Proposal of new genera and species of the subfamily Diosaccinae (Copepoda: Harpacticoida: Miraciidae) – Corrigendum
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The present corrigendum corrects errors that occurred in Gómez et al. (2021).
The case of *Dineticola* gen. nov.

The name *Dineticola* gen. nov. appears in Table 4 (pages 10 and 11) and on Fig. 19 (page 40) in Gómez *et al.* (2021). *Dineticola* gen. nov. was a slip of the pen and, therefore, it is a nomen nudum; it is unavailable because it was not accompanied by a description or a bibliographic reference, failing to conform to art. 13 (ICZN 1999). The genus name *Dineticola* gen. nov. should be changed to *Dinetocaris* gen. nov.

List of errors

Page 1, Abstract, line 14:
“and *Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “and *Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 2, Introduction, line 30:
“we propose *Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “we propose *Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 10, Table 4, terminals:
“*Dineticola* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 11, Table 4, terminals:
“*Dineticola* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 43, line 31:
“*Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 43, line 35:
“(= *Dinetia minuta* (Dinet, 1971)” should be changed to “(= *Dinetocaris minuta* (Dinet, 1971)”

Page 44, line 23:
“Apomorphies for *Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “Apomorphies for *Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 44, lines 25, 30 and 37:
“*Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 45, lines 12 and 17:
“*Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 53, line 18:
“Phylogenetic position of *Dinetia* gen. nov.,…” should be changed to “Phylogenetic position of *Dinetocaris* gen. nov.,…”

Page 53, lines 32–33:
“…a clade composed of *Dinetia* gen. nov.,…” should be changed to “…a clade composed of *Dinetocaris* gen. nov.,…”

Page 55, lines 18, 19, 21, 25 and 29:
“*Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”

Page 55, line 27:
“…the *Dinetia–Rhyncholagena* clade…” should be changed to “…the *Dinetocaris–Rhyncholagena* clade…”

Page 56, line 18:
“*Dinetia* gen. nov.” should be changed to “*Dinetocaris* gen. nov.”
Page 40, Fig. 19 is in very low resolution. A new figure, with *Dineticola* corrected to *Dinetocaris* gen. nov., is provided here:

Fig. 19. Strict consensus cladogram of the generic relationships within the Diosaccinae.
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