Sigambra sundarbanensis sp. nov. (Annelida, Pilargidae) from the Indian sector of Sundarbans Estuarine System, with remarks on parapodial glands
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Abstract. A new pilargid species, Sigambra sundarbanensis sp. nov., is described from the rivers Matla and Thakuran, in the central Indian sector of the Sundarbans Estuarine System. This species is characterized by several characters such as the starting position of the notopodial hooks, the length of the median antenna and the variation in number of the neuropodial chaetae. These characters distinguish the new species from its congeners. Some parapodial glands have been found in individuals of this species. The new species closely resembles Sigambra parva (Day, 1963). Additionally, an updated key of genus Sigambra is provided, along with a table indicating their morphological variations and a global map showing their type localities.
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Introduction

Pilargids are uncommon nereidiform marine annelids; most are free-living, and many are motile burrowers. They are ubiquitous in sediments at various depths in estuarine or oceanic realms, most preferably in coarse to mixed substratum (Jumars et al. 2015). They are considered carnivores and
omnivores, but perhaps they intake detritus and microalgae (Day 1967; Dean 1998). The body is often depressed and ribbon-shaped. The integument is smooth or papillated. The pharynx is bulbous, usually papillated, it has rigid structures, sometimes with circlets of marginal papillae. The first dorsal cirri are often longer than the following. Notopodia are always reduced with embedded notoacicula, with or without additional capillary chaetae. Globally, Pilargidae de Saint–Joseph, 1899 comprises about 112 accepted species, under 12 genera (WoRMS 2020). This family has been reviewed several times by Hartman (1947), Pettibone (1966), Salazar-Vallejo (1986) and Licher & Westheide (1997). The phylogenetic affinities of Pilargidae have been questioned over a long time; based on morphological and behavioural similarities. Licher & Westheide (1994) considered the pilargids as a derived group within hesionids. However, further investigations by Pleijel & Dahlgren (1998) and Dahlgren et al. (2000) rejected the previous hypothesis of inclusion of pilargids within Hesionidae (Grube, 1850), and recognized them as non-overlapping families.

Sigambra Müller, 1858 is one of the most specious genera in Pilargidae and contains about 27 accepted species globally (Salazar-Vallejo et al. 2019). Müller (1858) proposed eight new genera from Santa Catarina Island (Brazil), including Sigambra. Later on, Hartman (1947) described some species, but regarded them as Ancistrosyllis McIntosh, 1879. A few species of Ancistrosyllis were reinstated under Sigambra. Sigambra has dorsal hooks above the dorsal cirri, resembling Ancistrosyllis, but they differ by the size of body appendages, antennae, tentacular cirri and body papillation (Salazar-Vallejo & Rizzo 2009).

In India, taxonomic reports of only two species of Sigambra have thus far been published: *S. constricta* Southern, 1921, later recorded by Misra (1995) from Chilka Lake and Hooghly-Matla Estuary, respectively, and *S. tentaculata* (Treadwell, 1941) from the Indian southwest coast (Achari 1975). Even though other species of this genus, such as *S. parva* (Day, 1963) and *S. bassi* (Hartman, 1947), have also been reported in macrobenthic community studies from various estuaries, coasts and tidal creeks, no further taxonomic information was provided. Due to a low number of surveys, our knowledge concerning the taxonomy and ecology of polychaetes from the central sector of the Sundarbans Estuarine System (SES) is minimal (see Mandal & Deb 2018). In this contribution, we describe a new species of Sigambra from the rivers Matla and Thakuran in the SES delta. Furthermore, we report on some gland-like structures in parapodial spaces, which have not been reported from any species of this genus.

**Material and methods**

**Study site**

Sundarbans Estuarine System (SES) is the largest monsoonal micro-tidal delta comprising hundreds of estuaries located alongside the Indian coast. It is both a World Heritage Site declared by UNESCO in 1997 and a RAMSAR site since 2019 (http://wiienvis.nic.in/Database/ramsar_wetland_sites_8224.aspx). The rivers Matla and Thakuran are two major rivers in the system. Because they are in the central zone, these rivers have been disconnected from freshwater supply and lost their estuarine pattern due to high siltation and neotectonic activity causing tilting of the Eastern Bengal Basin in recent years (Stanley & Hait 2000; Manna et al. 2010; Raha et al. 2012). Samples of macrobenthos, water and sediment were collected during SES biological surveys under an ongoing project (stations were marked according to that study), in January 2019, August 2019 and December 2019 from both rivers (Fig. 1).

**Treatment of specimens**

Material was collected in triplicate using a Van Veen grab (0.04 m²). The samples were separately washed in situ through a 0.5 mm sieve. Material retained in the sieve was allowed to relax in a solution of 7 % MgCl₂ in sea water and immediately fixed in a solution of 4% buffered formalin in sea water (for a few samples from January 2019, Rose Bengal was added during fixation). The samples were
transported to the laboratory and later sorted and preserved in 70% ethanol. For better observation of the parapodial structures, and to get a clear visualization of the starting position of the notopodial hooks, the marginal papillae and the oocytes, specimens were mounted in a 1:1 solution of glycerin and 70% ethanol. Methyl green staining is often used to examine internal structures such as parapodial glands, as most glands contain phospholipids and the stain is more intensely fixed upon them. Our specimens were first immersed in an oversaturated methyl green solution in 70% ethanol (as samples were preserved in the same concentration of ethanol) for about 1 min; then, they were briefly set on tissue paper and further rinsed in clean ethanol. Samples were scanned and photographed through stereo (Olympus SZX7) and compound (Nikon ECLIPSE Ci) microscopes. For environmental parameters (temperature, pH, salinity, sediment texture and organic content), bottom waters and sediment samples were collected on-board and analyzed using standard protocols (El Wakeel & Riley 1957; Buchanan 1984; Grasshoff et al. 1999). The holotype and all paratypes were deposited at the Zoology Museum, Department of Life Sciences, Presidency University, Kolkata, India (PUZ).

Results

Description of new species

Class Polychaeta Grube, 1850
Order Phyllodocida Dales, 1962
Suborder Nereidiformia Glasby, 1993
Family Pilargidae de Saint–Joseph, 1899
Subfamily Pilarginae de Saint–Joseph, 1899
Genus Sigambra Müller, 1858

*Sigambra sundarbanensis* sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D315C406-6F83-413C-BFCA-E00A8D83070C

Figs 2–5; Table 2

**Diagnosis**

A species of *Sigambra* with median antenna reaching up to chaetigers 3–4, 2–3 times as long as lateral antennae; tentacular segment 3–4 times as wide as long. Pharynx with 14 prismatic projected lobes.
Dorsal cirri larger than ventral ones, largest in chaetiger 1. Ventral cirri absent in chaetiger 2. Notopodial hooks start in chaetiger 8, accompanied by notoacicula; neuropodia with various types of capillary chaetae. Parapodial spaces with glandular, tubular structures.

**Etymology**

The type locality (river Thakuran) is a tidal estuarine river of the Sundarbans Estuarine System. The epithet of this new species refers to the entire estuarine system, i.e., Indian Sundarbans.

**Type material**

**Holotype**

INDIA • complete spec.; river Thakuran, stn T8; 21°39′3.73″ N, 88°30′25.17″ E; depth 26 m; Aug. 2019; Moumita Bhowmik and Sumit Mandal leg.; in sediment; PUZ 501.

**Paratypes**

INDIA • 4 complete specs; river Thakuran, stn T6; 21°45′35.90″ N, 88°29′8.53″ E; depth 10 m; Aug. 2019; Moumita Bhowmik and Sumit Mandal leg.; in sediment; PUZ 502 to PUZ 505 • 3 complete specs; river Thakuran, stn T8; 21°39′3.73″ N, 88°30′25.17″ E; depth 26 m; Aug. 2019; Moumita Bhowmik and Sumit Mandal leg.; in sediment; PUZ 506 to PUZ 508 • 6 complete specs; river Thakuran, stn T8; 21°39′3.73″ N, 88°30′25.17″ E; depth 26 m; Dec. 2019; Moumita Bhowmik and Sumit Mandal leg.; in sediment; PUZ 514 to PUZ 519 • 2 incomplete specs; river Matla, stn M5; 21°45′18.20″ N, 88°38′25.20″ E; depth 11 m; Jan. 2019; Moumita Bhowmik and Sumit Mandal leg.; in sediment; PUZ 490 to PUZ 491.

**Sampling site and type locality**

Various environmental factors that characterize the sampling sites are in Table 1. Bottom water salinity ranged from 17.0 in August to 23.42 in January 2019. Sediment temperature was found to be at its maximum in August 2019. Organic enrichment in sediment was moderate, ranging from 0.78 to 1.78%. In terms of granulometry, the study sites are mostly silty with comparatively finer and coarser particles that vary seasonally. The lowest proportion of clay was represented in the soil texture during the monsoon (0.15–0.35%). The sediment texture of the type locality was characterized by a high silt percentage and a lower sand percentage that further decreased in the post-monsoon season (Dec. 2019). Bottom water salinity level varied from 17 to 21 (Table 1). Morphological and morphometric data are in Table 2 and the comparison of the new species with all other accepted species of *Sigambra* is in Table 3.

The holotype of *Sigambra sundarbanensis* sp. nov. was collected from the river Thakuran (station T8) and paratypes were collected from both the rivers Thakuran and Matla in January 2019, August 2019 and December 2019. A morphometric analysis was performed for all the collected specimens. Moreover, a global map (Fig. 2) has been presented for all the accepted species of *Sigambra* based on their type locations.

**Description**

**Holotype (PUZ 501)**

**Measurements.** Complete, 5.63 mm long, 0.32 mm wide at chaetiger 8–9 (average width 0.28 mm), 64 chaetigers (Fig. 3A).

**Body.** Obconic, sub cylindrical along anterior end, depressed thereafter.

**Prostomium.** Blunt, bilobed, three times as wide as long. Palps biarticulated directed ventrally; palpophores large, palpostyles small. Pharynx exposed with 14 prismatic marginal papillae, tips distinct
Antennae cirriform, lateral antennae subdistally located, smaller than median one (Fig. 3B). Median antenna 2.3 times as long as laterals, reaching up to chaetiger 4.

Tentacles. Tentacular segment 3–4 times as wide as long; two pairs of tentacular cirri, dorsal tentacular cirri slightly larger than ventral ones.

Cirri. Parapodial cirri triangular, tapered, foliose, longer than wide. Dorsal cirri longer than ventral cirri throughout, largest in chaetiger 1, reaching up to chaetiger 5 (Fig. 3D). Chaetiger 2 with smallest dorsal cirri, without ventral cirri. Parapodia with reduced notopodia and well developed neuropodia.

Notopodia. Include distally curved dorsal hooks from chaetiger 8 (Fig. 3D), head of hook not exposed outside body wall to chaetiger 22, fully exposed from chaetiger 23, continued along body (Fig. 3E) up to last 2 pre-pygidial chaetigers (Fig. 3G). From chaetiger 8 onwards, hooks accompanied with acicula (Fig. 5A–B). Neurochaetae include 2–4 short wide pectinate chaetae with variable number of spinulose or serrated capillaries (Figs 3F, 5A).

**Table 1.** Environmental parameters of studied stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station name</td>
<td>M5</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>T8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom water salinity (PSU)</td>
<td>23.42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment temperature (°C)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter (%)</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>33.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>83.82</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>66.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Fig. 3C). Antennae cirriform, lateral antennae subdistally located, smaller than median one (Fig. 3B). Median antenna 2.3 times as long as laterals, reaching up to chaetiger 4.

**Fig. 2.** Type localities of the accepted species of *Sigambra* Müller, 1858, names of the species are denoted with the serial numbers from Table 3.
GLANDS. Parapodial glands starting from chaetiger 5, developed gradually up to chaetiger 60. Each gland with 2–6 large tubular cells, varying in shape and size (Fig. 4B, 5D). These tubular structures converge ventrally from wide base of coelomic ramus. Tubular structures rudimentary (L: 19 µm, W: 11 µm) or fully developed (L: 50 µm, W: 8 µm); inner features unknown.

PYGIDIUM. Laterally expanded with 2 ventral cirri, as long as 3–4 median chaetigers (Fig. 3G).

OOCYTES. Not seen.

Paratypes
A total of 13 complete and 2 incomplete paratypes show a minor characteristic variation. They were 2.18–8.91 mm long (5.09 ± 2.29 mm), 0.08–0.41 mm wide (0.15 ± 0.08 mm); median antennae were 0.2–0.57 mm long (0.36 ± 0.11 mm) reaching up to chaetigers 3–4. Oocytes (Figs 4A, 5C) 12–36 µm in diameter (23.33 ± 6.90 µm). Glandular structures in parapodial spaces have been found in most paratypes, they were 14–74 µm long (43.88 ± 17.69 µm) (Table 2). Large tubular glandular cells in chaetigers 47–49 of paratype PUZ 506 are shown in Fig. 4C–D. In other parapodia (chaetigers 12 and 13), tubular cells invade into coelomic space (Fig. 4E–F).

Remarks
Following the redescription of S. parva by Moreira & Parapar (2002), it can be stated that S. sundarbanensis sp. nov. resembles S. parva Day, 1963. They have similar characteristics, such as median antenna longer than lateral ones, reaching chaetigers 3–4, and pharynx with 14 marginal papillae. However, they differ in several features, the most notable ones being the starting point of the dorsal hooks and the absence of capillary chaetae in the notopodia. In S. sundarbanensis sp. nov., the first appearance of dorsal hooks from chaetiger 8 remains constant in all 16 specimens, irrespective of specimen size. The hooks are accompanied by a single acicula, and the last two chaetigers are hookless. The notopodia are devoid of any capillary chaetae, neuropodia with 2–4 short pectinate chaetae with a variable number of spinulose or serrated capillaries, and the relative size of the median antenna is 2.3 times as long as the lateral ones. In comparison with S. parva, the median antenna is 1.5 times as long as the lateral ones, the notopodial hook starts from chaetigers 4–5 and is accompanied by single capillary chaetae in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Morphometric data of holotype and paratypes of Sigambra sundarbanensis sp. nov.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of chaetiger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of marginal papillae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of median antenna (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lateral antenna (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lateral antenna (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentacular segment (L:W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentacular cirri (right) (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentacular cirri (left) (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal cirri&gt;Ventral cirri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First appearance of notopodial hook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of notopodial hook (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of oocyte (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parapodial gland length (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parapodial gland width (µm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. *Sigambra sundarbanensis* sp. nov., holotype. **A**. Dorsal view (PUZ 501). **B**. Anterior end (dorsal view), lateral antenna (la) and median antenna (ma) in dorsal view. **C**. Pharynx, 7 among 14 marginal papillae (mp), dorsal view. **D**. First appearance of notopodial hook (nh, inset) from chaetiger 8, dorsal cirri (dc) longest at chaetiger 1; tc = tentacular cirri. **E**. Median chaetigers, continuous notopodial hooks (nh). **F**. Neuropodial chaeta (nc) with four short pectinates (pc). **G**. Pygidium (py) with last 2 pre-pygidial chaetigers (ppc) without hook.
### Table 3 (continued on next page). Main distinguishing characters of accepted species of *Sigambra* Müller, 1858.

|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------|---------|---------------|---------|-----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|

#### Body size :
Length (L), width (W) and no. of chaetigers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Body size</th>
<th>Relative size of dorsal and ventral cirri</th>
<th>Ventral cirri (chaetiger 2)</th>
<th>No. of marginal papillae</th>
<th>Length of median antenna compared to lateral antennae</th>
<th>Median antenna reaching up to chaetiger</th>
<th>First appearance of dorsal hook from chaetiger</th>
<th>Notopodial capillaries</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. vargassi</td>
<td>L: 5.2, W: 1; Chaetiger: 60</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 times longer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>Present (1 or 2)</td>
<td>Median antenna three times longer than lateral antennae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. papagayu</td>
<td>L: 22.81, W: 1.5; Chaetiger: 122</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.75 times longer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No notochaete adjacent to dorsal hook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. bidentata</td>
<td>L: 14, W: 2.5; Chaetiger: 60</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 times longer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>Present (2)</td>
<td>Neurochaete with bifid tips, notochaete with 2 capillaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. qingdaoensis</td>
<td>L: 4.5, W: 0.55; Chaetiger: 46 (Holotype)</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Similar length</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>Present (2-3)</td>
<td>Presence of tooth like proboscidial papillae and their arrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. rugosa</td>
<td>L: 3.5-10, W: 0.55-0.35; Chaetiger: 56–75</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5 times longer</td>
<td>4 to 6</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>The first segment with hook is fixed at chaetiger 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. setosa</td>
<td>L: 6.3, W: 0.28; Chaetiger: 8</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.3 times longer</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12-18</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Presence of notopodial straight spines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. phuketensis</td>
<td>L: 16, W: 7; Chaetiger: 7</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2 times longer</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Presence of notopodial straight spines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. parva</td>
<td>L: 5-15, W: 0.5-1</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Slightly longer</td>
<td>14-15 (Holotype)</td>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. hanaokai</td>
<td>L: 24, W: 1-9; Chaetiger: 1-6</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2 times longer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 to 10 or extending beyond</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. bassi</td>
<td>L: 10, W: 2-0.5; Chaetiger: 1-4</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2 times longer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 to 10 or extending beyond</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reference
Table 3 (continued). Main distinguishing characters of accepted species of Sigambra Müller, 1858.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Body size : Length (L), width (W) and no. of chaetigers</th>
<th>Relative size of dorsal and ventral cirri</th>
<th>Ventral cirri (chaetiger 2)</th>
<th>No. of marginal papillae</th>
<th>Length of median antenna compared to lateral antennae</th>
<th>Median antenna reaching up to chaetiger</th>
<th>First appearance of dorsal hook from chaetiger</th>
<th>Notopodial capillaries</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. tentaculata</em> (Treadwell, 1941)</td>
<td>L: 11, W: 0.62, Chaetiger: 95</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5–2 times longer</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Presence of tooth like peristomial papillae</td>
<td>Moreira &amp; Parapar 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. diazi</em> Salazar-Vallejo, Rizzo, León-González &amp; Brauko, 2019</td>
<td>L: 7.5, W: 1.5, Chaetiger: 41</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>13–16</td>
<td>Twice</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Dorsal cirri taperend without basal enlargement, ventral cirri in median and posterior chaetiger are long as nonaductual lobe</td>
<td>Salazar-Vallejo et al. 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. hernandezi</em> Salazar-Vallejo, Rizzo, León-González &amp; Brauko, 2019</td>
<td>L: 9, W: 2, Chaetiger: 82</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Longer</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Median antennae is medium sized and 4–6 hookless posterior chaetiger</td>
<td>Salazar-Vallejo et al. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. constricta</em> (Southern, 1921)</td>
<td>L: 10, W: 2.8, Chaetiger: 152</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Nearly 2 times longer</td>
<td>1 to 2</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Median antenna is medium size and 4–6 hookless chaetiger in the end of the body</td>
<td>Southern 1921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. olivai</em> Salazar-Vallejo, Rizzo, León-González &amp; Brauko, 2019</td>
<td>L: 7, W: 0.7, Chaetiger: 25</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 times longer</td>
<td>1 to 2</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No constriction on chaetiger 4, no capillaries in the posterior chaetiger</td>
<td>Licher &amp; Westheide 1997; Nishi et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. magnuncus</em> Paterson &amp; Glover, 2000</td>
<td>L: 3.7, W: 0.73, Chaetiger: 33</td>
<td>Subequal</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Barely longer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Body with a constriction at chaetiger 4, the smallest species of this genus</td>
<td>Paterson &amp; Glover 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. ligneroi</em> Salazar-Vallejo, Rizzo, León-González &amp; Brauko, 2019</td>
<td>L: 17, W: 4, Chaetiger: 40</td>
<td>Subequal</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15 times longer</td>
<td>1 to 2</td>
<td>26–28</td>
<td>Present from chaetiger 20</td>
<td>Only species from deepest zone, two types of acicula in the notopodia, segmentation of the prostomium</td>
<td>Salazar-Vallejo et al. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. healyae</em> Gagaev, 2008</td>
<td>L: 7, W: 0.7, Chaetiger: 7</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present in posterior chaetigers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Present in 1 in anterior and 2 in posterior segments</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8–28</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Two types of acicula in the notopodia, without capillarities</td>
<td>Gagaev 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. robusta</em> (Ehlers, 1908)</td>
<td>L: 17, W: 4, Chaetiger: 26</td>
<td>Dorsal &gt; Ventral</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Slightly longer</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Present from chaetiger 20</td>
<td>Two types of acicula in the notopodia, segmentation of the prostomium</td>
<td>Nishi et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the posterior parapodial segments, neuropodia with 1–2 pectinate chaetae, but the number of hookless chaetigers is not mentioned in the literature (Day 1963; Moreira & Parapar 2002).

**Distribution**

*Sigambra sundarbanensis* sp. nov. is only known from the rivers Matla and Thakuran of the Indian Sundarbans.

---

**Fig. 4. Sigambra sundarbanensis** sp. nov. A. Paratype (PUZ 503), oocytes inside parapodial spaces. B. Paratype (PUZ 506), arrows showing parapodial glands. C. Chaetigers 47–49 with parapodial glands (pg). D. Parapodial gland in 100 × magnification. E–F. Paratype (PUZ 515), parapodial glands in chaetiger 12–13 invaded into coelomic space.
Ecology

All specimens of this new species were found in mangrove habitats with silty sand sediments, in depths of 11 to 26 m. Mature specimens, with developed oocytes, were recorded in August and December 2019 from Thakuran River. Among all the abiotic factors, salinity plays a pivotal role in ecology and

Fig. 5. Sigambra sundarbanensis sp. nov. A. Holotype (PUZ 501), parapodia with notopodial hook and neurochaetae. B. Paratype (PUZ 507), notopodium with hook, acicula and cirrus. C. Paratype (PUZ 514), oocytes inside parapodia. D. Holotype (PUZ 501), a bunch of large gland cells in parapodium. Abbreviations: dc = dorsal cirrus; vc = ventral cirrus; np = neuropodium; pc = pectinate chaeta; na = notoacicula; nh = notopodial hook; oc = oocyte; pg = parapodial gland.
distribution of species across the globe, as this acts as a physiological barrier for both stenohaline and euryhaline species. Sigambra parva was recorded from Cape Province, South Africa (Day 1963) and the Mediterranean coast of Spain (Moreira & Parapar 2002), where the water salinity remains higher than 30%, whereas the localities of S. sundarbanensis sp. nov. had a salinity of 17–23.42%. Additionally, S. parva had a comparatively higher range of depth variation from 2 to 97 meters (Day 1963; Moreira & Parapar 2002).

**Key to species of Sigambra Müller, 1858**
(modified after Salazar-Vallejo et al. 2019)

1. Dorsal cirri larger than ventral cirri ................................................................. 2
   – Dorsal and ventral cirri subequal .................................................................. 26

2. Chaetiger 2 without ventral cirri .................................................................... 3
   – Chaetiger 2 with ventral cirri ...................................................................... 22

3. Pharynx with 8 marginal papillae ................................................................. 4
   – Pharynx with 13–16 marginal papillae ....................................................... 7

4. Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 3–8 .................................................................. 5
   – Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 15–17 (5–18 mm long; up to chaetiger 30?); median and posterior notopodia with 1 capillary; median antenna long, reaching chaetiger 7 ........... S. vargasi Dean, 1999

5. Median and posterior notopodia with capillaries ........................................... 6
   – Notopodia without capillaries; all neurochaetae with tips entire; median antennae reaching chaetiger 5 (17–23 mm long) ................................................ S. papagayu Bamber in Muir & Bamber, 2008

6. Some neurochaetae with bifid tips; median antenna barely longer than laterals, reaching chaetiger 2; median and posterior notopodia with 2 capillaries .......... S. bidentata Britayev & Saphronova, 1981
   – All neurochaetae with entire tip; median antenna markedly longer than laterals, reaching chaetiger 3; median and posterior notopodia with 1 capillary ...... S. qingdaoensis Licher & Westheide, 1997

7. Dorsal hooks from anterior chaetigers (4–18) .................................................. 8
   – Dorsal hooks from median chaetigers (30–40); median antenna twice as long as lateral ones or longer ................................................................. 21
   – Dorsal hooks from posterior chaetigers (42–66), or beyond that (14 mm long); median antenna as long as lateral ones, barely reaching chaetiger 1 ....................................... S. rugosa Fauchald, 1972

8. Tentacular segment about twice as wide as long ........................................... 9
   – Tentacular segment 4 times as wide as long ............................................. 11

9. Tentacular segment with anterior margin with rounded projected lobes, external to lateral antennae ................................................................. S. phuketensis Licher & Westheide 1997
   – Tentacular segment with anterior margin smooth, without projected lateral lobes; dorsal hooks from chaetiger 3–4 (14 mm long) ....................................................... S. setosa Fauchald, 1972

10. Antennae of similar length; dorsal cirri of median segments 5 times as long as wide ................................................................. S. phuketensis Licher & Westheide 1997
11. Tentacular segment with rounded projected lobes in anterior margin; median antenna slightly longer than lateral ones, reaching chaetigers 3–4 ................................................................. 12
   - Tentacular segment with anterior margin smooth, without rounded projected lobes .................. 13

12. Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 4–5 (6–12 mm long) .................................................. S. parva (Day, 1963)
   - Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 8 (2–9 mm long) .................................................. S. sundarbanensis sp. nov.

13. Median and posterior notopodia with capillaries ............................................................. 14
   - Notopodia without capillaries .................................................................................. 20

14. Median antenna short, reaching up to chaetigers 3–4 .................................................. 15
   - Median antenna medium-sized, reaching chaetigers 5–7 .................................................. 16
   - Median antenna long, reaching chaetigers 9–12; dorsal hooks of chaetigers 11–15 (40 mm long); lateral antennae without lateral depressions ........................................... S. bassi sensu Blake, 1994

15. Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 4 (15 mm long); median antenna slightly longer than lateral ones; first dorsal cirri slightly longer than dorsal tentacular ones .................. S. tentaculata sensu Blake, 1994
   - Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 12–18 (16 mm long); median antenna twice as long as lateral ones; first dorsal cirri markedly longer than dorsal tentacular ones ... S. elegans Britayev & Saphronova, 1981

16. Median antenna slightly longer than lateral ones, reaching chaetigers 4–6; dorsal hooks from chaetigers 7–10 (5.5 mm long) .................................................. S. pettiboneae Hartmann-Schröder, 1979
   - Median antenna twice as long as lateral ones .......................................................... 17

17. Median antenna reaching chaetigers 7–8 ......................................................................... 18
   - Median antenna reaching up to chaetigers 5–6; dorsal hooks from chaetigers 4–5 .............. 19

18. Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 3–9 (5–20 mm long) .................................................. S. hanaokai (Kitamori, 1960)
   - Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 12–18 (24 mm long) .................................................. S. bassi (Hartman, 1947)

19. All parapodia with ventral cirri shorter than neuropodial lobes; dorsal cirri basally wider ........
   .............................................................. S. tentaculata (Treadwell, 1941)
   - Median and posterior parapodia with ventral cirri long, reaching tip of neuropodial lobes; dorsal cirri tapered, not wider basally .......................................................... S. diazi Salazar-Vallejo et al., 2019

20. Median antenna medium-sized, reaching chaetigers 3–4; posterior region with 4–6 hookless chaetigers; body papillae large in proportion ............................................. S. grubii Müller, 1858
   - Median antenna short, reaching chaetigers 2–3; posterior region with 2 hookless chaetigers; body papillae small ................................................................. S. hernandezi Salazar-Vallejo et al., 2019

21. Median antenna slightly longer than laterals; body without a constriction on chaetiger 4; dorsal hooks from chaetigers 30–39 (15–24 mm long); posterior chaetigers without capillary notochaetae .............................................................. S. olivai Salazar-Vallejo et al., 2019
   - Median antenna twice as long as laterals; body with a constriction on chaetiger 4; dorsal hooks from chaetiger 30–40 (16–24 mm long); posterior chaetigers with a single capillary notochaetae ................................................................. S. constricta (Southern, 1921)

22. Pharynx with 8 marginal papillae ................................................................................. 23
   - Pharynx with 14–16 marginal papillae ........................................................................ 25
23. Median antenna slightly longer than lateral ones .......................................................... 24
   – Median antenna twice as long as lateral ones; dorsal hooks from chaetigers 26–28. ..........  
     _S. ligneroi_ Salazar-Vallejo et al., 2019

24. Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 4 (0.7 mm wide), tentacular segment as long as wide ................  
   – Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 23–30 (45–70 mm long); tentacular segment 4–5 times as wide as  
     long .............................................................. _S. healyae_ Gagaev, 2008

25. Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 7, with accessory capillaries; dorsal cirri progressively longer from  
   chaetiger 2 .............................................................. _Sigambra_ sp. Imajima, 2001
   – Dorsal hooks from chaetigers 43–70, without capillary chaetae; dorsal cirri of chaetiger 2 smaller  
     than those in following chaetigers .............................................................. _S. robusta_ (Ehlers, 1908)

26. Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 6 (1.5 mm long)....................................... _S. ocellata_ (Hartmann-Schröder, 1959)
   – Dorsal hooks from chaetiger 3 (3.7 mm long)................................. _S. magnuncus_ (Paterson & Glover, 2000)

* As per Salazar-Vallejo et al. (2019), this is not completely identified and based upon some specimens  
which have not been described yet, but are distinct and deserve to be described.

**Discussion**

In general, there are two patterns regarding positional variation of notopodial hooks in _Sigambra_.  
It either starts from a specific chaetiger (1–3 chaetiger variation) or shows variation. The position of the  
notopodial hook in _S. sundarbanensis_ sp. nov. follows the first pattern, whereas most of its congeners  
show variations. The general variability in the first appearance of the hooks and the number of hookless  
chaetigers in pre-pygidial segments can limit its taxonomic position (Licher & Westheide 1997).

Based on literature, _Sigambra sundarbanensis_ sp. nov. is the first reported species of this genus with  
parapodial glandular structures. According to the methyl green stained images, these glands resemble  
the chromophile glands found in the neuropodial pinnae among the members of Tomopteridae (Grube,  
1850) (e.g., _Tomopteris helgolandica_ Greeff, 1879) which are pelagic in nature and involved in light  
production (Gouveneaux et al. 2017). For the taxonomic classification of tomopterids, Fauvel (1923)  
mentioned these glands and their affinity towards nuclear dyes like haematoxylin. Later on, a detailed  
histochemical examination on these light-producing parapodial glands by Gouveneaux (2016) confirmed  
their similar staining property. However, no such parapodial structures have so far been reported for  
any species of _Sigambra_ in particular or pilargids in general. Even though the histochemistry of this  
gland is unknown, methyl green staining images (Fig. 4B–F) depict a visual resemblance with the  
image in Gouveneaux et al. (2017: fig. 2f). Moreover, the first appearance of these glands from the  
anterior parapodia along with their gradual enlargement can be similar to what is shown in specimens of  
_Enapteris euchaeta_ Chun, 1888 or _Tomopteris elegans_ Chun, 1888. Their chromophile glands are very  
conspicuous and situated inferiorly from segment 4, although in _Tomopteris apsteini_ Rosa, 1908 they are  
visible from the third pair of parapodia, progressively larger, becoming enormous globes hanging under  
ventral rami (Støp-Bowitz 1948; Böggemann 2009). However, without having a detailed knowledge on  
its histochemistry and function, the observed structure in our study should not be further named; rather,  
it would be more appropriate referring them as parapodial glands.

_Sigambra sundarbanensis_ sp. nov. is described from a mangrove dominated estuary of the Indian  
Sundarbans. The species has been described with morphological features along with the environmental  
factors in different seasons from the rivers Matla and Thakuran, Sundarbans. This report contributes to  
the polychaete checklist of Indian waters. It also includes the first documentation of some parapodial
glands in *Sigambra*. Moreover, it also delivers a global map of the type localities of all the accepted species of *Sigambra*.
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