podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 4–5 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with four setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe. Tip slightly tuberculate. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 44C). Low, rounded, more subquadrangular in lateral view, with a right posterior angle.

PENES (Fig. 46F). Elongate, apically with two long subtriangular lobes.

GONOPODS (Figs 45D, 46A–D). Promere (p) long and slender, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a well-developed and denticulate mesomeral claw (mc); mesomeral lamella (ml) gradually decreasing in height posteriad, distal margin serrate, posterior part finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

Distribution
Known only from its type locality (Fig. 57, orange square).

Remarks
Like L. rediviva, this new species is characterized by a variable length of the process on the telson, which can be longer or shorter.

As it has been found 700 m from the entrance of the cave together with one female of another species of Leucogeorgia (probably L. abchasica) without modified mouthparts, these two species are probably syntopic.
Fig. 46. *Leucogeorgia redivivoides* sp. nov., paratype ♂♂ from Nizhnyaya Shakuranskaya Cave (ZMUM). A. Right gonopods, mesal view. B. Left gonopods, lateral view. C. Right gonopods, mesal view. D. Left gonopods, lateral view. E. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. F. Penes, posterior view. Scale bars: 0.3 mm.
Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:75EECC8C-DAAB-49F7-B6EF-BEAD1FCE546F
Figs 1G, 47–49, 56–57

Diagnosis
This species belongs to the group of Leucogeorgia spp. with modified mouthparts and teeth on the mesomeral claw (vs teeth absent in L. longipes). Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov. differs from L. rediviva, L. redivivoides sp. nov. and L. caudata sp. nov. by having a mesomeral claw that continues directly with the margin of the lamella, both being fully coalesced (vs mesomeral claw and lamella being clearly connected mesally in L. rediviva, L. redivivoides sp. nov. and L. caudata sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov. differs from L. mystax sp. nov. by the absence of highly modified mouthparts, viz., two rounded labral lobes with a deep incision in between and a unique gnathochilarium with a distolateral bundle of long setae on the stipites and distal rows of long setae on both stipites and lamellae linguales (vs presence of such structures in L. mystax sp. nov.). Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov. differs from L. profunda sp. nov. by having a stout mesomeral claw (vs slender in L. profunda sp. nov.), longer antennae with a length of 170% of the vertical diameter of the largest body ring (vs shorter antennae with a length of 160% of the vertical diameter of the largest body ring in L. profunda sp. nov.) and a subrhomboid hypoproct (vs hypoproct posterior margin with a characteristic rounded extension in L. profunda sp. nov.).

Etymology
This new species is dedicated to Ilya Turbanov, a well-known Russian biospeleologist, the collector of some specimens of this species. Noun in the genitive case.

Material examined
Holotype
RUSSIA – Krasnodar Province • ♂; Greater Sochi, Alek-Dzykhinsky karst Massif, Dzykhra Mt, Pechalnaya Cave; 43.57° N, 40.07° E; 825 m a.s.l.; 3 Oct. 1985; N. Mugue leg.; ZMUM.

Paratypes
RUSSIA – Krasnodar Province • 1 ♂, 4 ♀♀, 4 juvs; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; IZB • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; SMNG.

Other material
ABKHAZIA – Gagry District • 1 ♂; Arabika karst Massif, Gagra Mt Ridge, Ortobalagan Valley, Krubera (= Krubera-Voronya) Cave, -100 m deep; 43.41° N, 40.31° E; 2256 m a.s.l.; 29 Aug. 2015; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; Arabika karst Massif, Gagra Mt Ridge, Pozharnaya Cave, -120 m deep; 43.42° N, 40.33° E; 2187 m a.s.l.; 15 Aug. 2015; F.L. Tsherednitshenko leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; Arabika karst Massif, Orlinoye Gnezdo Cave, -30 m deep; 43.38° N, 40.38° E; 2205 m a.s.l.; 27 Aug. 2012; E. Golubnichaya leg.; ZMUM • 1 ♀; Arabika karst Massif, Lastochkino Krylo area, Nytsheka Cave, -7 m deep; 43.45° N, 40.31° E; 2157 m a.s.l.; 27 Aug. 2014; I.S. Turbanov leg.; ZMUM.

Description
Size and number of body rings. Holotype male 18 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.35 mm, body with 29 podous rings + 2 apodous rings + telson. Paratype males 19–24 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.35–1.6 mm, body with 32–36 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype females 21–28 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.7–2 mm, body with 33–40 podous rings + 0–1 apodous ring + telson.
Fig. 47. *Leucogeorgia turbanovi* sp. nov., types from Pechalnaya Cave (ZMUM). **A.** Holotype ♂, habitus, lateral view. **B.** Holotype, anterior part of body, lateral view. **C.** Paratype ♀, telson, posterior view. **D.** Holotype, posterior part of body, lateral view. **E.** Paratype ♂, head, dorsal view. **F.** Paratype ♂, body ring 7, lateral view. **G.** Paratype ♂, body ring 7, ventral view. Arrow indicates metazonal seta. Scale bars: A–B, H = 1 mm; C–G = 0.5 mm.
Fig. 48. *Leucogeorgia turbanovi* sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Pechalnaya Cave (SMNG). **A.** Leg-pair 1, anterior view. **B.** Left leg 1, lateral view. **C.** Head, ventral view. **D.** Head, anterolateral view. **E.** Head, lateral view. **F.** Left gonopods, mesal view. Scale bars: A, F = 0.1 mm; B = 0.05 mm; C–E = 0.2 mm.
Colour (Figs 1G, 47). Living animal with whitish head, legs and telson; due to thin and transparent cuticle, body looks blackish, with some greyish or blackish patterns. Yellowish white to yellowish-brown in alcohol.

Head (Figs 47B, E, 48C–E). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Labrum without labral teeth, with 4 supralabral setae (one paratype male with 5) and 29–35 labral setae. Gnathochilarium with a triangular promentum; lamellae linguales with 1+1 long distal and 4+4 long proximal setae; stipites with 3+3 long distolateral setae; no other setae. Antennae 2.3 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 170% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.1 (I), 0.35 (II), 0.54 (III), 0.48 (IV), 0.57 (V), 0.22 (VI), 0.1 (VII) and 0.04 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 2 (II), 3.4 (III), 3 (IV), 2.8 (V), 1.2 (VI) and 0.8 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with

Fig. 49. Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov., paratype ♂ from Pechalnaya Cave (ZMUM). A. Right gonopods, lateral view. B. Right gonopods, lateral view. C. Leg-pair 1, anterior view. D. Penes, posterior view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**Body rings** (Fig. 47H). Ventral and ventrolateral sides of metazonal area with longitudinal striations. Dorsal side with poorly visible striations. Length of midbody setae ca 6% of vertical diameter of rings.

**Telson** (Fig. 47C–D). Epiproct with a short, acuminate and triangular process covered with dorsal and lateral setae. Paraprocts rounded, densely setose. Hypoproct subrhomboid, covered with ca seven long setae in paratype male.

**Legs in males.** First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Figs 48A–B, 49C), with three podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with 4–6 setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with 2–3 setae; postfemur with one seta. Tibiotarsal part with a small distal lobe. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

**Ventral margin of male body ring 7** (Fig. 47F). Poorly developed, low, rounded in lateral view.

**Penes** (Fig. 49D). Elongate, apically with two long subtriangular lobes.

**Gonopods** (Figs 48F, 49A–B). Promere (p) long and slender, slightly curved anteriad, with a flagellum (f); apical part spatulate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two developed ridges. Mesomere (m) with a robust and denticulate mesosomal claw (mc); mesosomal lamella (ml) slightly convex, distal margin serrate, posterior margin finely fimbriate. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a short tip, and a well-developed and fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

**Distribution**

Known from several caves in the Alek-Dzykhrinsky and Arabika karst massifs (Fig. 57, black square). The occurrence of this species in some of these caves has previously been recorded by Sidorov et al. (2014), Turbanov (2015) and Turbanov et al. (2018).

**Remarks**

This new species occurs sympatrically or even syntopically with two other species of *Leucogeorgia* with modified mouthparts. In the Krubera Cave, it lives sympatrically with *L. profunda* sp. nov. (see above), while in the Nyshka Cave it is syntopic with *L. mystax* sp. nov.

**Genus Martvilia** gen. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2B37D666-2887-45E0-AC8D-ECE226C08BD8

Figs 50–51, 56, 58

**Diagnosis**

Differs from all other members of the tribe Leucogeorgiini by having an almost completely free mesomere separated by a deep incision from the mesosomal lamella. These two structures are connected only in the basal third in mesal view. Like some members of the genus *Leucogeorgia*, this genus is characterized by modified mouthparts.

**Etymology**

This new genus is named after the type locality of its type species, Martvili village. The name is a feminine noun.
Type species

*Martvilia parva* gen. et sp. nov., by present designation and monotypy.

*Martvilia parva* gen. et sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:8EDFD6FB-21FA-4C5D-8B56-89EE5EAEFC74
Figs 50–51, 56, 58

Diagnosis
As for the genus.

Etymology
From the Latin ‘*parvus*’ (= ‘small’), reflecting the small size of the species. Adjective.

Material examined

**Holotype**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti • ♂; Askhi karst Massif, near Martvili, Jortsku Cave; 42.51° N, 42.42° E; 2 Feb. 2017; D.M. Palatov and A.M. Sokolova leg.; ZMUM.

**Paratypes**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; ZMUM.

**Other material**
CENTRAL-WEST GEORGIA – Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti • 1 ♀; Martvili District, Askhi karst Massif, near Balda village, Motena Cave; 42.47° N, 42.39° E; 30 Jan. 2017; D.M. Palatov leg.; IZB.

Description

**Size and number of body rings.** Holotype male 12 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.15 mm, body with 27 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Paratype male 15 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.2 mm, body with 30 podous rings + 2 apodous rings + telson. Paratype female 11.5 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.15 mm, body with 25 podous rings + 1 apodous ring + telson. Non-type female 15 mm long, vertical diameter of largest body ring 1.5 mm, body with 33 podous rings + 0 apodous ring + telson.

**Colour** (Fig. 50). Yellowish white in alcohol.

**Head** (Fig. 50B). Without ommatidia. Frontal setae absent. Mouthparts modified. Labrum without labral teeth, with five supralabral setae in holotype and paratype males, and 24 labral setae in paratype male. Gnathochilarium (paratype male) with a subtriangular promentum; lamellae linguales with 2+2 long distal setae; stipites with 2+2 long distolateral setae; no other setae. Antennae 1.7 mm long in holotype male, their length ca 150% of vertical diameter of largest body ring. Lengths of antennomeres I–VIII (in mm): 0.11 (I), 0.43 (II), 0.33 (III), 0.3 (IV), 0.29 (V), 0.16 (VI), 0.06 (VII) and 0.02 (VIII). Length/width ratio of antennomeres I–VII: 0.8 (I), 2.8 (II), 2.2 (III), 2 (IV), 1.7 (V), 0.9 (VI) and 0.57 (VII). Antennomeres V and VI each with a terminal corolla of large sensilla basiconica bacilliformia; antennomere VII with a terminal corolla of small sensilla basiconica bacilliformia.

**Body rings** (Fig. 50D). Ventral and ventrolateral sides of metazonal areas with longitudinal striations. Dorsal side with poorly visible striations. Midbody setae short, barely visible, ca 4% of vertical diameter of rings.
Fig. 50. Martvilia parva gen. et sp. nov. (A–C, E: holotype ♂ from Jortsku Cave (ZMUM); D, F: non-type ♀ from Motena Cave (IZB)). A. Habitus, lateral view. B. Anterior part of body, lateral view. C. Posterior part of body, lateral view. D. Midbody rings, lateral view. E. Body ring 7, lateral view. F. Telson, posterior view. Arrow indicates metazonal seta. Scale bars: 1 mm.
TELSON (Fig. 50C, F). Epiproct with a short and blunt caudal process. Paraprocts rounded, with a few setae. Hypoproct subtrapezoid, with two long apical setae. Setae on telson mainly broken off.

LEGS IN MALES. First pair of legs modified, hook-shaped (Fig. 51D), with three podomeres; coxa with one seta; prefemur with two setae; femora, postfemora and tibiotarsi coalesced; femur with two setae, postfemur with one seta. Tip slightly tuberculate. Postfemoral and tibial ventral pads poorly developed on pregonopodal legs, then gradually disappearing on postgonopodal legs.

Fig. 51. Martvilia parva gen. et sp. nov., type ♂♂ (ZMUM). A. Holotype, left gonopods, mesal view. B. Holotype, left gonopods, lateral view. C. Paratype, right promere, posteromesal view. D. Paratype, leg-pair 1, anterior view. E. Paratype, penes, posterior view. Abbreviations: am = accessory membrane; f = flagellum; m = mesomere; mc = mesomeral claw; ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere; p = promere; pl = protective lamella; s = solenomere; v = velum. Scale bar: 0.3 mm.
VENTRAL MARGIN OF MALE BODY RING 7 (Fig. 50E). Poorly developed, low, subquadrangular in lateral view.

PENES (Fig. 51E). More or less elongate, subquadrangular, apically with short subtriangular lobes.

GONOPDS (Fig. 51A–C). Promere (p) long and slender, straight, wider at base in anteroposterior view, with a flagellum (f); apical part excavate, with denticulate margins; basal half with two ridges. Mesomere (m) with a slender, straight, columnar anterior part, apically with a denticulate mesomeral claw (mc); mesomeral lamella (ml) reduced in size, directed posteriad, anterior margin smooth, posterodistal margin fimbriate, entire lamella covered with fimbriae. Opisthomere (o) bipartite. Anterior branch of o with a solenomere (s) with a medium-sized tip and a fimbriate velum (v). Posterior branch of o in form of a shield-like protective lamella (pl). Mesomere and opisthomere connected basally with an accessory membrane (am).

Distribution
Known only from two caves in Samegrelo-Zemo Svaneti (Fig. 56, green triangles; Fig. 58, red circles).

Remarks
In both caves, this species has been found together with Leucogeorgia lobata sp. nov.

Key to the species of Caucasian Leugogeorgiini
1. Mouthparts normal ..........................................................................................................................2
   – Mouthparts modified ..................................................................................................................9

2. Head with ommatidia; metazonal setae absent (Figs 29–31) Leucogeorgia oculata sp. nov.
   – Head without ommatidia; metazonal setae present .....................................................................3

3. Mesomeral claw (mc) with teeth ....................................................................................................4
   – Mesomeral claw (mc) without teeth ...........................................................................................7

4. Mesomeral claw (mc) stocky and robust (Figs 16–18) Leucogeorgia borealis sp. nov.
   – Mesomeral claw (mc) more slender ............................................................................................5

5. Mesomeral claw (mc) with poorly developed teeth (almost absent); mesomeral lamella (ml) strongly serrate distally (Figs 19–21) Leucogeorgia gioi sp. nov.
   – Mesomeral claw (mc) with well-developed teeth; mesomeral lamella (ml) not strongly serrated distally ........................................................................................................................6

6. Mesomeral lamella (ml) sloping down posteriad, without posterior rise; midbody setae ca 5% of vertical diameter of rings (Figs 3–6) Leucogeorgia abchasica (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov.
   – Mesomeral lamella (ml) flattened, with a posterior rise; midbody setae ca 10% of vertical diameter of rings (Figs 14–15) Leucogeorgia satunini (Lohmander, 1936) comb. nov.

7. Body ring 2 in both sexes with well-developed ventral lobes (Figs 22–24) Leucogeorgia golovatchi sp. nov.
   – Body ring 2 without lobes .........................................................................................................8

8. Mesomeral lamella (ml) with a strongly developed lobe (l) (Figs 25–26, 27A–C, 28) Leucogeorgia lobata sp. nov.
   – Mesomeral lamella (ml) without such a strongly developed lobe (l); if ml present, then poorly developed (Figs 32–35) Leucogeorgia prometheus sp. nov.
9. Mesomeral lamella (ml) reduced, connected to base of mesomere (Figs 50–51) ...........................................
   – Mesomeral lamella (ml) strongly developed, starting just below mesomeral claw (mc) ........................10

10. Mesomeral claw (mc) without teeth (Figs 7–10) ..........Leucogeorgia longipes Verhoeff, 1930
   – Mesomeral claw (mc) with teeth ........................................................................................................11

11. Labrum strongly divided into two lobes; gnathochilarium with a distolateral bundle of long setae on stipites; lamellae linguales with two irregular rows of long, distal setae (Figs 39–41) ...
   – Labrum only slightly concave in middle; gnathochilarium without such groups of setae ........12

12. Posterior margin of hypoproct with a characteristic rounded extension in both sexes (Figs 42–43) 
   – Posterior margin of hypoproct without rounded extension ............................................................13

13. Epiproct with very long and sharp process, apically with a hyaline tip slightly curved upwards 
   (Figs 36–38) ................................................................Leucogeorgia caudata sp. nov.
   – Epiproct with a short or not too long and sharp process, without hyaline tip ..............................14

14. Anal valvae densely setose (Figs 47–49) .....................Leucogeorgia turbanovi sp. nov.
   – Anal valvae setose, but not too densely so ..................................................................................15

15. Body elongate, with 38–47 podous rings in males; hypoproct lanceolate; ventral margin of body ring 7 rounded; mesomeral lamella (ml) with a rather smooth, high and lobe-shaped central part 
   (Figs 11–13) ................................................................Leucogeorgia rediviva Golovatch, 1983
   – Body stocky, with 28–35 podous rings in males; hypoproct subrhomboid; ventral margin of body ring 7 low, more subquadrangular; mesomeral lamella flattened, slightly denticulate in central part (Figs 44–46) ................Leucogeorgia redivivoides sp. nov.

Additional Leucogeorgia material examined

We have also examined some females and juveniles of the genus Leucogeorgia from several other caves, but we do not dare assign them to any of the species treated above (Figs 57–58). Species with modified mouthparts were found in Mchishta (= Akshasha) Cave (43.24° N, 40.51° E) and Kelasurskaya Cave (43.02° N, 41.14° E). Species with normal mouthparts were found in Nizhnyaya Shakurskaya Cave (43.03° N, 41.33° E), Tkibula-Dz еврula Cave (42.34° N, 42.99° E), Nikortsmind Cave (42.46° N, 43.07° E), Bolshaya Kazachebrodskaya (= Verkhnyaya Mzymtinskaya = Akhshtyrskaya) Cave (43.52° N, 39.99° E), Zamshevaya Cave and Tsotne Dadiani Cave (42.75° N, 42.13° E) at the bottom of funnel 1 and at the bottom of funnel 2. These samples are deposited in the ZMUM collection.

Species richness estimation

Of our 16 Caucasian Leucogeorgiini species, L. prometheus sp. nov. and L. abchasica are the most widespread ones, with nine cave locations each. Four new species are known from only one cave (see Table 1). In most cases each species is represented by only a few specimens in each cave (except L. prometheus). In total, we have 58 species-cave (locality) records, where caves with two species are counted twice.

The species richness estimation revealed a mean CHAO1-estimator of 18, with lower and upper 95% boundaries of confidence intervals of 16 and 32, respectively. This means that we could expect from 16
Table 1 (continued on next 2 pages). Species distribution by regions and caves. CW Georgia = Central-West Georgia. Type localities in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cave</th>
<th>Total no. of specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia borealis</em>  sp. nov.</td>
<td>Russia/Krasnodar Province</td>
<td>Fanagoriyskaya Cave</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia turbanovi</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>Russia/Krasnodar Province Abkhazia/Gagry District</td>
<td>Pechalnaya Cave Krubera (= Krubera-Voronya) Cave Pozharnaya Cave Orlynoe Gnezdno Cave Nyshka Cave</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia mystax</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gagry District</td>
<td>Nyshka Cave</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia profunda</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gagry District Krubera (= Krubera-Voronya) Cave Veryovkina Cave Sarma Cave</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia rediviva</em></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gudauty District</td>
<td>Verkhne-Esherskaya Cave (= Sabatchya, Dzaglis) Snezhnaya Cave Khabyu Cave</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia abchasica</em></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Sukhumi District</td>
<td>Khasurskaya Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gudauty District</td>
<td>Snezhnaya Cave</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gulripsh District</td>
<td>Pakhuchaya Cave</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Ochamchira District</td>
<td>Bolshaya Medveditsa Cave</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tsebdinskaya (= Tsebdela) Cave</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dzykhayskaya-1+2 Cave</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abrskila (= Achzhe-Tyz-Gua) Cave / Golova Otapa Cave Yatapachui (= Kolodez nad Golovoi Otapa) Cave Samshitovaya Cave</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued). Species distribution by regions and caves. CW Georgia = Central-West Georgia. Type localities in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cave</th>
<th>Total no. of specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia caudata</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>Abkhazia/Sukhumi District</td>
<td>Adzaba Cave</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gudauty District</td>
<td>Novoafonskaya Cave (= New Athos Cave)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia satunini</em></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gulripsh District</td>
<td>Kelasurskaya Cave</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gorge of the Kelasuri River</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tselbeda</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia redivivooides</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gulripsh District</td>
<td>Nizhnyaya Shakuranskaya Cave</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia lobata</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Chkhorotsku District</td>
<td>Kalitshona (= Konglomeratnaya) Cave</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Garakha Cave</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Motena Cave</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jortsku Cave</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Martvilia parva</em> gen. et sp. nov.</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Martvili District</td>
<td>Motena Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jortsku Cave</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia prometheus</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Tsqsaltubo District</td>
<td>Kumistavi (= Prometheus) Cave</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Opicho Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetra Cave</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sakire Cave</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solkota Cave</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orpiri Cave</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calanschikii Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CW Georgia/Kutaisi District</td>
<td>Sataplia-I+II Cave</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia longipes</em></td>
<td>CW Georgia/Ambrolauri District</td>
<td>Dolabistavi Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CW Georgia/Chitatura District</td>
<td>Sakishore Cave</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CW Georgia/Kutaisi District</td>
<td>Kotias Cave</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rionhesi Cave</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tskhal-Tsiteli Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued). Species distribution by regions and caves. CW Georgia = Central-West Georgia. Type localities in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cave</th>
<th>Total no. of specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia golovatchi</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Ambrolauri District</td>
<td>Cave Tsakhi</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia gioi</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Chiatura District</td>
<td>Shvilobisa Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kotias Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sachinkia Cave</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kokozouri Cave</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Devis Khvreli Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia</em> spp with modified mouthparts</td>
<td>Abkhazia/Sukhumi District</td>
<td>Mchishta Cave (= Akshasha)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia</em> spp with normal mouthparts</td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gudauty District</td>
<td>Kelasurskaya Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia</em> spp with normal mouthparts</td>
<td>Russia/Krasnodar Province</td>
<td>Bolshaya Kazachebrodskaya Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abkhazia/Gulripsh District</td>
<td>Nizhnyaya Shakuranskaya Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia</em> spp with normal mouthparts</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Chkhorotsku District</td>
<td>Tsotne Dadiani Cave + funnels</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CW Georgia/Martvili District</td>
<td>Zamshevaya Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia</em> spp with normal mouthparts</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Tkibuli District</td>
<td>Tkibula-Dzevrula Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leucogeorgia</em> spp with normal mouthparts</td>
<td>CW Georgia/Ambrolauri District</td>
<td>Nikortsminda Cave</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to 32 species of Leucogeorgiini in the western Caucasus, most likely 18 species. Thus, most probably only two species are yet to be discovered. Based on this species richness estimation, our revision has captured the species diversity of the Leucogeorgini almost completely.

It is discouraging that, according to the estimation, in order to locate these two missing species, probably another 41 localities inhabited by Leucogeorgiini species (in addition to the 58 already known locations) need to be investigated (Fig. 52).

**Fig. 52.** Species estimation–extrapolated rarefaction curve. X-axis: cave location with species of Leucogeorgiini (each cave–species record counts separately); Y-axis: estimated number of species.
Additional leucogeorgiinine species examined

Chromatoiulus podabrus (Latzel, 1884)
Figs 53A–B, 54A–B

Material examined
NORTH MACEDONIA – Šar Mountains • 1 ♂; Jelak; IZB.

Heteroiulus intermedius (Brölemann, 1892)
Fig. 54D–E

Material examined
ITALY – Trentino Alto Adige • 1 ♂; Trento Province, near Riva del Garda; 45.87° N, 10.84° E; 30 Jun. 2015; M. Bodner leg.; IZB.

Lamellotyphlus sotirovi Makarov, Mitić & Ćurčić, 2002
Figs 53D, 54G–H

Material examined (topotypic)
SERBIA – Miroč Mountain • 1 ♂; Donji Milanovac, village Golubinje, Buronov Ponor Cave; 17 Mar. 2015; D. Antić and D. Marković leg.; IZB.

Nepalmatoiulus birmanicus (Pocock, 1893)
Figs 53C, 54C

Material examined
THAILAND – Krabi Province • 2 ♂♂; Krabi, Maritime Park and Spa Resort; 26 Jul. 2017; D. Antić leg.; IZB.

Pteridoiulus aspidiorum Verhoeff, 1913
Figs 53F, 54F

Material examined
AUSTRIA – Carinthia • 1 ♂; St. Oswald, Nockberge; 46.86° N, 13.75° E, 1905 m a.s.l.; 18 Jun. 2016; M. Bodner leg.; IZB.

Telsonius nycteridonis Strasser, 1976
Figs 53E, 54I

Material examined
Lectotype (here designated)
GREECE – Macedonia • ♂; Nycteridon Cave, village Petralona; 10 Oct. 1974; P. Beron and V. Beškov leg.; “Telsonius n. g. nycter. n. sp. Holotype ♂; Grotte ‘Spilja nycteridon’, v. Petralona, distr. Salonique, Grèce du Nord; 10.10.1974; P. Beron, V. Beškov leg.”; NMNHS 10810 (alcohol material, body in four pieces), NMNHS 10810a (microscopic slide labeled as “Holotypus”, with gnathochilarium, leg-pairs 1 and 2, legs 3 and 4), NMNHS 10810b (microscopic slide labeled as “Holotypus”, with right gonopods, part of body ring 7 and one antenna).
Fig. 53. A–B. *Chromatoiulus podabrus* (Latzel, 1884), *in situ*, specimens from near Trebinje, Bosnia and Herzegovina (photos: Marjan Komnenov (A); Dragan Antić (B)). C. *Nepalmatoiulus birmanicus* (Pocock, 1893), habitus, lateral view, ♀ from Krabi, Thailand (IZB). D. *Lamellotyphlus sotirovi* Makarov, Mitić & Ćurić, 2002, *in situ*, topotype specimen from Buronov Ponor Cave (IZB) (photo: Dragan Antić). E. *Telsonius nycteridonis* Strasser, 1976, lectotype ♀ from Nycteridon Cave, Greece (NMNHS 10810), telson, dorsolateral view. F. *Pteridoiulus aspidiorum* Verhoeff, 1913, habitus, lateral view, ♂ from Nockberge, Austria (photo: Michaela Bodner). Scale bars: C, F = 1 mm; E = 0.5 mm.
Remarks
On the labels of the vial and on the two microscopic slides of the lectotype male of *Telsonius nycteridonis*, Strasser clearly indicated “holotypus”, but he did not designate a holotype in the original description of the species (Strasser 1976). According to ICZN 72.4.7: “The mere citation of “Type” or equivalent expression, in a published work other than that in which the nominal species-group taxon is established, or in an unpublished catalogue of a museum, or on a label, is not necessarily evidence that a specimen is or is fixed as any of the kinds of types referred to in this Chapter”. The designation of the holotype and paratypes is only valid if it appears in the original description of the species. Since this is not the case with *Telsonius nycteridonis*, the type male of this species in NMNHS is to be considered as a syntype which we herewith designate as the lectotype to fix the taxonomy of this species.

Discussion
Notes on troglomorphism and mouthpart modifications in Caucasian Leucogeorgiini

All described species of the genus *Leucogeorgia*, with the exception of *L. satunini* and *L. oculata* sp. nov., are found exclusively in caves. Since *L. satunini*, in addition to in Kelasuri Cave, was also encountered in the gorge of the Kelasuri River and in forest litter near Tsebelda (Golovatch 1985), this species is rather to be considered as troglophilic. *Leucogeorgia oculata* sp. nov. can be considered as an endogean species, which is currently known only from subalpine litter and meadow soil. All other described species of this genus can be regarded as troglobites, with more or less pronounced troglomorphic characteristics, such as body depigmentation, elongate appendages and the loss of ommatidia. However, in addition to these trogloomorphic traits, some of the species of *Leucogeorgia* have gone a step further. Almost half of them (7 species) are characterized by highly modified mouthparts, presumably for filtering the diet in water or on thin films of water in very humid places in the caves. These modifications are clearly reflected in the reduction of the biting parts of the mandibles, in the hypertrophied pectinate lamellae, as well as in modifications of the labrum and gnathochilarium.

Reduced biting parts of the mandible mean the internal and external teeth are underdeveloped, while these structures are strongly developed in congeners with normal mouthparts (Fig. 55A–B, white arrows; for more details see Enghoff 1985: 69, figs 1–2).

Another obvious modification is the hypertrophy of the pectinate lamellae, whose teeth are long, thin and densely packed, in contrast to congeners with normal mouthparts where the teeth of the pectinate lamellae are shorter, more sparse and appear stronger (Fig. 55, black arrow; for more details see Enghoff 1985: 70, figs 6–7). Both of these modifications (reduction of biting parts and hypertrophy of pectinate lamellae) strongly suggest and support a presumed filtered diet.

Fig. 54 (on page 92). Gonopods of some other Leucogeorgiini. A. *Chromatoiulus podabrus* (Latzel, 1884), left gonopods, lateral view, ♂ from Šar Mountains, Northern Macedonia (IZB). B. *Chromatoiulus podabrus* (Latzel, 1884), right gonopods, mesal view, ♂ from Šar Mountains, Northern Macedonia (IZB). C. *Nepalmatoiulus birmanicus* (Pocock, 1893), right gonopods, mesal view, ♂ from Krabi, Thailand (IZB). D. *Heteroiulus intermedius* (Brölemann, 1892), left promere, mesal view, ♂ from near Riva del Garda, Italy (IZB). E. *Heteroiulus intermedius* (Brölemann, 1892), left posterior gonopod, mesal view, ♂ from near Riva del Garda, Italy (IZB). F. *Pteridoiulus aspidiorum* Verhoeff, 1913, left gonopods, mesal view, ♂ from Nockberge, Austria (IZB). G. *Lamellotyphlus sotirovi* Makarov, Mitić & Ćurčić, 2002, topotype ♂ from Buronov Ponor Cave, left gonopods, mesal view (IZB). H. *Lamellotyphlus sotirovi* Makarov, Mitić & Ćurčić, 2002, topotype ♂ from Buronov Ponor Cave, right posterior gonopod, mesal view (IZB). I. *Telsonius nycteridonis* Strasser, 1976, lectotype ♂ from Nycteridon Cave, Greece, right gonopods, lateral view (NMNHS 10810b). Abbreviations: ml = mesomeral lamella; o = opisthomere. Scale bars: 0.1 mm.
Fig. 55. Head of species in the genus *Leucogorgia* Verhoeff, 1930. **A.** *L. caudata* sp. nov., ♂ from Adzaba Cave, ventrolateral view (ZMUM). **B.** *L. prometheus* sp. nov., ♂ from Sataplia II Cave, ventral view (ZMUM). **C.** *L. turbanovi* sp. nov., paratype ♂, ventral view (SMNG). **D.** *L. prometheus* sp. nov., ♂ from Sataplia I Cave, ventral view (SMNG). **E.** *L. rediviva* Golovatch, 1983, ♂ from Khabyu Cave, ventral view (SMNG). **F.** *L. longipes* Verhoeff, 1930, ♂ from Dolabistavi Cave, ventral view (SMNG). **G.** *L. mystax* sp. nov., holotype ♂, ventral view (ZMUM). White arrows indicate mandibular teeth, black arrows indicate pectinate teeth, transparent oval and circle show medial group of shorter setae on stipites, transparent rectangle shows three reduced labral teeth. Scale bars: 0.2 mm.
Further modifications concern the labrum. While the labrum in species with normal mouthparts is characterized by three well-developed, medial, labral teeth, as well as the presence of always four supralabral setae and a smaller number (12–18) of labral setae, in congeners with modified mouthparts the situation is different. These animals are characterized by a reduction of labral teeth. The reduction can be complete, with the labral teeth completely absent (see Fig. 55C, F–G), but it can also be partial when the individual has three strongly reduced, small labral teeth (see Fig. 55E, transparent rectangle). As mentioned above and for the reasons given, the presence of three small labral teeth in some specimens is not to be considered of taxonomic value. Some species with modified mouthparts show a tendency to increase the number of supralabral setae, as some of them, viz., L. rediviva, L. redivivoides sp. nov. and L. turbanovi sp. nov., can have 5–7 supralabral setae, i.e., more than the ‘normal’ four. As far as the labral setae are concerned, the species with modified mouthparts are characterized by a higher number (26–35) of setae compared to congeners with normal mouthparts (12–18). The two exceptions are L. longipes and L. mystax sp. nov., both having the number of labral setae within the range of their congeners with normal mouthparts.

Finally, these two ecological groups also differ in the structure of the gnathochilarium. In species with modified mouthparts, this structure has the shape of an inverted trapezoid, whose width gradually decreases from the anterior (distal) to the posterior (proximal) part, while their lamellae linguales are noticeably wider (Fig. 55C). On the other hand, species with normal mouthparts have a gnathochilarium whose width is sharply decreased in its posterior (proximal) half, while the lamellae linguales are noticeably narrower compared to those in the modified relatives (Fig. 55D). Additionally, the gnathochilarium differs between those groups in setation. Almost all species with modified mouthparts (except L. longipes) are characterized by the absence of a medial group of shorter setae on the stipites, which are present in species with normal mouthparts (Fig. 55D, transparent oval) and L. longipes, but in the latter species these setae are moved more posteriorly (proximal) (Fig. 55F, transparent circle). Besides this, in most species with modified mouthparts the lamellae linguales are provided with 1+1 long distal (anterior) and several posterior (proximal) shorter setae, while congeners with normal mouthparts show a continuous row of setae on both lamellae linguales. Exceptions to the above are again L. longipes and L. mystax sp. nov., which are characterized by an increased number of distal (anterior) setae on the lamellae linguales: 3–5 in L. longipes and 9–11 in L. mystax sp. nov. Furthermore, the gnathochilarium in L. mystax sp. nov. looks bizarre, with two irregular, transverse, distal (anterior) rows of 9–10 long setae and disto(antero)lateral bundles of long setae on the stipites (Fig. 55G). According to Giurginca et al. (2020: 467, fig. 2a–c), their recently described blaniulid, Archiboreoiulus serbansarbui Giurginca, Vánoaica, Šusrt & Tajovský, 2020, also appears to have similar bundles of long setae on the stipites, reminiscent of ‘moustaches’, as well as long setae on the lamellae linguales, but these structures, unfortunately, are not mentioned in their description. Similar modifications of the mouthparts seen in Leucogeorgia are also characteristic of Martvilia parva gen. et sp. nov.

In addition to the modified mouthparts, these species differ from relatives with normal mouthparts by the presence of longer legs ending with strongly developed claws, a slightly stocky body, with less numerous body rings compared to their length, longer antennae (an exception is L. longipes) and a somewhat elongate head, which is dorso-ventrally more flattened. Thus, such specimens can easily be distinguished at first sight from their relatives with normal mouthparts.

The phenomenon of modified mouthparts, although rare, is well documented in several Euro-Mediterranean cave Julida, as well as in a few genera of the order Polydesmida (Enghoff 1985; Antić et al. 2017). Similar mouthpart modifications within the family Julidae, in addition to those in Leucogeorgia and Martvilia gen. nov., have long been known to exist in the genera Trogloiulus Manfredi, 1931 and Typhloiulus Latzel, 1884, with two and four cave species with modified mouthparts, respectively. These modifications obviously looked so striking to Verhoeff (1930) that he established a separate family for the genus Leucogeorgia alone. However, as Enghoff (1985) pointed out, such modifications reflect
only special adaptations to the ecological conditions (presumed semi-aquatic life) in which these species live and must have developed several times independently within millipedes. Therefore, they are of limited phylogenetic significance. Within the genera Trogloiulus and Typhloiulus, this has been proven by the existence of closely related congeners with normal mouthparts. Especially within Typhloiulus, it seems that the four known species with modified mouthparts are not too closely related to one another, being classified in different subgenera and showing their closest relatives with normal mouthparts. Thus, a formal synonymization of the genus Archileucogeorgia Lohmander, 1936 syn. nov., as a junior subjective synonym, under the older name Leucogeorgia Verhoeff, 1930 is completely justified (see also below).

It is noteworthy that in some caves, two species of the genus Leucogeorgia with modified mouthparts can co-exist. Since such species have been revealed, in almost all cases, in different parts of their caves, the question arises whether they occupy different niches or occur syntopically. One good example of separating two species of the same genus with the same modifications concerns the Krubera Cave, where L. turbanovi sp. nov. lives closer to the surface to a depth of -100 m, while L. profunda sp. nov. occurs in the deep part of the cave (-1650 m). In addition to the Krubera Cave, these two species can be found in several other caves, albeit not sympatrically. They demonstrate patterns of vertical distribution similar to that in the Krubera Cave, where L. turbanovi sp. nov. lives closer to the surface (-7 m, -30 m, -120 m), while L. profunda sp. nov. is found much deeper (-1260 m, -1360 m, -1370 m). This may be evidence that these two species are parapatric and are not in contact with each other. Contrary to this is the presence of L. mystax sp. nov. and L. turbanovi sp. nov. in the Nyshka Cave at a depth of -7 m. This pair of species is likely to be syntopic. Although they have modified mouthparts, their drastic differences seem to be evidence of their having different lifestyles and diets. In several other caves, we have further examples where two species of the genus Leucogeorgia live sympatrically, one with modified and the other with normal mouthparts. This is much easier to explain, given that both represent ecologically different forms, one of which prefers to feed on terrestrial organic matter in decay, while the other has most likely resolved the problem of finding food by occasionally entering the water. It is also worth mentioning that in the Kelasurskaya Cave as many as three different species of Leucogeorgia are found to occur, viz., L. abchasica and L. satunini, the latter also recorded outside the cave, as well as an unidentified Leucogeorgia with modified mouthparts.

What we can say with certainty now is that the western Caucasus is the region that seems to support the largest number of julid species with modified mouthparts, as many as eight. Future studies must also focus on the ecology of these fascinating animals. Examining their ways of feeding, their vertical distributions, their ways of speciation in sympatry or even syntopy, as well as their occasional life in water could be of importance for understanding the evolution of and colonization by cave organisms.

Notes on the distribution of and relationships within the genus Leucogeorgia

With its unique flora, fauna and ecosystems, the Caucasus ecoregion has long been known as one of the world’s biodiversity hotspots (Zazanashvili et al. 2004). As far as the millipede fauna is concerned, it is very rich and highly diverse, with >160 species, >50 genera, 14 families and eight orders presently being known. All species in the orders Platydesmida, Polyzoniida, Siphonocryptida, Glomerida and Chordeumatida, as well as most species of Julida and Polydesmida, are native, being endemic or subendemic to the Caucasus, but most of the genera and all of the families and orders they represent are widely distributed at least across the Euro-Mediterranean Realm. The endemism of the Diplopoda in the Caucasus is overwhelming, amounting to >85% and 50% at the specific and generic levels, respectively. As many as 25 millipede genera are endemic or subendemic to the Caucasus, including all 21 genera and 44 species of Chordeumatida, all in the Holarctic family Anthroleucosomatidae, that are presently known to inhabit the region (Antić & Makarov 2016; Antić et al. 2018a; Kokhia & Golovatch 2018, 2020). There are neither families nor orders of Diplopoda that are confined to the Caucasus region alone.
Biogeographically, most of the millipede richness and diversity in the Caucasus is shared by two major realms of the eastern Mediterranean, viz., the Colchidan province in the west, lying along the eastern coast of the Black Sea, and the Hyrcanian province in the east, spanning along the southwestern and southern coasts of the Caspian Sea (e.g., Wulff 1944; Iablokov-Khnzorian 1961). The western Caucasus fully belongs to the Colchidan biogeographic province.

The Caucasus, especially the western Caucasus, has long been recognized as a major refuge for a large number of cave invertebrates. One of the first major studies related to the cave fauna of the western Caucasus was that of Birstein (1950), who noticed the great potential of this region, although he had to deal with a limited number of samples and data. More recently, Culver et al. (2006) concluded that “There are potential subterranean hotspots in the karst regions of western Caucasus...”. Cave Diplopoda of the western Caucasus, despite several earlier sporadic records and/or descriptions, have hitherto been surveyed only once, by Golovach (1985), who was forced to also consider numerous species that were unidentified at that time. The number of described cavernicolous millipedes in the Caucasus has since increased very significantly (e.g., Antić & Makarov 2016; Antić et al. 2018a) and it continues to grow, e.g., with the present contribution.

All Caucasian Leucogeorgiini appear to be restricted to the western Caucasus. Their species range from the Fanagorayskaya Cave in Krasnodar Province, Russia in the northwest to the Kotias Cave in Chiatura District, Georgia in the southeast (Fig. 56). As noted above, since mouthpart modifications are of no...
particular phylogenetic significance, the genera *Archileucogeorgia* and *Leucogeorgia* are herewith synonymized. Moreover, if we pay attention to the gonopods as one of the most important characters in helminthomorph millipedes, two clear-cut groups can be distinguished within *Leucogeorgia*, each including representatives with modified and normal mouthparts. This fits very nicely biogeographically. Birstein (1950), like some of his predecessors, observed that within the western Caucasus there is a more or less clear division between the cave faunas of the northwestern and southeastern parts of the region. Such a division is obvious in the genus *Leucogeorgia* as well.

The first group ranges from the northwestern karst area in Krasnodar Province in the northwest to the Panavsky karst Massif in the southeast (Figs 56–57). This group includes *L. borealis* sp. nov., *L. abchasica* and *L. satunini* with normal mouthparts, as well as *L. caudata* sp. nov., *L. mystax* sp. nov., *L. profunda* sp. nov., *L. redivoides* sp. nov., *L. turbanovi* sp. nov. and *L. rediviva* with modified mouthparts. In addition, this group comprises the only endogenous member of the genus, *L. oculata* sp. nov. All of these species are characterized by having more or less robust mesomeral claws with developed teeth. The robustness of the mesomeral claw seems to change from northwest to southeast, from a more robust to a less robust condition. Thus, here we have *L. abchasica*, as the southeasternmost species of this group, which is characterized by the least robust mesomeral claw and, in some cases,

![Fig. 57. Distribution of the northwestern group of the genus *Leucogeorgia* Verhoeff, 1930.](image)
with a reduced number of teeth on it. As far as the structure of the mesomeral claw is concerned, it seems, although probably superficially, that in some regions, species with modified mouthparts have their counterparts with normal mouthparts.

The second group ranges from the Odishi Plateau and Askhi karst Massif, as part of the Egrisi Mountain Range in the northwest, to the Zemo Imereti Plateau to the southeast (Figs 56, 58). This group is half as diverse as the previous one and includes *L. gioi* sp. nov., *L. golovatchi* sp. nov., *L. lobata* sp. nov. and *L. prometheus* sp. nov. with normal mouthparts, as well as *L. longipes* as the sole member with modified mouthparts. Interestingly, all of them are characterized by having slender and more or less anteriorly curved mesomeral claws, thus completely different compared to the ‘northwestern’ group. Besides this, all but one are characterized by the complete absence of teeth on the mesomeral claw. Only *L. gioi* sp. nov. shows a few poorly developed teeth on the mesomeral claw and thus could superficially be regarded as almost toothless.

According to Birstein (1950), who, as mentioned earlier, recognized the cave fauna of the western Caucasus as being divided into a northwestern and a southeastern part, the boundary between the subfaunas lies more to the northwest compared to what we have found in the genus *Leucogeorgia*. According to our data, the border between these two groups could be the Enguri River Basin (Fig. 56, white dashed line), where the Kodori and Egrisi mountain ranges collide. A similar distribution can be seen in the cave anthroleuchosomatids (Antić & Makarov 2016). On the one hand, the cave representatives of the *Caucaseuma* complex, viz., the genera *Caucaseuma* Strasser, 1970, *Brachychaetosoma* Antić &

Fig. 58. Distribution of the southeastern group of the genus *Leucogeorgia* Verhoeff, 1930 and the species *Martvilia parva* gen. et sp. nov.
Makarov, 2016 and Heterocaucaseuma Antić & Makarov, 2016, cover the northwestern part of the western Caucasus. On the other hand, the caves of the southeastern part are only inhabited by two representative genera, Achanthophorella Antić & Makarov, 2016 and Ratcheuma Golovatch, 1985, both belonging to completely different anthroleucosomatid generic complexes, the Flagellophorella and Ratcheuma ones, respectively. The only exception is the monotypic troglobitic genus Georgiosoma Antić & Makarov, 2016 from the Caucaseuma complex, which inhabits a cave in the southeastern part of the western Caucasus.

The presence of two biogeographic groups within the genus Leucogeorgia, which differ from each other in the structure of the mesomeral claw, regardless of whether or not they have modified mouthparts, substantiates our synonymization of the genera Archileucogeorgia and Leucogeorgia.

Notes on the relationships within the tribe Leucogeorgiini

The monophyly of the tribe Leucogeorgiini has previously already been questioned by Enghoff (1987), since there are no synapomorphies to support it. At the same time, that paper is the latest one that refers to the composition of the tribe Leucogeorgiini based on morphological characters. Enghoff (1987) mainly followed the previous authors (Strasser 1976; Hoffman 1980; Mauriès 1983), but he also added the genus Lamellotyphlus Tabacaru, 1976 to this tribe. The tribe thus included the following eight genera:

- Leucogeorgia
- Archileucogeorgia (now considered as a junior subjective synonym of Leucogeorgia)
- Syniulus Strasser, 1974
- Paratyphloiulus Mauriès, 1983 (considered as a synonym of Syniulus sensu Kime & Enghoff 2017)
- Telsonius Strasser, 1976
- Lamellotyphlus Tabacaru, 1976
- Chromatoiulus Verhoeff, 1894
- Heteroiulus Verhoeff, 1897

All these genera are characterized by having more or less strongly developed longitudinal lamella on the posterior gonopods, a trait that can also be seen in some other julids, such as Oncoiulini or some members of Typhloiulini.

More recently, Enghoff et al. (2011, 2013) were able to confirm, using molecular evidence, that such a composition of the tribe Leucogeorgiini, based on a relatively small number of morphological characters alone, seems really unnatural. Although not all genera of this tribe were included in the study, the results obtained appeared confusing, while they did allow for some more conclusions to be made. In addition, the recent work of Makarov et al. (2017) revealed the systematic position of the genus Lamellotyphlus. According to these papers, the tribe Leucogeorgiini presently seems to be non-monophyletic, comprising two distant clades.

On the one hand, we have a clade consisting of the genus Archileucogeorgia (now Leucogeorgia) as the sister to the genera Heteroiulus and Pteridoiulus Verhoeff, 1913, as monophyletic (Enghoff et al. 2013). Such a grouping of the genera Leucogeorgia and Heteroiulus is supported by the structure of their gonopods. Both genera are characterized by a strongly developed mesomere featuring a mesomeral claw and a strongly developed mesomeral lamella, where the mesomere is almost completely separated from the opisthomere (see Figs 2, 54F), a character state which could be treated as apomorph. In the past, of course the genus Pteridoiulus was never assigned to the tribe Leucogeorgiini, but formed a group of its own (Pteridoiulini, Pteridoiulinae or Pteridoiulidae). This was based on the structure of the gonopods, especially the posterior ones, which resemble two long ‘rods’ (Fig. 54F) and, according to Enghoff et al. (2013), are strongly autapomorphic. No one could have guessed that molecular analyses would group this
genus into a clade together with _Leucogeorgia_ and _Heteroiulus_, both latter genera being characterized by completely different structures of the posterior gonopods. The only feature that connects the sister genera _Heteroiulus_ and _Pteridoiulus_ from this perspective, in addition to their occurrence in the Alps, is the structure of their body. Both these genera are characterized by a small, ‘cylindriouloid’ body, with neither frontal nor metazonal setae as a synapomorphy, a well-developed process on the telson, as well as the presence of 3+3 setae on the paraprocts (Fig. 53F). Thus, superficially, they do resemble each other. The genus _Leucogeorgia_, as well as _Martvilia parva_ gen. et sp. nov., on the other hand, includes mainly troglobites which are, like the preceding two genera, characterized by the absence of frontal setae, but show metazonal setae and more numerous setae on the paraprocts. However, the only endogean species of this genus, _L. oculata_ sp. nov., does resemble _Heteroiulus_ and _Pteridoiulus_, being characterized by the absence of metazonal setae, the presence of a well-developed process on the telson, as well as the presence of 3+3 setae on the paraprocts.

The second clade, quite distant from the first one, includes three genera, namely the epigean East to Southeast Asian genera _Anaulaciulus_ Pocock, 1895 and _Nepalmatoiulus_ Mauriès, 1983, as well as the troglobitic Balkan genus _Lamellotyphlus_ (see Enghoff _et al_. 2013; Makarov _et al_. 2017). Like _Pteridoiulus_, the genus _Anaulaciulus_ has never been considered within the tribe Leucogeorgiini, since it is characterized by the complete absence of a mesomere or mesomeral process. On the other hand, Enghoff (1987) recognized that the genus _Lamellotyphlus_ may be close to _Nepalmatoiulus_ (although together with six other genera within the Leucogeorgiini), which is now confirmed molecularly, where _Lamellotyphlus_ and _Nepalmatoiulus_ are sister genera (Makarov _et al_. 2017). Unlike the previous clade, these three genera are characterized by the presence of frontal setae. Leaving aside the genus _Anaulaciulus_, some homologies in the structure of the posterior gonopods can be observed in the genera _Lamellotyphlus_ and _Nepalmatoiulus_. The recently published SEM pictures of the genus _Nepalmatoiulus_ by Mikhaljova (2020) allowed us to notice that, unlike in the genera _Leucogeorgia_ and _Heteroiulus_, there is no division of the posterior gonopods into a mesomere and an opisthomere, but these structures are completely fused, leaving only a free part of the solenomere distally. A completely fused meso-opisthomere is also characteristic of _Lamellotyphlus_. Furthermore, both _Nepalmatoiulus_ and _Lamellotyphlus_ are characterized by the presence of an anterodistal mesomeral process, although it looks more primitive in _Lamellotyphlus_, where it is not so obvious as in _Nepalmatoiulus_ (see Fig. 53C, G–H). Since both molecular evidence and some gonopodal characters have shown the genera _Nepalmatoiulus_ and _Lamellotyphlus_ to be related, the latter genus can then be considered as a highly relict taxon.

The remaining genera that Enghoff (1987) considered to represent Leucogeorgiini have unfortunately not yet been processed molecularly and there are almost no other data on their species, apart from the original descriptions and drawings. We have had the opportunity to study representatives of two of these genera, the Italo-Balkan epigean _Chromatoiulus_ Podabrus (Latzel, 1884) and the type male of the troglobitic Greek _Telsonius nycteridonis_ Strasser, 1976. Our examination reveals that the mesomeral lamella in _C. podabrus_ seems to be fully divided from the opisthomere, reaching the posteriormost margin of the opisthomere on the lateral side. Something like this can also be seen in _Leucogeorgia_ and _Martvilia_ gen. nov. _Chromatoiulus_ _podabrus_, like some _Leucogeorgia_, _Martvilia_ gen. nov., _Heteroiulus_ and _Pteridoiulus_, is also characterized by the absence of frontal and metazonal setae, the presence of 3+3 setae on the paraprocts and of a well-developed process on the telson; thus, superficially it resembles _Heteroiulus_, _Pteridoiulus_ and _L. oculata_ sp. nov. On the other hand, parts of each posterior gonopod of _T. nycteridonis_ seem to be fully merged, this showing a similarity to _Nepalmatoiulus_. Additionally, _T. nycteridonis_ is characterized by the presence of frontal setae as seen in both _Nepalmatoiulus_ and _Lamellotyphlus_.

Finally, based on the original descriptions and drawings alone, the troglobitic _Syniulus_, with three species from Sardinia and Spain, appears to show a posterior gonopod structure similar to the one observed in _Leucogeorgia_, _Martvilia_ gen. nov., _Heteroiulus_ and _Chromatoiulus_, where the mesomeral lamella is
divided from the opisthomere. In addition, *Syniulus* is characterized by the absence of frontal setae, as in the other previously mentioned genera, as well as the absence of metazonal setae as in *Chromatoiulus, Heteroiulus, Pteridoiulus* and *L. oculata* sp. nov.

Considering all the above, as well as the observations partially supported by molecular evidence, the tribe Leucogeorgiini seems to be non-monophyletic and currently consists of two independent clades. On the one hand, there is a clade that in our opinion could include the genera *Leucogeorgia, Martvilia* gen. nov., *Chromatoiulus, Heteroiulus, Syniulus* (with *Paratyphloiulus*), as well as the deviant genus *Pteridoiulus* (only by molecular analyses). If this group does prove to be monophyletic in the future, including the genus *Pteridoiulus*, then the name of the tribe should be replaced by the name *Pteridoiulini* Verhoeff, 1913, because it is older than the name *Leucogeorgiini* Verhoeff, 1930. Since we have not really dealt with the phylogenetic relationships within this group in this paper, being based primarily on taxa from the western Caucasus, we use the more familiar name – *Leucogeorgiini*. On the other hand, there is a clade that consists of the East to Southeast Asian genera *Nepalmatoiulus* and *Anaulaciulus*, the latter morphologically deviant, as well as the genus *Lamellotyphlus*, and possibly also the genus *Telsonius*, both latter troglobitic genera from the Balkans, and probably both highly relict. In the first group, only the genera *Leucogeorgia* and *Martvilia* gen. nov. are characterized by a deep division of the opisthomere, while *Martvilia* gen. nov. is also special in the presence of an almost free mesomere, an apomorphic condition seen in ‘higher’ julids.

As mentioned above, there are some other julids that are characterized by a strongly developed opisthosomal lamella, such as the tribes *Oncoiulini* and *Typhloiulini*. However, this lamella completely connects the mesomeral and opisthosomal parts in *Oncoiulini*, as well as in some *Typhloiulini*, including in the type species of the type genus *Typhloiulus* Latzel, 1884, viz., *Typhloiulus strictus* (Latzel, 1884) (*T. serborum* Ćurčić & Makarov, 2005, probably a junior subjective synonym of *T. strictus*, and *T. parvulus* Antić & Dražina, 2018). Nevertheless, Enghoff et al. (2013) and Makarov et al. (2017) showed that the analyzed *Oncoiulini* (*Unciger* Brandt, 1841) and *Typhloiulini* with a lamella group separately from each other and from both clades of *Leucogeorgiini*. One more genus of an uncertain position, currently assigned to *Typhloiulini*, is the Romanian monotypic genus *Banatoiulus* Tabacaru, 1985. This genus superficially resembles *Lamellotyphlus* and is characterized by the completely merged mesomeral and opisthosomal parts and, as in *Lamellotyphlus*, it only has a presumed mesomeral lobe. Since the presence of an opisthosomal lamella is a plesiomorphic character state, such a structure could be retained in some representatives of phylogenetically distant taxa and as such it is of little informative value for the interpretation of a phylogeny between groups. This rather confusing situation in the tribe *Leucogeorgiini* is quite far from being settled. As polyphyletic or paraphyletic groups do not exist in reality, they are just the fruit of our misclassification. Now, more than 30 years after Enghoff’s paper, we can only repeat his words: “…the interrelationships between leucogeorgiine genera are left to future studies” (Enghoff 1987).

**Notes on species abundance and ecology**

Interestingly, some species are known only from a few specimens, e.g., *L. turbanovi* sp. nov., *L. profunda* sp. nov. and *L. longipes*. Furthermore, four species are only known from one cave each, viz., *L. borealis* sp. nov., *L. mystax* sp. nov., *L. redivivoideos* sp. nov. and *L. golovatchi* sp. nov. Many of those caves were intensively and repeatedly searched for specimens. No stable population can exist with just a few specimens. The majority of the specimens of the populations must therefore be hidden inside crevices, tubes and other subterranean interstitial habitats, such as “MSS” (milieu souterrain superficiel, or mesovoid shallow substratum). The proven high regional abundance of some species – *L. prometheus* sp. nov. and *L. abchasica* – speaks for an active intercave exchange of these millipedes. This will also be the case for the deep-dwelling species like *L. profunda* sp. nov. or *L. turbanovi* sp. nov., which also could be verified for several caves each.
On the other hand, we do not have yet proof for this expected ‘life behind the walls’. Like all other cave life, leucogeorgiine millipedes are not directly exposed to terranean diurnial or seasonal cycles and the local population can be regarded as fragile against any new environmental changes. Therefore, it is highly necessary to restrict the impact of any human activity to a minimum. This also applies to the scientific collecting of specimens, which should always be limited to a sustainable minimum.

Notes on species richness estimation

With 16 species of Leucogeorgiini having been revealed to date, almost all of the 18 average species to be expected have already been discovered. To find the two as yet ‘missing’ species would necessitate almost the same effort as expended until now. To find another 41 occurrences of leucogeorgiine species sounds almost impossible if we assume that all major caves in the western Caucasus are known. Anyhow, if at some time the real habitat of the cave millipedes, the still unknown ‘life behind the walls’, can be accessed, new species will be discovered for sure. In this case, the potential species number will be shifted more towards the maximum of 32 expectable species based on the 95% upper boundary of the confidence interval. This will also be part of the already ongoing further studies.
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