



**Research article**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:8364CCA1-11A1-437F-944D-BA70C690D7F7

**On the rare Neotropical genus *Ophionthus* Bernhauer  
(Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Staphylininae): redescription of  
the type species and description of a new species**

Maryzender RODRÍGUEZ-MELGAREJO<sup>1,\*</sup> & Mariana CHANI-POSSE<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Laboratorio de Entomología, Instituto Argentino de Investigaciones de las Zonas Áridas (IADIZA, CCT CONICET, Mendoza), Casilla de Correo 507, 5500 Mendoza, Argentina.

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Entomología, Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Apartado 14-0434, Lima 14, Perú.

<sup>2</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Mendoza, Argentina.

\*Corresponding author: maryzender@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Email: mchani@mendoza-conicet.gob.ar

<sup>1</sup>urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:87008917-24CD-4AB6-93A2-D43661331FD3

<sup>2</sup>urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:2A1940FC-287E-45D1-9357-32C4ACB34C6F

<sup>1</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3516-4270>

<sup>2</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3466-7669>

**Abstract.** Two female specimens of the Neotropical genus *Ophionthus* Bernhauer were found during museum collection surveys, representing different species of the genus. These species are *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908 and *O. asenjo* sp. nov. The genus *Ophionthus* was monotypic until the present study and only known from a single male specimen from Central Peru. The genus is redescribed with the inclusion of female characters from both the type species and the new species here proposed. Additionally, the systematic position of *Ophionthus* within the Neotropical lineage of Philonthina and its geographical distribution in Peru are reassessed. Descriptions, diagnoses, illustrations, pictures, a cladogram, and a distribution map are provided.

**Keywords.** Neotropical Philonthina, Jelski, Weyrauch, type locality, *Ophionthus asenjo* sp. nov.

Rodríguez-Melgarejo M. & Chani-Posse M. 2021. On the rare Neotropical genus *Ophionthus* Bernhauer (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Staphylininae): redescription of the type species and description of a new species. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 735: 15–33. <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2021.735.1237>

## Introduction

Philonthina Kirby, 1837 is the most speciose subtribe inside the mega-diverse rove beetle tribe Staphylinini Latreille, 1802 (Chani-Posse *et al.* 2018a), accounting for more than 2800 species classified in 75 genera worldwide. Thirty-five of these occur in Central and South America (CASA) (including Mexico and all other countries of Central and South America) and 24 are endemic to CASA (Chani-

Posse *et al.* 2018b; Asenjo *et al.* 2019; Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a, 2020b; Ramírez-Salamanca *et al.* 2020).

One of these genera that is endemic to CASA is *Ophionthus*, that was described by Bernhauer (1908) to accommodate the species *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908 which was fixed as the type species by subsequent monotypy (Blackwelder 1952). Since then, references to this genus in the literature have been scarce and mostly limited to citations in catalogues and checklists (e.g., Blackwelder 1944; Herman 2001), until recent studies placed it within the Neotropical lineage of Philonthina and nested within the so-called *Paederomimus*-complex (e.g., Chani-Posse 2013; Chani-Posse *et al.* 2018a, 2018b; Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a, 2020b). However, the most recent results (Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a) cast doubt on its current status due to its placement within a group of species closely related to *Muscoderus* Sharp, 1885 and the presence of protarsomeres with discal setae on their ventral surface, also found in other Neotropical genera (Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020b; Ramírez-Salamanca *et al.* 2020). Therefore, a reassessment of its morphological characters as well as further insights into its biogeographical affinities are needed to clarify its generic limits and phylogenetic relationships within the Neotropical Philonthina lineage (Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a, 2020b).

Since its original description, *Ophionthus serpentinus* has only been known from a unique specimen, the male holotype collected by Jelski in 1873 from “Peruvia centralis”, with no further data on the label (Bernhauer 1908; Chani-Posse *et al.* 2018b). Konstanty Roman Jelski (1837–1896) was a Polish naturalist who carried out field collections in northern and central Peru between 1869 and 1879 (Lamas 1980; Mlíkovský 2009; Mierzwa-Szymkowiak & Breure 2017). From the Peruvian material he collected, several new species were described, including birds, mammals, fishes, amphibians, insects, spiders, and molluscs (Taczanowski 1874, 1875; Mierzwa-Szymkowiak & Breure 2017: supplementary material 2).

As part of our on-going studies on the Neotropical Philonthina and the examination of type and non-type material from European as well as North and South American museum collections, we have recently found two female specimens that belong to *Ophionthus* as defined by Chani-Posse (2014a). One of them, which was identified by us as *O. serpentinus*, is deposited in the Entomological Collection of the Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru (MUSM) and was collected by Hans-Wilhelm Koepcke (1914–2000) and Maria Koepcke (1924–1971), German zoologists who lived in Peru between 1950 and 1974 (Aguilar 2009). The second specimen is deposited in the Instituto Fundación Miguel Lillo, Tucumán, Argentina (IFML) and was collected by Wolfgang Karl Weyrauch (1907–1970), another German zoologist who lived in Peru from 1939 to 1961 and moved to Argentina – together with his private collection – in 1962 where he stayed until his death (Willink 1999).

The objectives of this paper are to redescribe *Ophionthus* and its type species, *O. serpentinus*, using characters from both external morphology and male and female genitalia, and to describe a new species, *O. asenjo* sp. nov., based on female characters. Also, we provide the first specific collection locality for *O. serpentinus* and discuss the type locality, increasing the morphological and distributional data available for this genus. Finally, the systematic placement of *Ophionthus* within the Neotropical lineage of Philonthina is reassessed based on a phylogenetic analysis of morphology.

## Material and methods

### Examination of specimens and terminology

Rove beetles were examined as pinned dry specimens, relaxed in warm soapy water for five minutes, rinsed, then the last abdominal segments were dissected and cleared in 10% KOH solution, washed in distilled water, and examined as wet preparations in glycerin. Dissections and observations were made using Nikon SMZ745 and Leica S6 D microscopes. The dissected segments were placed in polyethylene

microvials with glycerin and pinned under the respective specimens. For detailed examination of the protarsomeres, the right foreleg of a female specimen was dissected from the body and prepared as follows: first, it was cleaned using an ultrasonic bath for three minutes, then the leg was dried, and lastly mounted on a metal stub using a Z-Axis electrically conductive tape and sputter-coated with gold. Micrographs were obtained using a JEOL JSM-6610LV scanning electron microscope. Photographs were taken using a Leica EC3 digital camera attached to the Leica S6 D microscope.

All measurements are in mm. The following measurement abbreviations are used:

A1, A2, A3	=	length of first, second or third antennomere
BL	=	overall body length (from the apex of the labrum to the apex of the abdomen)
EL	=	elytron length at side (straight line from humerus to apex; seen from above)
EW	=	elytron maximum width
HL	=	length of head capsule (from anterior margin of frontoclypeus to neck constriction, along midline)
HW	=	head capsule maximum width (measured at widest point, including eyes)
PL	=	pronotum length along midline
PW	=	pronotum maximum width
S1	=	length of metatarsomere 1
S5	=	length of metatarsomere 5 (last)
TL	=	temple length (from the posterior margin of the eye to the nuchal groove)
YL	=	eye length (seen from above)

Terminology mainly follows Smetana (1995) and Chani-Posse (2014b). For the type material, information on the labels was written verbatim, quotation marks “ ” separate different labels, and a slash / separates different lines within a label. The text within square brackets [ ] is explanatory and/or inferred information and is not included on the original labels. Handwriting on labels of type specimens was compared to the respective author’s handwriting using Horn *et al.* (1990).

### Depositories

The type and non-type material studied here were borrowed from the following collections (collection managers and/or curators in parenthesis):

FMNH	=	Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois, USA (M. Turcatel, A.F. Newton, M.K. Thayer)
IFML	=	Entomological Collection of the Instituto Fundación Miguel Lillo, Tucumán, Argentina (Emilia Pérez)
MUSM	=	Entomological Collection of the Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru (Diana Silva, Gerardo Lamas)

### Georeferencing of the type locality

With the aim of tracing and georeferencing the type locality of *O. serpentinus* as well as that of our new species, *O. asenjoii* sp. nov., we used the Ornithological Gazetteers of Peru (Vaurie 1972; Stephens & Traylor 1983), the Gazetteer of Peruvian entomological stations (Lamas 1976), documentation of Jelski’s fieldwork and material collected in Peru (Taczanowski 1874, 1875, 1884; Mlíkovský 2009), as well as the catalogue of Weyrauch’s type localities georeferenced (Breure 2012) and personal comments given by Dr Gerardo Lamas. Altitude, if it was not given by the original author, was extracted from Google Earth Pro (2020). We made a list of potential places that match with the type localities “Peruvia centralis” and “N-Peru: Bambamarca” in order to know the distribution of these species, summarized in the Supp. file 1. As for the female specimen of *O. serpentinus*, whose locality data is associated with the

field collection code “Coll. Koepcke #665” on its label, we referred to the catalogue of sampling sites of Koepcke (1982) which provides information about collecting places and field observations for more than 2000 sites in Peru. Maps were elaborated using QGIS (2020).

### Phylogenetic analysis

In order to validate the taxonomic status of *Ophionthus* as a distinct genus of Philonthina and to confirm its monophyly and systematic placement within the Neotropical lineage, we included our new data (female characters for *O. serpentinus*) and the new species (*O. asenjo* sp. nov.) into the original dataset by Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca (2020a). From a total of 132 characters and 79 taxa, we found three coding errors in the original matrix (i.e., characters 6, 77 and 82) for *O. serpentinus*. Rectifications for this species are as follows: 6(1), antennomere 1 as long as or longer than antennomeres 2 and 3 combined; 77(0), protarsomeres 2 and 3 each as long as to longer than wide, and 82(0), discal setae without terminal plate. The resulting data matrix (see Supp. file 2) was analysed by means of maximum parsimony (MP) under the same previous conditions (Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a).

## Results

### Taxonomy

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758  
Order Coleoptera Linnaeus, 1758  
Family Staphylinidae Latreille, 1802  
Subfamily Staphylininae Latreille, 1802  
Tribe Staphylinini Latreille, 1802  
Subtribe Philonthina Kirby, 1837

Genus *Ophionthus* Bernhauer, 1908  
Figs 1–6

*Ophionthus* Bernhauer, 1908: 328.

*Ophionthus* – Bernhauer & Schubert 1914: 372 (catalogue). — Lucas 1920: 461 (catalogue). — Blackwelder 1944: 138 (checklist); 1952: 276. — Herman 2001: 2721 (catalogue). — Chani-Posse 2013: 10, 12, 14 (characters, phylogenetic placement); 2014a: 64, 68, 71, 76, 78 (characters, characters in key), 81, fig. 12h (habitus); 2014b: 252 (characters in data matrix). — Chani-Posse & Solodovnikov 2017: 95 (characters). — Chani-Posse *et al.* 2018b: 50 (checklist). — Asenjo *et al.* 2019 (catalogue). — Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a: 198, 209–210, 213–214 (taxon sampling, phylogenetic placement); 2020b: 238, 246 (discussion on characters and phylogenetic placement).

### Type species

*Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908, fixed by monotypy.

### Diagnosis

The genus *Ophionthus* can be differentiated from other genera of Neotropical Philonthina by the combination of the following characters: head and pronotum broadened anteriad, antennomere 1 at least twice as long as 2 and almost as long as antennomeres 2 and 3 combined, labial palpomere 2 cylindrical, protarsomeres 2–3 with discal setae on ventral surface and without marginal setae, prosternum with sternacostal ridge joining superior line of hypomeron, metatarsomere 1 almost twice as long as 5.

### Redescription

**DIMENSIONS.** BL = 10.5–11.6. Body elongate, more or less parallel-sided, narrowing from seventh abdominal segment to apex (Figs 1A, 2A–B, 3A).

**COLOURATION.** Head, thorax, and abdomen shiny black to piceous, except apical half of tergum 7; apical third of sternum 7, and segments 8 and 9 yellow; mandibles, maxillary and labial palps, elytra, and legs dark brown; antennomeres 1–8 or 1–9 dark brown to black, 9–11 or 10–11 yellowish (Figs 1A, 2A–B, 3A).

**HEAD.** Subhexagonal and slightly transverse (male) to subquadrate (female) in shape, slightly broadened anteriorly, narrower in female (Figs 2A, 3A) than male (Fig. 1A); nuchal ridge present; postmandibular ridge absent; postgenal ridge present; ventral basal ridge present, extending more or less parallel to ventral portion of postoccipital suture; infraorbital ridge present or absent; dorsal and ventral surface of head with scarce setation, microsculpture dense and undulate. Eyes large, moderately convex, occupying apical half of lateral margin of head, shorter than temples seen from above. Gular sutures joined before neck. Frontoclypeus with a short longitudinal groove. Antennae inserted closer to anterior margin of frontoclypeus than to eyes, moderately long, not reaching base of pronotum, increasing gradually in width toward apex; antennomere 1 without apical spine-like seta, distinctly longer than antennomere 2 and almost as long as antennomeres 2 and 3 combined; antennomere 3 slightly longer than antennomere 2; pubescence starting on antennomere 4 to 11. Clypeus entire and fused with frons. Labrum rectangular, distinctly emarginate, sclerotized except apical margin, with several setae and macrosetae at apical margin. Mandible prominent, 1.4× length of head, with setose prosthema well-developed, with a groove on dorso-lateral margin. Maxilla with lacinia elongate and densely setose along internal apical half margin, with galea prominent and densely setose at apex; maxillary palpus long, palpomeres 1 to 4 glabrous with scarce setae at apices; palpomere 1 small; palpomere 2 slightly curved, longest, shorter than 3 and 4 combined; palpomere 4 cylindrical, longer than segment 3. Mentum transverse, with anterior margin slightly emarginate, one seta at each latero-lateral corner. Labial palpus moderately long; palpomere 1 shorter than 2; palpomere 2 shorter than 3; palpomere 3 cylindrical, shorter than 1 and 2 combined.

**PRONOTUM.** Distinctly longer than wide, slightly broadened anteriorly, front margin subtruncate, hind margin slightly arcuate, anterior and posterior angles rounded (Figs 1A, 2A, 3A); disk with two dorsal rows of punctures sub-parallel to each other; lateral puncture of pronotum bearing long macroseta separated from superior line of pronotal hypomerion by a distance more than three times as large as diameter of puncture; surface with fine and dense microsculpture. Prosternum without distinct mid-longitudinal carina; basisternum longer than furcasternum, with transverse carina variable (either complete or rudimentary). Mesoventrite somewhat elongate, with sternopleural suture distinctly oblique; mesoventral intercoxal process rounded, forming an obtuse angle.

**LEGS.** Profemora slightly narrowed toward apex, with lateroventral medial spines; protibiae setose, excavated; protarsomeres 1–4 longer than wide, slightly flattened dorsoventrally and slightly widened apically, with long and curved discal setae (adhesive setae) underneath, without marginal setae; meso- and metatarsomeres 1–2 with strong and distinct marginal setae (Fig. 3C); metatarsomere 1 moderately longer than 5 (Figs 1A, 2A, 3C).

**ELYTRON.** Longer than pronotum, subbasal ridge curved and projected anteriorly, punctuation moderately coarse and homogeneously distributed. Hing wing with veins CuA and MP4 fused, vein MP3 present.

**ABDOMEN.** Tergum I with paired prototergal glands manifested by invaginated capsules with small openings. Terga III–V with anterior basal transverse carina distinct, posterior basal transverse carina

always distinct on tergum III, distinct to not distinct on terga IV–V; area between anterior and posterior carinae deeply and sparsely punctate; surface with fine and dense microsculpture of transverse and oblique waves.

**MALE SEXUAL CHARACTERS.** Tergum VIII with apex projected medially, forming an obtuse angle (Fig. 1C); sternum VIII slightly emarginate apically (Fig. 1D). Genital segment with laterotergal sclerites IX short, not dorsoventrally flattened, with apex rounded and not sexually dimorphic (Fig. 2G); sternum IX symmetrical, deeply emarginate apically, with several fine and short setae at each side of emargination, basal portion moderately longer than distal portion (Fig. 1E); tergum X with apex rounded (Fig. 1F). Aedeagus with parameres fused as a short, triangular sclerite, and completely fused to median lobe (Fig. 1G–H); median lobe elongate with apical part narrowed into a rather broad apex (Fig. 1G–H).

**FEMALE SEXUAL CHARACTERS.** Tergum VIII with apex projected medially forming an obtuse angle (Fig. 2E); sternum VIII with apex rounded (Fig. 2F); tergum X subtruncate (Fig. 2G); second gonocoxites each with a long macroseta proximally, with minute stylus bearing a long apical macroseta (Fig. 2H).

### **Immature stages**

Unknown.

### **Geographical distribution**

The genus *Ophionthus*, with two species known at present, has been recorded from the departments of Cajamarca, La Libertad, and Junín in Peru. Based on the information of the specimen labels (locality and habitat), *Ophionthus* is distributed mainly in the ecoregion of Peruvian Yungas (Olson *et al.* 2001). However, according to Morrone's regionalization (2014) those localities belong to the biogeographical province of Puna (Figs 4–5, Supp. file 1).

### **Bionomics**

The species of *Ophionthus* are considered general predators as are the other genera of Philonthina. One specimen of *O. serpentinus* was collected in a clearing in montane forest and riverside shrubbery.

### ***Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908**

Figs 1–2, 4–6


*Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908: 329.

*Ophionthus serpentinus* – Bernhauer & Schubert 1914: 372 (catalogue). — Lucas 1920: 461 (catalogue). — Blackwelder 1944: 138 (checklist); 1952: 276 (type species: *O. serpentinus*). — Herman 2001: 2721 (catalogue). — Chani-Posse 2014a: 81, fig. 12h (habitus); 2014b: 252 (characters in data matrix). — Chani-Posse *et al.* 2018b: 51 (checklist). — Asenjo *et al.* 2019 (catalogue). — Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca 2020a: 198, 209–210, 213–214 (taxon sampling, phylogenetic placement); 2020b: 238, 246 (discussion on characters and phylogenetic placement).

### **Material examined**

#### **Holotype**

**PERU** • ♂ [specimen glued to the tip of a triangle of white card]; “*Xanthopygus* sp. / Perú central 1873. / C. Jelski [handwriting on white label]”, “Peruvia centr. / lg. Jelski 1873 / ded. [latin *dedit* = gave] Skalitzky. [Bernhauer's handwriting on white label]”, “*Ophionthus* / *serpentinus* / Brh. Typus. [Bernhauer's handwriting on brown label]”, “Chicago NHMus / M. Bernhauer / Collection [printed on white label]”; FMNH.



**Fig. 1.** *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908. Holotype, ♂ (FMNH). **A.** Habitus, dorsal view, after Chani-Posse (2014a). **B.** Original labels. **C.** Tergum VIII. **D.** Sternum VIII. **E.** Sternum IX. **F.** Tergum X. **G.** Aedeagus, lateral view. **H.** Aedeagus, dorsal view. Stippled areas in G represent membranes. Shaded areas in G and H represent internal sclerites Scale bars: A = 5 mm; C–H = 0.5 mm.

### Comments

Bernhauer (1908) stated that Skalitzky gave him a unique specimen of *O. serpentinus*; the specimen mentioned above agrees with the original description and is considered to be the holotype, fixed by monotypy.

### Other material

PERU – **La Libertad** • 1 ♀ [specimen glued to the tip of a triangle of white card]; LL [La Libertad], Quebrada Borgapampa, Hda. [Hacienda] Llaguén; ca 7°40' S, 78°40' W; ca 1800 m [m a.s.l.]; 12 Dec. 1952; H-W. Koepcke & M. Koepcke leg.; a clearing in montane forest and riverside shrubbery; Coll. Koepcke #665; MUSM.

### Diagnosis

*Ophionthus serpentinus* differs from *O. asenjoii* sp. nov. by having the head with two pairs of interocular punctures, antennae with the last two antennomeres much lighter than the preceding segments (Figs 1A, 2A–B) and the abdominal terga III–V each with a distinct posterior basal transverse carina.

### Redescription

DIMENSIONS. BL= 11.3–1.6 (Figs 1A, 2A–B).

COLOURATION. Head, thorax, and abdomen shiny black, except apical half of tergum VII, apical third of sternum VII, and segments VIII and IX yellow; mandibles, maxillary and labial palps, elytra, and legs dark brown; antennomeres 1–9 dark brown to black and 10–11 yellowish (Figs 1A, 2A–B).

HEAD. AS wide as long (female) (Fig. 2A) to wider than long (male) (Fig. 1A) ( $HW/HL = 1.0–1.2$ ) and moderately wider than pronotum ( $HW/PW = 1.2–1.4$ ). Eyes moderately shorter than temples ( $YL/TL = 0.5–0.6$ ) seen from above. Epicranium with 2 pairs of interocular punctures; each side of vertex with 4–5 postocular punctures. Antennae with antennomere 1 at least 2× as long as antennomere 2 ( $A1/A2 = 2.1–2.6$ ) and almost as long as antennomeres 2 and 3 combined ( $A1/(A2+A3) = 0.9–1.1$ ), antennomere 2 moderately shorter than antennomere 3 ( $A2/A3 = 0.8$ ). Labial palpus with palpomere 2 1.4× as long as palpomere 1 and 0.6× as long as palpomere 3. Maxillary palpus with palpomere 1 distinctly short; palpomere 2 curved, 2× as long as palpomere 3; palpomere 4 slightly longer than palpomere 2, 2× as long as palpomere 3. Neck about 0.4× as wide as head at widest point.

PRONOTUM. Longer than wide ( $PL/PW = 1.2–1.3$ ), with two dorsal rows of 4 punctures each on disk of pronotum, 3–4 sublateral punctures.


LEGS. First metatarsomere longer than fifth ( $S1/S5 = 1.8$ ) (Fig. 2A).

ELYTRON. Longer than wide ( $EL/EW = 1.8$ ), at sides about 1.6× as long as elytron along suture.

ABDOMEN. Abdominal terga III–V each with posterior basal transverse carina distinct.

MALE SEXUAL CHARACTERS. Tergum VIII with hind margin projected, forming an obtuse angle (Fig. 1C). Sternum VIII slightly emarginate apically at middle, with numerous long setae on apical area and small setae on basal area (Fig. 1D). Sternum IX symmetrical, narrowed in the middle of its lateral margins, deeply emarginate apically, with several fine and short setae at each side of emargination (Fig. 1E). Tergum X with apex rounded, with numerous setae at apex (Fig. 1F). Aedeagus with median lobe distinctly broadened at middle and gradually narrowed towards a rather truncate apex seen from above, paramere triangular with base concave (Fig. 1G–H), internal sclerites as in Fig. 1G–H.

FEMALE SEXUAL CHARACTERS. As described for the genus.



**Fig. 2.** *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908. ♀ (MUSM). **A.** Habitus, dorsal view. **B.** Habitus, lateral view. **C.** Right protarsomeres, ventral view. **D.** Details of discal setae from right third protarsomere, ventral view. **E.** Tergum VIII. **F.** Sternum VIII. **G.** Lateral tergal sclerites IX and tergum X. **H.** Gonocoxites of female genital segment. Scale bars: A–B = 5 mm; G–H = 0.5 mm..

### Geographical distribution and bionomics

The male holotype of *O. serpentinus* was collected in 1873 by Jelski in “Peruvia centralis” (Fig. 1B). Jelski travelled and collected in the central Andes of Peru during that year (Solsky 1875; Taczanowski 1875; Mlíkovský 2009) and the localities he visited are summarized in the Supp. file 1 and Figs 4–5. Based on these analyses, the type locality “Peruvia centralis” is located in the department of Junín, but we do not have more information (e.g., day and/or month of collection) to improve the accuracy of this type locality (Fig. 1B). Additionally, the new locality provided by the female specimen from Hacienda Llaguén, ca 7°40' S, 78°40' W, 1800 m [m a.s.l.] (Koepcke 1982) is located in the department of La Libertad and close to the Forest of Llaguén (7°42' S, 78°44' W, 2642 m [m a.s.l.]), which is described as a dry cloud forest, with high human disturbance and used for grazing cattle and as a source of fuel (Valencia 1990). Hacienda Llaguén is placed in the ecoregion of Peruvian Yungas (Olson *et al.* 2001) or in the Puna province (Morrone 2014) (Fig. 4) and nowadays it is placed in the ecosystem of Andean shrublands (MINAM 2019). According to label information, the female specimen was collected in an open area within a montane forest and riverside shrubbery.

### *Ophionthus asenjo* sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F332981B-C75D-4420-824B-B1729C3B1FC6

Figs 3–4, 6

### Diagnosis

*Ophionthus asenjo* sp. nov. differs from *O. serpentinus* by having the head with one pair of interocular punctures, antennae with the last three antennomeres much lighter than the preceding segments (Fig. 3A) and the abdominal terga IV and V each without a distinct posterior basal transverse carina.

### Etymology

This new species is dedicated to the outstanding Peruvian coleopterist Angélico Asenjo, a great friend and colleague, in recognition of his tireless contribution to the study of Staphylinidae from Latin America and other regions, and as a small gesture of thanks for his continuous support of and collaboration with the first author, MR. This specific epithet is regarded as a Latinized masculine noun in the genitive case.

### Material examined

#### Holotype

PERU • ♀ [specimen glued to a white strip]; “N-PERU: / Bambamarca, / 3000m, 28.VI[June]. [19]56 / leg. W. Weyrauch” [typewritten on white label], “ex- col / Weyrauch [printed on white label with black borders]”, “COLECCION / Fundación M. Lillo / 4000 S. M. Tucumán / TUCUMAN - ARGENTINA [printed on white greenish label]”, “HOLOTYPE / *Ophionthus asenjo* / Rodríguez-Melgarejo & / Chani-Posse 2020 [printed on red label]”; IFML.

### Description

DIMENSIONS. BL = 10.5 (Fig. 3A).

COLOURATION. Head, thorax, and abdomen piceous, except apical half of tergum VII, apical third of sternum VII, and segments VIII and IX yellow; mandibles, maxillary and labial palps, elytra and legs dark brown; antennomeres 1–8 dark brown to black and 9–11 yellowish (Fig. 3A).

HEAD. Wider than long (HW/HL = 1.2), moderately wider than pronotum (HW/PW = 1.2). Eyes moderately shorter than temples (YL/TL = 0.8) seen from above. Epicranium with one pair of interocular punctures; each side of vertex with 4–5 postocular punctures. Antennae with antennomere 1 more than 2× as long

as antennomere 2 ( $A1/A2 = 2.2$ ) and as long as antennomeres 2 and 3 combined ( $A1/(A2+A3) = 1$ ), antennomere 2 distinctly shorter than antennomere 3 ( $A2/A3 = 0.7$ ). Labial palpus with palpomere 2  $1.4\times$  as long as palpomere 1 and  $0.6\times$  as long as palpomere 3. Maxillary palpus with palpomere 1 distinctly short; palpomere 2 curved,  $2\times$  as long as palpomere 3; palpomere 4 slightly longer than palpomere 2 and about  $2\times$  as long as palpomere 3. Neck about  $0.4\times$  as wide as head at widest point.



**Fig. 3.** *Ophionthus asenjoii* sp. nov. Holotype, ♀ (IFML). **A.** Habitus, dorsal view. **B.** Original labels. **C.** Metatarsomeres 1 and 2 with strong and distinct marginal setae (arrows). Scale bar = 5 mm.

**PRONOTUM.** Longer than wide (PL/PW = 1.3), with two dorsal rows of four punctures each on disk of pronotum, 3–4 sublateral punctures.

**LEGS.** First metatarsomere longer than fifth (S1/S5 = 1.7) (Fig. 3C).

**ELYTRON.** Longer than wide (EL/EW = 1.6), at sides about 1.6× as long as elytron along suture.


**ABDOMEN.** Posterior basal transverse carina distinct only on tergum III.

**MALE SEXUAL CHARACTERS.** Unknown.

**FEMALE SEXUAL CHARACTERS.** Similar to those of *O. serpentinus*.

### Geographical distribution and bionomics

*Ophionthus asenjoii* sp. nov. is only known at present from its type locality in Bambamarca, department of Cajamarca, Northern Peru at 3000 m [m a.s.l.] (Fig. 4). In addition, it is known that on the same collection day as that of the holotype of *O. asenjoii* sp. nov. (i.e., 28 Jun. 1956), Weyrauch collected two specimens of *Cajamarca triseriata* Roewer, 1957 (Arachnida: Opiliones) in “Nord-Peru: Cerro Macheipungo, 4 km NW Bambamarca” (Roewer 1957: 75), which is georeferenced as 06°41' S, 78°32' W (Breure 2012: 5). Therefore, it is highly probable that this locality is the same or close to where the holotype of *O. asenjoii* sp. nov. was collected. Bambamarca belongs to the ecoregion of Peruvian Yungas (Olson *et al.* 2001) or Puna province (Morrone 2014) and is nowadays agricultural land, surrounded by an urban zone and Andean shrubland ecosystem (MINAM 2019).




**Fig. 4.** Distribution map of *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908 and *O. asenjoii* sp. nov.


## Discussion

After reviewing most of the available type and non-type material of *Philonthina* from the main collections in Europe, North and South America, we found only three specimens of *Ophionthus*, one of them being the male holotype of the type species, *O. serpentinus*. The two additional specimens are a female of *O. serpentinus* (MUSM) and another female of *O. asenjoii* sp. nov. (IFML), both collected in Peru in 1952 and 1956, respectively. The holotype of *O. serpentinus* was also collected in Peru, in 1873, and represents the oldest known collected specimen of *Ophionthus*. Based on this evidence, we confirm that the two species of *Ophionthus* are collected very rarely and in small numbers. The rarity could be due to low population densities, lack of collecting effort and/or a difficult-to-sample microhabitat (i.e., flowers or vegetation), changes in anthropic land use (the collecting site of *O. asenjoii* sp. nov. now consists of agricultural and urban lands), or a combination of these causes.


The previous systematic assessment by Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca (2020a) placed *Ophionthus* into the so-called *Paederomimus* complex of the Neotropical lineage of *Philonthina*. Although not related to any of the clades that contain the type species of the most diverse but not monophyletic genera of *Philonthina* in CASA (i.e., *Belonuchus* Nordmann, 1837, *Paederomimus* Sharp, 1885, *Philonthus* Stephens, 1829), *Ophionthus* appeared not far from the *Muscoderus* clade in that study, i.e., in a well-supported sister group relationship with *Belonuchus barbicornis* Bernhauer, 1916, a species only known from the Andes of Colombia. Our new assessment herein does not only confirm *Ophionthus* as a member of the Neotropical lineage and the *Paederomimus* complex, but it also validates its status as a distinct genus (Fig. 6). Furthermore, its former close relationships to *B. barbicornis* and other previously allied taxa are not supported here, and their phylogenetic relationships within the *Paederomimus* complex remain unclear. Thus, *Ophionthus* is revealed as a morphologically distinct genus within the Neotropical lineage.



**Fig. 5.** Map of probable localities (correct spelling, see Supp. file 1) for the male holotype of *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908 collected in “Peruvia centralis” by Jelski in 1873.



**Fig. 6** (continued on next page). Strict consensus of the 28 MP trees obtained showing the systematic placement of *Ophionthus* Bernhauer, 1908 within the Neotropical lineage of Philonthina Kirby, 1837 (tree length = 579; consistency index (CI) = 0.24; retention index (RI) = 0.71. Black circles: exclusive synapomorphies; open circles, non-exclusive synapomorphies. Numbers above circles are character numbers; numbers below circles represent character states. Support values are indicated in bold below the branches as follows: Bremer/Standard Bootstrap/Jack-knife (cut = 50 for resampling support values).



## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Gerardo Lamas (MUSM), Diana Silva (MUSM) and Sergio Roig (IADIZA) for allowing MR to use their laboratory facilities during this research. We are very grateful to Angélico Asenjo by giving us access to his database. Special thanks to Silvina Lassa (IANIGLA) for her technical support with obtaining the micrographs, and Angélico Asenjo, Gerardo Lamas and the anonymous reviewers for useful comments that improved the manuscript. The first author (MR) thanks Luis Pérez for his continuous support and trust. We are grateful to all the members of the Laboratory of Entomology (IADIZA), specially: Sergio Roig, Gustavo Flores, José Ramírez, Sofia Copperi, Belén Maldonado, Mariana Griotti, Ana María Scollo and Florencia Fernández, with whom MR shared great moments during her internship at IADIZA. In addition, we want to thank the Biodiversity Heritage Library for being an extraordinary source of natural history literature. Funding for this project was primarily provided by Programa Nacional de Innovación Agraria, Ministerio de Agricultura del Perú (N° 196-2018-INIA-PNIA-PASANTÍA) granted to the first author (MR). The second author (MCP) was supported by CONICET and FONCYT through PICT 2015-1016.

## References

- Aguilar P.G. 2009. Comentarios sobre el desarrollo de la Zoología en la Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas de la Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos. *Revista Peruana de Biología* 15 (2): 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.15381/rpb.v15i2.1695>
- Asenjo A., Irmeler U., Klimaszewski J., Chandler D.S., Fierros-López H.E. & Vieira J.S. 2019. Staphylinidae (Insecta: Coleoptera) in Latin America: synopsis, annotated catalog, diversity and distribution. *Zootaxa* 4621 (1): 1–406. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4621.1.1>
- Bernhauer M. 1908. Beitrag zur Staphylinidenfauna von Südamerika. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* 74 (1): 283–372. Available from <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/part/226088#/summary> [accessed 28 Jan. 2021].
- Bernhauer M. & Schubert K. 1914. Staphylinidae IV. In: Schenkling S. (ed.) *Coleopterorum Catalogus Vol. 57*: 289–408. W. Junk, Berlin.
- Blackwelder R.E. 1944. Checklist of the coleopterous insects of Mexico, Central America, the West Indies, and South America. Part. 1. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum* 185: 1–188. <https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.185.i>
- Blackwelder R.E. 1952. The generic names of the beetle family Staphylinidae, with an essay on genotypy. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum* 200: 1–483. Available from <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/part/85283#/summary> [accessed 28 Jan. 2021]
- Breure A. 2012. Weyrauch's type localities: a clarification; with illustrations of types of Orthalicoidea (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Stylomatophora) in the Tucumán museum. *Folia Conchylologica* 17: 4–24.
- Chani-Posse M.R. 2013. Towards a natural classification of the subtribe Philonthina (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Staphylinini): a phylogenetic analysis of the Neotropical genera. *Systematic Entomology* 38 (2): 390–406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12003>
- Chani-Posse M.R. 2014a. An illustrated key to the New World genera of Philonthina Kirby (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae), with morphological, taxonomical and distributional notes. *Zootaxa* 3755 (1): 62–86. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3755.1.3>
- Chani-Posse M.R. 2014b. Systematics and phylogeny of the Neotropical genera *Pescolinus* Sharp and *Neopescolinus* gen. n. (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny* 72 (3): 227–255. Available from <https://ri.conicet.gov.ar/handle/11336/33903> [accessed 28 Jan. 2021].

- Chani-Posse M.R. & Ramírez-Salamanca J.M. 2020a. To be biased or to be Neotropical: systematic reassessment of a megadiverse lineage of rove-beetles (Philonthina, Staphylinini, Staphylininae). *Cladistics* 36 (2): 194–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12395>
- Chani-Posse M.R. & Ramírez-Salamanca J.M. 2020b. *Konradus leehermanni* – a new genus and species of Philonthina from the Neotropical region and its phylogenetic relationships (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Staphylininae). *Zootaxa* 4759 (2): 237–251. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4759.2.6>
- Chani-Posse M.R. & Solodovnikov A. 2017. Tackling generic limits for the Neotropical Philonthina with a phylogenetic approach: revision of the genera *Linoderus* Sharp and *Odontolinus* Sharp (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny* 75 (1): 83–97.
- Chani-Posse M.R., Brunke A.J., Chatzimanolis S., Schillhammer H. & Solodovnikov A. 2018a. Phylogeny of the hyper-diverse rove beetle subtribe Philonthina with implications for classification of the tribe Staphylinini (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *Cladistics* 34 (1): 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12188>
- Chani-Posse M.R., Newton A., Kappel Hansen A. & Solodovnikov A. 2018b. Checklist and taxonomic changes for Central and South American Philonthina (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *Zootaxa* 4449 (1): 1–95. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4449.1.1>
- Google Earth Pro. 2020. Google Earth 7.3.3.  
Available from <https://www.google.com/intl/es/earth/download/gep/agree.html> [accessed 12 May 2020]
- Herman L.H. 2001. Catalog of the Staphylinidae (Insecta: Coleoptera). 1758 to the end of the second millennium. V. Staphylinine Group (Part 2) Staphylininae: Diochini, Maorothiini, Othiini, Platyprosopini, Staphylinini (Amblyopinina, Anisolinina, Hyptiomina, Philonthina). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 265: 2441–3020. <https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090.265.1.5>
- Horn W., Kahle I., Friese G. & Gaedike R. 1990. *Collectiones Entomologicae. Ein Kompendium über den Verbleib entomologischer Sammlungen der Welt bis 1960. Teil I: A bis K und Teil II L bis Z*. Akademie der Landwirtschaftswissenschaften der Deutschen Demokratischen Republik, Berlin.
- Koepcke H.W. 1982. *Erläuterung der Beobachtungs- und Sammler-Nummern zu den in den Jahren 1949 bis 1974 in Peru durchgeführten biologischen Studien von Hans-Wilhelm Koepcke und Maria Koepcke*. Hamburg. Available from [https://issuu.com/ifrankej/docs/koepcke\\_h\\_w\\_1982\\_erl\\_uterung](https://issuu.com/ifrankej/docs/koepcke_h_w_1982_erl_uterung) [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].
- Lamas G. 1976. A gazetteer of Peruvian entomological stations (based on Lepidoptera). *Revista Peruana de Entomología* 19 (1): 17–25.  
Available from <https://www.revperuentomol.com.pe/index.php/rev-peru-entomol/article/view/621/596> [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].
- Lamas G. 1980. Historia de la entomología en el Perú. II. Periodo de los viajeros, colectores y estudiosos especializados. *Revista Peruana de Entomología* 23 (1): 25–31. Available from <https://www.revperuentomol.com.pe/index.php/rev-peru-entomol/article/view/710/684> [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].
- Lucas R. 1920. Catalogus alphabeticus generum et subgenerum Coleopterorum orbis terrarum totius (famil., trib., subtr., sect. incl.). Pars I. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte (A)* 84 (1–5): i–xxxii, 1–696. Available from [https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Archiv-Naturgeschichte\\_84A\\_1\\_0001-0128.pdf](https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Archiv-Naturgeschichte_84A_1_0001-0128.pdf) [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].
- Mierzwa-Szymkowiak D. & Breure A.S.H. 2017. Inside and outside the Neotropics: three Polish naturalists during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. *Archives of Natural History* 44 (1): 151–158. <https://doi.org/10.3366/anh.2017.0423>

- MINAM [Ministerio del Ambiente del Perú]. 2019. Mapa nacional de ecosistemas del Perú. Available from [mapa-nacional-de-ecosistemas-del-peru](#) [accessed 3 Jun. 2020].
- Mlíkovský J. 2009. Types of birds in the collections of the Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, Poland. Part 3: South American birds. *Journal of the National Museum (Prague), Natural History Series* 178 (5): 17–180. Available from <https://publikace.nm.cz/en/file/6a5c9f94784eaa047577335ba8553b02/16385/178-2009-05-Mlikovsky.pdf> [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].
- Morrone J.J. 2014. Biogeographical regionalisation of the Neotropical region. *Zootaxa* 3782 (1): 1–110. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3782.1.1>
- Olson D.M., Dinerstein E., Wikramanayake E.D., Burgess N.D., Powell G.V., Underwood E.C., D’Amico J., Itoua I., Strand H.E., Morrison J.C., Loucks C.J., Allnutt T.F., Ricketts T.H., Kura Y., Lamoreux J.F., Wettengel W.W., Hedao P. & Kassen K.R. 2001. Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: a new map of life on earth: a new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. *BioScience* 51 (11): 933–938. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0933:TEOTWA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2)
- QGIS Development Team 2020. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. Available from <http://qgis.osgeo.org> [accessed 13 May 2020].
- Ramírez-Salamanca J.M., Silva-Tavera D.F. & Chani-Posse M.R. 2020. Systematic revision and phylogenetic assessment of two new Neotropical genera of the rove-beetle subtribe Philonthina (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae): *Inesius* gen. nov. and *Rhaegalius* gen. nov. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 288: 151–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2020.07.001>
- Roewer C.F. 1957. Arachnida Arthrogastra aus Peru, III. *Senckenbergiana biologica* 38 (1): 67–94.
- Smetana A. 1995. Rove beetles of the subtribe Philonthina of America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae): classification, phylogeny and taxonomic revision. *Memoirs on Entomology, International* 3: 1–946.
- Solsky S. 1875. Matériaux pour l’entomographie de l’Amérique du Sud. Staphylinides recueillis par MM. C. Jelsky et le Baron de Nolcken dans le Pérou et la Nouvelle Grenade. Article III. *Horae Societatis Entomologicae Rossicae* 11 (1): 3–26.
- Stephens L. & Traylor M.A. 1983. *Ornithological Gazetteer of Peru*. Bird Department, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.14634>
- Taczanowski L. 1874. Description des oiseaux nouveaux du Pérou central. *Proceedings of the Scientific Meetings of the Zoological Society of London* 1874 (1): 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1874.tb02461.x>
- Taczanowski L. 1875. Liste des oiseaux recueillis par M. Constantin Jelski dans la partie centrale du Pérou occidental. *Proceedings of the Scientific Meetings of the Zoological Society of London* 1874 (3): 501–565. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1874.tb02510.x>
- Taczanowski L. 1884. Notice sur les localités du Pérou central explorées par M. Jelski. In: Taczanowski L. (ed.) *Ornithologie du Pérou. Vol. I*: 70–73. Typographie Oberthür, Rennes. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.14183>
- Valencia N. 1990. *Ecology of the Forests on the Western Slopes of the Peruvian Andes*. PhD thesis, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen. Available from [https://abdn.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/delivery/44ABE\\_INST/12152267510005941](https://abdn.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/delivery/44ABE_INST/12152267510005941) [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].

Vaurie O. 1972. An ornithological gazetteer of Peru (based on information compiled by J.T. Zimmer). *American Museum Novitates* 2491: 1–36. Available from <http://hdl.handle.net/2246/2699> [accessed 28 Jan. 2021].

Willink A. 1999. Biografías lilloanas. *Revista de la Sociedad Entomológica Argentina* 58 (3–4): 3–10. Available from <https://www.biotaxa.org/RSEA/article/view/32472/28865> [accessed 5 Feb. 2021].

*Manuscript received: 15 July 2020*

*Manuscript accepted: 27 November 2020*

*Published on: 16 February 2021*

*Topic editor: Nesrine Akkari*

*Section editor: Max Barclay*

*Desk editor: Pepe Fernández*

Printed versions of all papers are also deposited in the libraries of the institutes that are members of the *EJT* consortium: Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Paris, France; Meise Botanic Garden, Belgium; Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium; Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain; Real Jardín Botánico de Madrid CSIC, Spain; Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany; National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic.

### **Supplementary material:**

**Supp. file 1.** Table 1. List of potential localities georeferenced in Junín Department where Jelski probably collected the holotype of *Ophionthus serpentinus* Bernhauer, 1908 in 1873, based on the information available on the holotype labels. <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2021.735.1237.3597>

**Supp. file 2.** Morphological matrix after Chani-Posse & Ramírez-Salamanca (2020a), including the two species of *Ophionthus* Bernhauer, 1908. <https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2021.735.1237.3599>