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Abstract. An annotated list, including information on type species, distribution, and number of species, is provided for all of the non-flea-beetle galerucine genera known to occur in the New World (tribes Galerucini, Metacyclini, and Luperini). A diagnostic key to the genera is provided. Habitus illustrations are provided for most genera. The following new genera are proposed: Amplioluperus gen. nov., Cornuventer gen. nov., Geethaluperus gen. nov., Megarhabda gen. nov., Mexiluperus gen. nov., Monoaster gen. nov., Pyesexora gen. nov., Texiluperus gen. nov., Trachyelytron gen. nov. and Yingabruixa gen. nov. The following new taxonomic placements are proposed: Microbrotica Jacoby, 1887 is transferred from the tribe Metacyclini to the section Diabroticites Chapuis, 1875 (tribe Luperini, subtribe Diabroticina Chapuis, 1875); Ptieleon Jacoby, 1888 is transferred from the section Exosomites Wilcox, 1973 (tribe Luperini, subtribe Luperina Gistel, 1848) to the section Scelidites Chapuis, 1875 (subtribe Luperina). The following new combinations are proposed: Luperodes histrio Horn, 1895, Luperus maculicollis LeConte, 1884, and Scelolyperus cyaneus Horn, 1895 are transferred from Pseudoluperus Beller & Hatch, 1932 to Amplioluperus; Luperodes tuberculatus Blake, 1942 is transferred from Pseudoluperus to Cornuventer; Luperus flavofemoratus Jacoby, 1888 is transferred from Pseudoluperus to Geethaluperus; Trirhabda obscurovittata Jacoby, 1886 is transferred from Trirhabda LeConte, 1865 to Megarhabda; Cneorane nigripes Allard, 1889 is transferred from Scelida Chapuis, 1875 to Metacycla Baly, 1861; Luperodes wickhami Horn, 1893 and Luperus dissimilis Jacoby, 1888 are transferred from Pseudoluperus to Mexiluperus; Scelolyperus tenuimarginatus Bowditch, 1925, is transferred from Scelida to Mimaela Baly, 1865 and is synonymized with Mimaela semimarginata Jacoby, 1886 syn. nov.; Pseudoluperus fulgidus Wilcox, 1965 and Pseudoluperus linus Wilcox, 1965 are transferred from Pseudoluperus to Monoaster; Crioceris detrita detrata Fabricius, 1801, Malacosoma detrita laevicollis Jacoby, 1887, Pyesia detrita meridionalis Bechyné, 1958, Pyesia elytropleuralis elytropleuralis Bechyné, 1958, and Pyesia elytropleuralis subalutacea Bechyné, 1958 are transferred from Pyesia Clark, 1865 to Pyesexora; Luperodes spretus Horn, 1893 and Luperodes texanus Horn, 1893 are transferred from Pseudoluperus to Texiluperus; Chthoneis smaragdipennis Jacoby, 1888 is transferred from Platymorpha Jacoby, 1888 to Trachyelytron; Luperus albomarginatus Jacoby, 1888 is
transferred from *Pseudoluperus* to *Trichobrotica* Bechyné, 1956; and *Galleruca sordida* LeConte, 1858, *Monoxia apicalis* Blake, 1939, *Monoxia batisia* Blatchley, 1917, and *Monoxia brisleyi* Blake, 1939 are transferred from *Monoxia* LeConte, 1865 to *Yingabruxia*; all comb. nov. *Pseudoluperus decipiens* (Horn, 1893), originally described in *Sceloluperus* Crotch, 1874, is reduced to a junior synonym of *Pseudoluperus longulus* (LeConte, 1857), syn. nov. *Trachyscelida ochroma* Viswajyothi & Clark is proposed as a nom. nov. for *Racenisa bicolor* Bechyné, 1958 (not *Agelastica bicolor* LeConte, 1884), as both species are currently placed in the genus *Trachyscelida* Horn, 1893.
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**Introduction**

Although galerucine classification is in a state of flux, with the validity of some of the historically recognized groupings being doubtful, the prevailing arrangement still largely follows the catalogues of Wilcox (1971, 1972, 1973) and is reflected in the subsequent list of genera by Seeno & Wilcox (1982). The subfamily is divided into tribes (-ini endings), which are subdivided into subtribes (-ina endings) and further into sections (-ites endings). Oddly, the subtribal rank is sometimes omitted, the tribes being directly divided into sections. Unfortunately, some of the taxa are very inadequately differentiated from each other. With the relatively recent addition of Alticini Newman, 1835 (formerly regarded as a separate subfamily), six tribes are recognized. These are Oidini Chapuis, 1875, an exclusively Old World tropical tribe, with approximately 183 species in seven genera; Galerucini, with approximately 1013 species in 123 genera in five sections; Metacyclini, with approximately 259 species within 37 genera; Hylaspini Chapuis, 1875, with approximately 394 species in 49 genera in six loosely arranged sections; Luperini, with approximately 3953 species in 272 genera in 18 sections within three subtribes; and Alticini, which is not treated in the present investigation (Wilcox 1971, 1972, 1973; Seeno & Wilcox 1982). These numbers are all approximate, since additional taxa have been proposed subsequent to the publications mentioned above. Nie et al. (2017) reported 543 total genera and 7145 total species for non-alticine Galerucinae. However, we believe a more accurate count to be 544 genera and 7318 species.

Numerous studies deal with the phylogeny of Galerucinae (e.g., Eben & Monteros 2003a, 2003b, 2008, 2013; Gillespie et al. 2003, 2004, 2008; Kim et al. 2003; Duckett et al. 2004; Nokkala & Nokkala 2004; Swigoňová & Kjer 2004; Bünning et al. 2008; Ge et al. 2011, 2012; Eben 2012; Hua et al. 2014; Song et al. 2018; Nie et al. 2020). The abovementioned classification is largely supported by these studies, but there are many exceptions. For instance, Oidini and Hylaspini should probably be combined with Luperini (Duckett et al. 2004; Gillespie et al. 2004, 2008; Nie et al. 2020). Additionally, some studies place Metacyclini as the sister to Galerucini (e.g., Gillespie et al. 2003, 2004). In contrast, some studies do not recover Metacyclini as monophyletic (e.g., Duckett et al. 2004). Indeed, Beenen (2013) synonymized Metacyclini with Galerucini. Further investigation may be needed before this synonymy is widely accepted. Moreover, note that some genera that have been regarded as metacyclines, such as *Hecataeus* Jacoby, 1888 and *Masurius* Jacoby, 1888, may not be closely related to the other metacyclines (Gillespie et al. 2008; Nie et al. 2020). Below the level of tribes, some of the sections are strongly recovered, but not all of them. For instance, Phyllobrotictichus Chapuis, 1875 may be paraphyletic (Gillespie et al. 2008). At the genus level, there are also many problems. For instance, genera such as *Gynandrobrotica* Bechyné, 1955 and *Isotes* Weise, 1922 are probably not monophyletic (Eben & Monteros 2003a, 2003b, 2008, 2013, 2015; Gillespie et al. 2004, 2008; Eben 2012). In spite of major
advances in the understanding of phylogeny, many of the questions have not been adequately answered. Future studies, involving larger taxon sampling, are warranted (Gillespie et al. 2008).

The subfamily Galerucinae in the New World is poorly studied. In large part, this is because the taxonomic literature is widely scattered. Would-be galerucine taxonomists are often discouraged due to the near absence of identification keys, even to the level of genus. Actually, keys to genera are published for some areas (e.g., Wilcox 1965; Bechyné & Bechyné 1969; Bechyné 1997; Riley et al. 2002a). Additionally, some keys facilitate identification of genera within taxonomic subgroups of Galerucinae (e.g., Bechyné 1957, 1958; Blake 1958, 1966a, 1966b; Smith & Lawrence 1967; Bechyné & Bechyné 1968; Moura 2010; Derunkov et al. 2015). However, there are no published keys that treat all galerucine genera for the entire New World. We here provide such a comprehensive key. With the notable exception of Alticini, which hopefully will be treated by flea beetle specialists, this key includes all galerucine genera known to occur in the New World. Being the first such published attempt, the key surely includes some problems and errors. Even so, we believe that it achieves the goal of facilitating correct generic identification of most specimens.

Material and methods

All specimens studied were in the adult stage. They were examined using Wild M5A and Olympus SZ61 stereo microscopes. Microphotography employed an Olympus SZX12 dissecting microscope equipped with an Olympus DP70 camera. Image montage employed Olympus cellSens software. Images were later retouched with Adobe Photoshop.

The annotated list of genera is arranged according to recent classifications. Notwithstanding, we recognize that some of the subtribes (-ina endings) and especially sections (-ites endings) are probably unnatural (Gillespie et al. 2008). In fact, even some of the tribes may not be valid. For instance, Beenen (2013) recommended combining Metacyclini with Galerucini.

The following keys incorporate elements from the above-mentioned publications, as well as from extensive unpublished notes left behind by the late John A. Wilcox (now in possession of Shawn Clark). They also incorporate many novel characters observed during our own examinations of beetles but not previously reported. At present, several of the galerucine genera are heterogeneous with regards to the included species. Future study will undoubtedly result in the descriptions of many new genera and revised generic placements of many species. However, only a few taxonomic changes are formalized in this publication. Instead, the following key to genera accounts for much of the generic heterogeneity, allowing identification of most of the species into the genera in which they are currently classified. Also, in some instances, the characters of a particular genus are variable or intermediate between the options employed in the key, or the characters are easily misinterpreted. With these considerations in mind, some genera appear in multiple places in the key. In just a few instances, the key will allow for identification of only the type species and its close relatives, not for some of the anomalous species that are currently included in the genus. In such instances, explanations are usually given in the Annotated List of Genera preceding the key.

We have provided habitus illustrations of most of the genera, as well as illustrations of many diagnostic characters. However, the illustrations are not to scale; thus, the size of the beetles should not be interpreted based on the illustrations.
Results

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758
Order Coleoptera Linnaeus, 1758
Family Chrysomelidae Latrielle, 1802

Subfamily Galerucinae Latrielle, 1802

Annotated list of genera

Tribe Galerucini Latrielle, 1802
Section Coelomerites Chapuis, 1875

Genus Apteroyinga Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020

*Apteroyinga* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020b: 228 (type species *Apteroyinga andrewsi* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains just one described species, *A. andrewsi* from Costa Rica. See Fig. 21 for a habitus illustration. Although distinctive in some of its features, this genus is probably closely related to *Socorroita* Bechyné, 1956.

Genus Austrochorina Bechyné, 1963

*Austrochorina* Bechyné, 1963: 236 (type species *Monocesta consularis* Clark, 1865, by monotypy).

Remarks
This genus includes just one described species, *A. consularis* (Clark, 1865) from Brazil. See Fig. 3 for a habitus illustration.

Genus Caraguata Bechyné, 1954

*Caraguata* Bechyné, 1954: 123 (type species *Monocesta sublimbata* Baly, 1879, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains 38 described species, occurring from Mexico through much of South America. See Figs 6 and 223. See Bechyné (1958) for a key including several of the species.

Genus Chorina Baly, 1866

*Chorina* Baly, 1866: 471 (type species *Monocesta cincta* Clark, 1865, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes three described species, all of which occur in Brazil. See Fig. 8 for a habitus illustration.

Genus Coelomera Chevrolat in Dejean, 1836

*Coelomera* Chevrolat in Dejean, 1836: 375 (type species *Chrysomela cajennensis* Fabricius, 1787, by subsequent designation of Weise 1924).
Remarks
This genus contains 32 described species. They are distributed from Guatemala through much of South America. See Fig. 7 for a habitus illustration.

Genus *Coraia* Clark, 1865

*Coraia* Clark, 1865: 323 (type species *Coraia maculicollis* Clark, 1865, by monotypy).

Remarks
This genus includes four described species, which occur from Texas to Guatemala. See Figs 4–5 for habitus illustrations.

Genus *Derospidea* Blake, 1931

*Derospidea* Blake, 1931: 32 (type species *Trirhabda brevicollis* LeConte, 1865, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes three described species, which occur from Canada to Mexico. See Fig. 12 for a habitus illustration.

Genus *Dicoelotrachelus* Blake, 1941

*Dicoelotrachelus* Blake, 1941: 171 (type species *Dicoelotrachelus darlingtoni* Blake, 1941, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes five described species. See Fig. 13 for a habitus illustration. The genus occurs in Cuba and Hispaniola.

Genus *Dircema* Clark, 1865

*Dircema* Clark, 1865: 262 (type species *Galleruca nigripennis* Fabricius, 1792, by subsequent designation of Dallas 1866).

Remarks
This genus includes 25 described species, all from South America. See Figs 10 and 186 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Bechyné (1951) for a key that includes most of the species.

Genus *Gonaives* Clark, 1987

*Gonaives* Clark, 1987a: 167 (type species *Gonaives buenae* Clark, 1987, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains just one described species, *G. buenae* from Haiti. See Fig. 45 for a habitus illustration.

Genus *Megarhabda* gen. nov.

Type species
*Trirhabda obscurovittata* Jacoby, 1886, by present designation.
Diagnosis
This genus is quite different from *Trirhabda* LeConte, 1865 (the genus in which the single named species of *Megarhabda* gen. nov. was previously placed). Among other things, the pronotum of *Megarhabda* is very short (about 2.5 times as broad as long). In this respect, the new genus is similar to *Derospidea*, but differs in the larger pronotal depressions and the more broadly explanate lateral pronotal margins (Fig. 192). See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

Etymology
The genus name ‘*Megarhabda*’ suggests a relationship to *Trirhabda*, but with unusually large size. It should be treated as a female noun.

Remarks
This genus includes a single named species, *M. obscurovittata* (Jacoby, 1886), which occurs from Guatemala to Panama, but an undescribed species from Guatemala and Mexico also belongs here. See Fig. 14 for a habitus photograph.

Genus *Miraces* Jacoby, 1888


*Halticidea* Horn, 1893: 61 (type species *Halticidea delata* Horn, 1893, by subsequent designation of Wilcox 1965).

Remarks
This genus contains five described species. They are distributed from the southern United States through Guatemala, and in West Indies. Other species, apparently undescribed, occur throughout much of Latin America, including South America. See Fig. 18 for a habitus illustration. See Wilcox (1965) for a key to the species occurring in the United States.

Genus *Monocesta* Clark, 1865

*Monocesta* Clark, 1865: 264 (type species *Monocesta imperialis* Clark, 1865, by subsequent designation of Weise 1924).

Remarks
Although the elytra in this genus are pubescent, the setae are easily overlooked in some species. The key allows for correct identification, even if the elytra are interpreted as being asetose. The genus includes 28 described species, occurring in the United States through much of South America, and in West Indies. See Figs 1, 146, and 195.

Genus *Narichona* Kirsch, 1883


Remarks
This genus contains three described species, occurring in Colombia and Peru.

Genus *Neophaestus* Hincks, 1949

*Phaestus* Jacoby, 1887: 570 (type species *Phaestus chiriquensis* Jacoby, 1887, by monotypy).

*Neophaestus* Hincks, 1949: 617 (replacement name for *Phaestus* Jacoby, 1887).
Remarks
This genus currently includes a single species, *N. chiriquensis* (Jacoby, 1887) from Panama. See Fig. 11 for a habitus illustration. Some characteristics, such as the narrow epipleuron, suggest a close relationship with *Apteroyinga* and *Socorroita* Bechyné, 1956.

Genus *Nestinus* Clark, 1865

*Nestinus* Clark, 1865: 324 (type species *Nestinus bimaculatus* Clark, 1865, by subsequent designation of Barber in Blake 1931).


Remarks
This genus includes seven described species, occurring in Mexico and Guatemala. See Fig. 19 for a habitus illustration. The genus also includes *N. incertus* Clark, 1865, from Brazil, but the generic placement of this species is extremely doubtful.

Genus *Platycesta* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2021

*Platycesta* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2021b: 474 (type species *Monocesta depressa* Clark, 1865, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes just one described species, *P. depressa* (Clark, 1865), which is distributed in Central America and northwestern South America. Although it has been reported from the United States (Kim *et al.* 2003), this was surely in error. See Figs 2 and 152 for a habitus illustration and details of morphology.

Genus *Socorroita* Bechyné, 1956

*Socorroita* Bechyné, 1956a: 286 (type species *Monocesta carinipennis* Bowditch, 1923, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes only two described species, both from Colombia. See Figs 16–17, 183–184, and 218–219 for illustrations. In some aspects, such as the narrow epipleura, it is similar to *Neophaestus*. Even so, the type species of the two genera are very different from each other, based on various other characteristics. However, we are aware of ten apparently undescribed species that seem to be closely related to these genera (Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Ecuador; all in the Brigham Young University collection). Some of the undescribed species are intermediate between the two genera. We do not suggest that the two genera are synonymous. Rather, new genera should probably be erected to accommodate the intermediate species.

Genus *Syphaxia* Baly, 1866

*Syphaxia* Baly, 1866: 471 (type species *Monocesta spectanda* Clark, 1865, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes just two described species, one in Peru and the other in French Guiana. See Figs 9 and 144.
Genus *Trirhabda* LeConte, 1865

*Trirhabda* LeConte, 1865: 219 (type species *Trirhabda nitidicollis* LeConte, 1865, by subsequent designation of Barber in Blake 1931).

**Remarks**

This genus contains 29 described species, occurring from Canada to Guatemala. Although the elytra are pubescent, the setae are easily overlooked in some species. The following key allows for correct identification of the genus, even if the elytra are interpreted as being asetose. See Fig. 15 for a habitus illustration. Blake (1931), Wilcox (1965), and Hogue (1970) each provided useful keys for species identification, although a few species were missing in each instance.

Tribe Galerucini Latreille, 1802
Section Atysites Chapuis, 1875

Genus *Diorhabda* Weise, 1883

*Diorhabda* Weise, 1883: 316 (type species *Galeruca elongata* Brullé, 1832, by original designation).

**Remarks**

Four Palearctic species from North America (United States and Mexico), where they have intentionally been released for the biological control of *Tamarix* L. (Tamaricaceae). See Figs 20, 147, and 220 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Tracy & Robbins (2009) for a key to the species.

Genus *Galerucella* Crotch, 1873

*Galerucella* Crotch, 1873: 55.

Subgenus *Galerucella* Crotch, 1873


Subgenus *Neogalerucella* Chûjô, 1962


**Remarks**

See Manguin et al. (1993) for a key to the species occurring in the New World. The subgenus *Galerucella* is represented in Canada and the United States by a single species, *G. nymphaeae* (Linnaeus, 1758), which also occurs in the Palearctic Region. The subgenus *Neogalerucella* includes two species that are native to Canada and the northern United States. It also includes two Palearctic species that have intentionally been introduced to Canada and the United States for biological control of the invasive plant *Lythrum salicaria* L. (Lythraceae). See Figs 25 and 153 for a habitus illustration and morphological details.
Genus *Pyrhralta* Joannis, 1865

*Pyrhralta* Joannis, 1865: 82 (type species *Galeruca viburni* Paykull, 1778, by monotypy).

*Hoplostines* Blackburn, 1890: 361 (type species *Hoplostines viridipennis* Blackburn, 1890, by monotypy).


*Chapalia* Laboissière, 1929: 269 (type species *Chapalia jeanvoinei* Laboissière, 1929, by original designation).

Remarks

*Pyrhralta viburni* (Paykull, 1778), a Palearctic species, has been accidentally introduced to Canada and the United States. See Fig. 23 for a habitus illustration.

Genus *Tricholochnae* Laboissière, 1932

*Tricholochnae* Laboissière, 1932: 963 (type species *Galerucella semifulva* Jacoby, 1885, by original designation).

Remarks

Riley *et al.* (2003) listed 13 Nearctic species for this Holarctic genus, occurring in both Canada and the United States. Some of the species are subdivided into subspecies, which might more properly be regarded as valid species. Beyond this, several undescribed Nearctic species also belong in this genus (Ward 1982). Lee & Bezděk (2021) treated *Tricholochnae* as a synonym of *Pyrhralta*. However, we defer acceptance of this taxonomic change until further evidence is available. See Fig. 22 for a habitus illustration of *Tricholochnae*. See Wilcox (1965) and Ward (1982) for keys to the Nearctic species.

Genus *Xanthogaleruca* Laboissière, 1934

*Xanthogaleruca* Laboissière, 1934: 67 (type species *Chrysomela luteola* Müller, 1766, by monotypy).

Remarks

One species, *X. luteola* (Müller, 1766), is native to the Palearctic Region but has been accidentally introduced to both North and South America. See Fig. 24 for a habitus illustration. Nie *et al.* (2013) treated *Xanthogaleruca* as a synonym of *Pyrhralta*. However, Lee & Bezděk (2021) regarded *Xanthogaleruca* to be a valid genus, separate from *Pyrhralta*. At least until additional evidence is available, we also treat *Xanthogaleruca* as a separate genus.

Tribe Galerucini Latreille, 1802

Section Schematizites Chapuis, 1875

Genus *Brucita* Wilcox, 1965

*Brucita* Wilcox, 1965: 42 (type species *Galerucella marmorata* Jacoby, 1886, by original designation).

Remarks

Only a single species, *B. marmorata* (Jacoby, 1886), occurring from south Texas to Guatemala, is currently placed in this genus. See Figs 32 and 204 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. However, some undescribed species or species currently placed in *Yingaresca* Bechyné, 1956 might properly belong here.
Genus *Chlorolochmaea* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

*Chlorolochmaea* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969: 16 (type species *Monocesta parallela* Bowditch, 1923, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus contains a single described species, *C. parallela* (Bowditch, 1923) from South America (Fig. 43). See Moura (1998a) for a detailed description of the species.

Genus *Erynephala* Blake, 1936

*Erynephala* Blake, 1936: 425 (type species *Galeruca maritima* LeConte, 1865, by original designation).

*Sarigueia* Bechyné, 1956a: 302 (type species *Galerucella subvittata* Demay, 1838, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains six described species, distributed from Canada to Argentina. The tarsal claws are bifid in males and simple in females. The elytra are covered with short setae, but these are sparse and inconspicuous in some species. Our key enables correct identification, even if the elytra are interpreted to be asetose. See Figs 34 and 151 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Groll et al. (2022) for a cladistic analysis and a key to the described species.

Genus *Itaitubana* Bechyné, 1963


**Remarks**

This genus currently contains nine species, distributed from Mexico through much of South America. See Figs 26–27 and 222 for habitus illustrations and morphological details. However, the species are heterogeneous. Among other things, the tarsal claws are reported to be either bifid or appendiculate. Future investigation will likely reveal that some species need to be transferred to other genera. Beyond the claws, the relative lengths of the antennomeres also vary. Some workers have used the very long third antennomere as a diagnostic character for *Itaitubana* (e.g., Bechyné & Bechyné 1969). Indeed, we have employed this character in the following key. However, species such as *I. alternata* (Jacoby, 1886) do not have this characteristic. Future study may prove that they would be better placed in *Caraguata*.

Genus *Iucetima* Moura, 1998


**Remarks**

This genus contains three described species. They occur in Argentina, Brazil, and Paraguay. See Figs 42, 215, and 224. See Moura (1998b) for a key to the species.

Genus *Metrogaleruca* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

Remarks
This genus currently includes only five species, distributed from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in the Lesser Antilles. However, some species currently placed in Schematiza Chevrolat, 1836, Yingaresca, or Ophraea Jacoby, 1886 might properly belong in Metrogaleruca. See Figs 31 and 221 for illustrations of Metrogaleruca.

Genus Monoxia LeConte, 1865
Monoxia LeConte, 1865: 221 (type species Galleruca angularis LeConte, 1859, by subsequent designation of Blake 1939).

Remarks
This genus contains 15 described species, distributed from Canada to Guatemala. See Fig. 35 for a habitus illustration. See Blake (1939) for a key to the species. However, realize that one species from Texas has been named subsequent to that key, and the generic placement of the old species from Guatemala warrants reevaluation (Riley 2020). All species of Monoxia are rather similar to each other, although easily separating into two groups, those with slender, dorsoventrally flattened aedeagi, and those with more robust aedeagi. Whereas most of the species have bifid claws in the male and simple claws in the female, the anomalous species M. schizonycha Blake, 1939 has bifid claws in both genders. Four species formerly included in the genus are herein transferred to Yingabruxia gen. nov.

Genus Neolochmaea Laboissière, 1939
Neolochmaea Laboissière, 1939: 153 (type species Lochmaea tropica Jacoby, 1889, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains three described species, distributed in Florida, the West Indies, Central America, and South America. See Fig. 41 for a habitus illustration. See Moura (1998c) for a key to the species.

Genus Ophraea Jacoby, 1886
Ophraea Jacoby, 1886: 492 (type species Ophraea rugosa Jacoby, 1886, by subsequent designation of Wilcox 1965).

Remarks
This genus currently contains twelve species, distributed from Arizona to Costa Rica. See Figs 40, 154, and 188 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Bechyné (1950) for a key that includes some, but certainly not all, of the species currently placed in the genus. However, be aware that Ophraea, as currently constituted, is heterogeneous. Some species should probably be transferred to other genera, such as Metrogaleruca. The following key to genera reflects the characteristics of the type species, but not necessarily those of all the species currently included in the Ophraea.

Genus Ophraella Wilcox, 1965
Ophraella Wilcox, 1965: 43 (type species Galleruca notata Fabricius, 1801, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains 14 described species, occurring from Canada to Mexico. See Figs 37–39 for habitus illustrations. See LeSage (1986) for a key to the species. However, realize that two additional species
have been named subsequent to that key (Futuyma 1990, 1991). Another species, *O. godmani* (Jacoby, 1886), occurring in Mexico and Guatemala, is also included in the genus, but this generic placement is extremely questionable. Several South American species have also been included in the genus (Bechyné 1997), but we also doubt this placement.

**Genus Platynocera** Blanchard, 1842

*Platynocera* Blanchard, 1842: 212 (type species *Platynocera murina* Blanchard, 1842, by monotypy).

*Corynocesta* Bechyné, 1956a: 291 (type species *Corynocesta peruviana* Bechyné, 1956, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus contains three described species, all from South America. See Figs 33, 208, and 229.

**Genus Schematiza** Chevrolat in Dejean 1836

*Schematiza* Chevrolat in Dejean 1836: 377 (type species *Lycus laevigatus* Fabricius, 1801, by subsequent designation of Barber 1947b).

**Remarks**

This genus currently contains 37 described species, distributed from Mexico through much of South America. See Fig. 28 for a habitus illustration. However, some of these species are very similar to those currently in *Metrogaleruca*. The characteristics of other species currently in *Schematiza* are intermediate between the two genera. Likely, careful investigation will either reveal the need for synonymizing the two putative genera, or the investigation will lead to the transferal of some species from *Schematiza* to *Metrogaleruca*.

**Genus Yingabruxia** gen. nov.

*Yingabruxia* gen. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:80E0FCE4-B2DE-4000-9CBF-5762AFA93C9E

**Type species**

*Galleruca sordida* LeConte, 1858, by present designation.

**Diagnosis**

Although the species included in this genus were formerly placed in *Monoxia*, the two genera are significantly different. The tarsal claws in *Yingabruxia* gen. nov. are always bifid, while those of most species (one exception) of *Monoxia* are bifid in the male and simple in the female. In *Yingabruxia*, the prothorax is usually more than twice as wide as long, and the lateral third of the pronotum is almost entirely occupied by a large depression. In contrast, the pronotum of *Monoxia* is usually not more than twice as wide as long, and the lateral third of the pronotum is partially occupied by a convex elevation. See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

**Etymology**

The genus name ‘*Yingabruxia*’ is a conglomerate, suggesting similarities to *Yingaresca*, *Brucita*, and *Monoxia*. It should be treated as a female noun.

**Remarks**

Four species previously included in *Monoxia* [*M. apicalis* Blake, 1939; *M. batisia* Blatchley, 1917; *M. brisleyi* Blake, 1939; and *M. sordida* (LeConte, 1858)] are here transferred to this new genus, all comb. nov. The distribution of *Yingabruxia* gen. nov. is from Canada to Mexico.
The food plants of *Yingabruxia* gen. nov. are often Solanaceae, while those of *Monoxia* are often Asteraceae. Both genera are in some instances associated with Amaranthaceae. The general appearance of *Yingabruxia* is similar to that of *Yingaresca* and *Brucita*, while the appearance of *Monoxia* is more similar to *Ophraella*. See Fig. 36 for a habitus illustration of *Yingabruxia*. See Blake (1939) and Wilcox (1965) for keys to the species (treated as part of *Monoxia*).

Genus *Yingaresca* Bechyné, 1956a

*Yingaresca* Bechyné, 1956a: 298 (type species *Galeruella difficilis* Bowditch, 1923, by original designation).

**Remarks**

As currently constituted, approximately 50 species of this genus occur from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in West Indies. See Figs 29–30 for habitus illustrations. However, the genus includes a rather heterogeneous assemblage of species. Future study will likely show that some species are better placed in other genera (for instance *Brucita* or *Metrogaleruca*). Also, new genera will likely need to be described to accommodate some of the species.

**Tribe Galerucini Latreille, 1802**

**Section Galerucites Latreille, 1802**

Genus *Galeruca* Geoffroy, 1762

*Galeruca* Geoffroy, 1762: 251.

Subgenus *Galeruca* Geoffroy, 1762


*Adimonia* Laicharting, 1781: 190 (type species *Chrysomela tanaceti* Linnaeus, 1758, by subsequent designation of Beenen 2010)

Subgenus *Emarhopa* Weise, 1886

*Emarhopa* Weise, 1886: 657 (extralimital; type species *Galeruca rufa* Germar, 1823, by monotypy).

Subgenus *Haptoscelis* Weise, 1886

*Haptoscelis* Weise, 1886: 658 (extralimital; type species *Galeruca melanoecephala* Ponza, 1805, by monotypy).

Subgenus *Galerima* Reitter, 1903

*Galerima* Reitter, 1903: 133 (extralimital; type species *Galeruca monticola* Kiesenwetter, 1850, by monotypy).

Subgenus *Galerotoma* Reitter, 1903

*Galerotoma* Reitter, 1903: 139 (extralimital; type species *Adimonia haagi* Joannis, 1865, by monotypy).
Subgenus *Fassatia* Havelka, 1955


Subgenus *Rhabdotilla* Jacobson, 1911

*Rhabdotilla* Jacobson, 1911: pl. 59 (extralimital; type species *Rhabdotilla rosti* Jacobson, 1911, by monotypy [= *Galeruca sexcostata* Jacobi, 1904]).

*Galemira* Beenen, 2003: 2 (type species *Galeruca sexcostata* Jacobi, 1904, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This Holarctic genus is represented in Canada and the United States by five species. They all belong to the subgenus *Galeruca*. See Figs 44 and 150 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Blake (1945) and Wilcox (1965) for keys to the New World species.

**Tribe Galerucini Latreille, 1802**

**Section Apophyliites Chapuis, 1875**

**Genus Metalepta** Baly, 1861

*Metalepta* Baly, 1861: 205 (type species *Metalepta tuberculata* Baly, 1861, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus includes three described species, distributed in Ecuador and Peru. See Fig. 50 for a habitus illustration. Beenen (2013) reported the front coxal cavities to be posteriorly closed. However, they appear to be open in material we have examined. Perhaps, this character is variable among the species. Regarding this genus, we have not used this character in the following key. The placement in the principally Old World section *Apophyliites* is quite doubtful.

**Tribe Metacyclini Chapuis, 1875**

**Genus Byblitea** Baly, 1864

*Byblitea* Baly, 1864: 136 (type species *Byblitea deyrollei* Baly, 1864, by original designation).

**Remarks**

As currently constituted, this genus contains six described species, all from South America. It is distinguished from most other metacycline genera by having bifid, rather than appendiculate, tarsal claws. However, some species currently placed in *Chthoneis* Baly, 1864 (but not the type species) are extremely similar to some species currently in *Byblitea*, although possessing appendiculate claws. Perhaps, such species should be transferred to *Byblitea*. Alternatively, a new genus may need to be erected, with some members possessing bifid claws and others appendiculate claws. Further taxonomic investigation is warranted. See Figs 59 and 157.

**Genus Chthoneis** Baly, 1864

*Chthoneis* Baly, 1864: 135 (type species *Chthoneis apicicornis* Baly, 1864, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus contains 28 described species. See Fig. 65 for a habitus illustration. They occur from Mexico through much of South America. Numerous undescribed species also belong in the genus.
Genus *Elyces* Jacoby, 1888


**Remarks**
This genus contains six described species, plus numerous apparently undescribed species from Guatemala to Peru. See Figs 58 and 161.

Genus *Exora* Chevrolat in Dejean 1836


**Remarks**
This genus currently contains 14 described species, but some of these should probably be transferred to *Trigonexora* Bechyné & Bechyné. True *Exora* occurs from Mexico through much of South America, and in the Lesser Antilles. See Fig. 56 for a habitus illustration. See Bechyné (1958) for a key to distinguish some of the species and subspecies. As with most Metacyclini, the larval habits of *Exora* are largely unknown. However, in unpublished notes from the late John A. Wilcox (currently in the possession of Shawn M. Clark), he recorded the following correspondence that he received from Jan Bechyné (dated 16 May 1970): “I have received important information from F. Fernández Yépez: The larvae of *Pyesia* Clark, 1865 or *Exora* have been collected in the FRUITS of *Inga* (Leguminosae-tree) and the adults have been obtained in laboratory ex larvae. I am unable to find the corresponding material now (may be in alcohol).”

Genus *Hecataeus* Jacoby, 1888


**Remarks**
This genus contains three described species, occurring in Panama and Brazil. See Fig. 46 for a habitus illustration. The inclusion in Metacyclini may not be correct (Nie et al. 2020).

Genus *Malacorhinus* Jacoby, 1887

*Malacorhinus* Jacoby, 1887: 582 (type species *Diabrotica foveipennis* Jacoby, 1879, by original designation).

**Remarks**
This genus occurs from the United States to Panama, as well as in South America (Bolivia and Venezuela). See Figs 61, 187, and 226 for illustrations. It contains 24 described species. Numerous undescribed species also belong here. The males of some species are immediately recognizable by the odd depression located laterally, near the mid-length of each elytron. In some species, there is an intricate structure within the depression, but this is missing in others. Unfortunately, some specimens cannot be identified by this depression, as it is entirely missing from the males of some species, as well as from the females of all species. In these instances, less conspicuous features must be employed for identification. Similar elytral depressions are present in other genera, but rather than being near mid-length, they are near the apicolateral angle or the apex.
Genus *Masurius* Jacoby, 1888


**Remarks**

Wilcox (1971) listed only one species for this genus from Panama. However, see the comments below, regarding the genus *Zepherina* Bechyné, 1958. See Figs 57 and 185 for illustrations of specimens possessing the characters *Masurius*, but differing in color from the type species.

Genus *Metacycla* Baly, 1861

*Metacycla* Baly, 1861: 206 (type species *Metacycla sallei* Baly, 1861, by original designation).

*Gastrogyna* LeConte, 1865: 210 (type species *Diabrotica insolita* LeConte, 1861, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus includes eight described species, plus several undescribed species. See Fig. 49 for a habitus illustration. They occur in Mexico and Guatemala (also doubtfully recorded from Peru). Whereas the larvae of most Metacyclini are unknown, those of *Metacycla* are clearly leaf-feeders (Andrews & Gilbert 2005). This suggests a closer relationship of Metacyclini with Galerucini (leaf-feeding larvae) than with Luperini (root-feeding larvae). It is noteworthy that, based on the morphology of *Metacycla*, Beenen (2013) advocated the synonymy of Metacyclini with Galerucini. Although *Cneorane nigripes* Allard, 1889 has most recently been classified in *Scelida* Chapuis, 1875, examination of the male holotype (Museum national d’histoire naturelle, Paris) reveals that this species properly belongs in the genus *Metacycla* as comb. nov.

Genus *Nyctiplanctus* Blake, 1963

*Nyctiplanctus* Blake, 1963: 15 (type species *Nyctiplanctus farri* Blake, 1963, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains eight described species, all from the West Indies. See Figs 47–48 for habitus illustrations.

Genus *Pysexor*a gen. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:6EA58217-4FF6-471D-BD61-01C244A63265

**Type species**

*Crioceris detrita* Fabricius, 1801, by present designation.

**Diagnosis**

All of the named species in this genus were most recently placed in *Pyesia* Clark, 1865, but they dramatically differ from true members of that genus. Among other things, the aedeagus of *Pysexor*a gen. nov. is symmetrical in dorsal view, while that of *Pyesia* is strongly asymmetrical. See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

**Etymology**

The name ‘*Pysexor*a’ is a combination of *Pyesia* and *Exora*. It should be treated as a female noun.
Remarks
This new genus occurs from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in the Lesser Antilles. It includes *P. detrita detrita* (Fabricius, 1801) [originally named in *Crioceris* Geoffroy, 1762], *P. detrita laevicollis* (Jacoby, 1887) [originally named in *Malacosoma* Chevrolat, 1837], *P. detrita meridionalis* (Bechyné, 1958) [originally named in *Pyesia*], *P. elytropleuralis elytropleuralis* (Bechyné, 1958) [originally named in *Pyesia*], and *P. elytropleuralis subalutacea* (Bechyné, 1958) [originally named in *Pyesia*], all comb. nov. The genus is in need of taxonomic revision. Our examinations show that there are numerous species, markedly differing from each other in aedeagal shape. Some of the differences we have seen may correspond to the named subspecies, and, if so, these should be elevated to species rank. Other aedeagal differences surely correspond to unnamed species. See Figs 63, 145, 148, 156, 189, and 196 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Bechyné (1958) for a key to distinguish some of the putative species and subspecies (cited as *Pyesia*).

Genus *Pyesia* Clark, 1865

*Pyesia* Clark, 1865: 260 (type species *Galeruca laticornis* Germar, 1823, by monotypy).

Remarks
After our transferal of two species to *Pyseoxora* gen. nov., *Pyesia* now contains 13 described species. See Fig. 64 for a habitus illustration. However, *Pyesia* continues to be a heterogeneous assemblage of species. The following key will enable some of them to be identified as this genus, but perhaps not all of them. Future taxonomic investigation will probably lead to additional species being removed from *Pyesia* and transferred to other genera (some likely to *Uaupesia* Bechyné, 1957).

Genus *Sonyadora* Bechyné, 1958


Remarks
This genus currently includes eleven described species, distributed in Central and South America. See Fig. 60 for a habitus illustration. However, they are rather heterogeneous. Possibly, the following key will not identify some of them as *Sonyadora*. Future investigation will probably necessitate the transferal of some species to other genera.

Genus *Trigonexora* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969


Remarks
This genus currently contains only four described species, but some of the species currently in *Exora* probably belong here. Numerous apparently undescribed species also belong in *Trigonexora*. The males of some species have a curious, slender appendage on the abdomen, but the males of other species do not. The genus occurs in South America. See Figs 62 and 200.

Genus *Uaupesia* Bechyné, 1957

Remarks
This genus contains eight described species, all from South America. See Figs 69 and 197.

Genus *Zepherina* Bechyné, 1958


Remarks
This genus is reported to occur in Central and South America. An undescribed species from the Bahamas may also belong here. However, the genus is composed of a heterogeneous mixture of species. Most notably, the aedeagi vary dramatically in form. The beetles are also very heterogeneous in their externally visible characters (hence, the numerous places the genus appears in the key). Future systematic study will surely result in the genus being subdivided into numerous smaller genera. The following key accounts for much of the heterogeneity, but may not allow for identification of all of the species currently in the genus. Moreover, minimal characters differentiate *Zepherina* from *Masurius*. Some of the species currently placed in *Zepherina* might more properly belong in *Masurius*. Together, the two genera currently contain approximately 60 named species. See Figs 52–55 and 155 for illustrations of *Zepherina*.

Tribe Luperini Gistel, 1848
Subtribe Diabroticina Chapuis, 1875
Section Diabroticites Chapuis, 1875

Genus *Acalymma* Barber, 1947

*Acalymma* Barber, 1947a: 154 (type species *Acalymma gouldi* Barber, 1947, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains about 80 described species. They are distributed from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies. See Figs 77–78 for habitus illustrations. See Bechyné (1958), Bechyné & Bechyné (1968), Munroe & Smith (1980), and Cabrera (1999) for keys to many of the species. Some of the smaller beetles are very similar to some of the small *Isotes*, and their generic placements warrant reevaluation.

Genus *Amphelasma* Barber, 1947

*Amphelasma* Barber, 1947a: 158 (type species *Galeruca cava* Say, 1835, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus currently contains only eleven described species, distributed from the United States to northern South America. However, some species currently placed in other genera may properly belong here. On the other hand, *A. nigrolineata* (Jacoby, 1878), a species from Mexico and Central America, might more properly belong in *Diabrotica* Chevrolat, 1836. See Figs 73 and 181 for illustrations of *Amphelasma*.

Genus *Anisobrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

*Anisobrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969: 30 (type species *Diabrotica donckieri* Baly, 1889, by original designation).
Remarks
This genus includes five described species. They occur from Brazil to Argentina. See Figs 74 and 191.

Genus *Aristobrotica* Bechyné, 1956

*Aristobrotica* Bechyné, 1956a: 285 (type species *Galeruca decemguttata* Olivier, 1808, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains 17 described species (Moura 2011). They occur in Panama and much of South America. See Figs 91 and 205.

Genus *Buckibrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

*Buckibrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969: 29 (type species *Diabrotica cinctipennis* Baly, 1886, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains only one described species, *B. cinctipennis* (Baly, 1886) from South America. See Fig. 83 for a habitus illustration.

Genus *Cochabamba* Bechyné, 1955

*Cochabamba* Bechyné, 1955b: 6 (type species *Diabrotica marginata* Harold, 1875, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains about ten described species, all from South America. See Figs 66, 182, 190, and 230.

Genus *Cornubrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

*Cornubrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969: 29 (type species *Diabrotica dilaticornis* Baly, 1879, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains only two described species from Venezuela, Brazil and French Guiana. See Figs 81 and 209.

Genus *Diabrotica* Chevrolat in Dejean 1836

*Diabrotica* Chevrolat in Dejean 1836: 380 (type species *Crioceris fucata* Fabricius, 1787, by subsequent designation of Barber 1947a).

Remarks
This is a very large genus, with nearly 400 described species from the New World and includes some of the most agriculturally damaging pests on Earth. Species from North and Central America have recently been treated by Derunkov et al. (2020). However, although there are studies of local faunas and of certain species groups, there is no modern, comprehensive treatment for the species from South America. See Fig. 67 for a habitus illustration.
Genus *Ensiforma* Jacoby, 1876

*Ensiforma* Jacoby, 1876: 817 (type species *Ensiforma caerulea* Jacoby, 1876, by original designation).

**Remarks**

*Ensiforma* occurs in much of South America. See Fig. 79 for a habitus illustration. The genus currently contains just nine described species. However, numerous other species belong here, but they are currently undescribed or perhaps misplaced in genera such as *Isotes*.

Genus *Gynandrobrotica* Bechyné, 1955

*Gynandrobrotica* Bechyné, 1955a: 9 (type species *Diabrotica xanthoptera* Baly, 1886, by original designation).

**Remarks**

Wilcox (1972) listed 32 species for this genus. They occur from Mexico through much of South America. However, the genus apparently does not form a monophyletic clade, some species being nested within Diabroticites and others within Cerotomites Chapuis, 1875 (Gillespie et al. 2008). See Figs 82 and 158–160.

Genus *Isotes* Weise, 1922


*Synbrotica* Bechyné, 1956a: 243 (type species *Diabrotica borrei* Baly, 1889, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This is a large genus, containing about 200 described species, occurring in Mexico, Central America, South America, and the West Indies. See Figs 84–88 for habitus illustrations. The included species are rather heterogeneous, and the relationships to various other genera, such as *Acalymma* and *Ensiforma*, are currently unclear. Future investigation will probably result in *Isotes* being subdivided into numerous smaller genera.

Genus *Microbrotica* Jacoby, 1887

*Microbrotica* Jacoby, 1887: 569 (type species *Microbrotica subglabrata* Jacoby, 1887, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

Smith & Lawrence (1967) “tentatively” assigned this genus to the tribe Metacyclini. However, we find very little similarity with other metacylines. We here transfer the genus to the section Diabroticites (Luperini: Diabroticina), new taxonomic placement. This genus contains a single described species, *M. subglabrata*, which occurs in Panama (Fig. 72).

Genus *Palmaria* Bechyné, 1956


**Remarks**

This genus contains a single species, *P. tibialis* from Bolivia and Peru.
Genus *Paranapiacaba* Bechyné, 1958

*Paranapiacaba* Bechyné, 1958: 562 (type species *Diabrotica decemverrucata* Gahan, 1891, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains 58 described species. They occur from the United States through much of South America, and in West Indies. See Figs 70–71 for habitus illustrations.

Genus *Paratriarius* Schaeffer, 1906


**Remarks**

Wilcox (1972) listed 51 species for this genus. They occur in North, Central, and South America. See Figs 75–76, and 227.

Genus *Platybrotica* Cabrera & Walsh, 2004


**Remarks**

This genus contains a single species, *P. misionensis*. Externally, it is very similar to *Diabrotica*, except the male antennae are enlarged and modified. The species occurs in Argentina.

Genus *Prathapanius* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020a

*Prathapanius* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020a: 113 (type species *Prathapanius fortis* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains a single described species, *P. fortis* from Ecuador. See Figs 90, 180, and 207.

Genus *Pseudodiabrotica* Jacoby, 1892

*Pseudodiabrotica* Jacoby, 1892: 334 (type species *Pseudodiabrotica metallica* Jacoby, 1892, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus contains a single species, *P. metallica* (Figs 80, 228) from Mexico.

Genus *Zischkaita* Bechyné, 1956


**Remarks**

This genus contains nine described species. They occur in Bolivia, Brazil, and Peru. See Fig. 68 for a habitus illustration.
Tribe Luperini Gistel, 1848
Subtribe Diabroticina Chapuis, 1875
Section Cerotomites Chapuis, 1875

Genus *Cerotoma* Chevrolat in Dejean 1836

*Cerotoma* Chevrolat in Dejean 1836: 379 (type species *Crioceris caminea* Fabricius, 1801, by subsequent designation of Chapuis 1875).

*Andrector* Horn, 1872: 152 (type species *Andrector sexpunctatus* Horn, 1872, by monotypy).

Remarks
This genus contains 16 described species. They occur from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies. See Figs 97, 165, 166, and 210.

Genus *Cyclotrypema* Blake, 1966

*Cyclotrypema* Blake, 1966b: 354 (type species *Galeruca furcata* Olivier, 1808, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains a single described species, *C. furcata* (Olivier, 1808) from Texas and Mexico. See Figs 102, 164, and 194.

Genus *Eccoptopsis* Blake, 1966


Remarks
This genus contains twelve described species. They occur from Mexico through much of South America. See Figs 101, 170, 171, and 211 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Blake (1966b) for a key to most of the species.

Genus *Eucerotoma* Laboissière, 1939

*Eucerotoma* Laboissière, 1939: 155 (type species *Cerotoma heterocera* Baly, 1866, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains 20 described species. They are all from South America. See Figs 99 and 167–169.

Genus *Hyperbrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1968

*Hyperbrotica* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1968: 26 (type species *Crioceris ebraea* Fabricius, 1787, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains a single species, *H. ebraea* (Fabricius, 1787), with two named subspecies. The distribution is in northern South America. The tarsal claws are bifid in males. However, the inner claw lobe on the hind leg is slightly broader than the inner lobe on the front and middle legs. Females have appendiculate tarsal claws. See Fig. 92 for a habitus illustration.
ViswaJyothi K. & Clark S.M., New world galerucine genera (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)

Genus *Hystiopsis* Blake, 1966

*Hystiopsis* Blake, 1966b: 324 (type species *Crioceris marginalis* Fabricius, 1801, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains 19 described species. They occur throughout much of South America. Most of them were treated in a key by Blake (1966b). See Fig. 93 for a habitus illustration.

Genus *Interbrotica* Bechyně & Bechyně, 1965


**Remarks**

This genus contains a single described species, *I. desiderata* from northeastern Brazil.

Genus *Metrobrotica* Bechyně, 1958


**Remarks**

This genus contains a single described species, *M. geometrica* (Erichson, 1847) from Bolivia, Ecuador, and Peru. See Figs 94, 162, 163, 193, and 212.

Genus *Neobrotica* Jacoby, 1887

*Neobrotica* Jacoby, 1887: 571 (type species *Neobrotica variabilis* Jacoby, 1887, by subsequent designation of Weise 1924).

**Remarks**

This genus contains 64 described species. They occur from the southern United States through much of South America. See Fig. 96 for a habitus illustration. See Blake (1966b) for keys to the species.

Genus *Potamobrotica* Blake, 1966


**Remarks**

This genus contains three described species. They occur in Brazil and Venezuela. See Fig. 98 for a habitus illustration.

The Palearctic species *Sermylassa halensis* (Linnaeus, 1767), belonging to the tribe Hylaspini Chapuis, 1875, has been reported from several localities in North America, but these reports are extremely doubtful (Wilcox 1965). Since *Sermylassa* Reitter, 1913 probably does not occur on the American continents, we have excluded this genus from the following key. However, if specimens were to be discovered, they would probably be keyed to couplet 107, although the inner lobes of the tarsal claws are more pointed than in many other genera with appendiculate claws. The uniformly metallic green elytra of *Sermylassa* easily distinguish this genus from the two genera diagnosed in couplet 107, *Potamobrotica* and *Coronabrotica* Moura, 2010.
Genus *Rachicephala* Blake, 1966

*Rachicephala* Blake, 1966b: 353 (type species *Neobrotica vittatipennis* Jacoby, 1887, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains a single described species, *R. vittatipennis* (Jacoby, 1887) from Mexico. See Fig. 95 for a habitus illustration.

Tribe Luperini Gistel, 1848  
Subtribe Diabroticina Chapuis, 1875  
Section Phyllecthrites Horn, 1892

Genus *Coronabrotica* Moura, 2010


**Remarks**

This genus includes a single species, *C. amazonensis* (Figs 114, 178–179) from Brazil. For comments about this genus, in conjunction with the Palearctic genus *Sermylassa* Reitter, 1913, see our explanation under *Potamobrotica*, section Cerotomites.

Genus *Deinocladus* Blake, 1966

*Deinocladus* Blake, 1966a: 259 (type species *Diabrotica pectinicornis* Baly, 1889, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains three described species. They occur in Costa Rica, Colombia, Peru, and Bolivia. See Figs 113, 217, and 231.

Genus *Ectmesopus* Blake, 1940

*Ectmesopus* Blake, 1940: 96 (type species *Ectmesopus darlingtoni* Blake, 1940, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains 16 described species. They are all from the Greater Antilles. See Fig. 104 for a habitus illustration. See Blake (1958) for a key that includes most of the described species. However, realize that four species have been named subsequent to that key (Blake 1959, 1966a; Zayas 1988).

Genus *Heterochele* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2021


**Remarks**

This genus contains two described species, occurring in Costa Rica and Panama. It is tentatively placed in the section Phyllecthrites, because the preapical, ventral portion of the male middle tibia is concave. However, the concavity is slight and not forming a deep notch as in most other genera of Phyllecthrites.
The setae along the lateral margin of the pronotum (easily abraded) are suggestive of a relationship with *Acalymma* (section Diabroticites). The deep incision at the apex of the male abdomen and the tarsal claws (bifid in males, appendiculate in females) are both remarkable, as well as somewhat confusing with regards to classification. See Fig. 89 for a habitus illustration.

**Genus Leptonesiotes** Blake, 1958

*Leptonesiotes* Blake, 1958: 75 (type species *Diabrotica cyanospila* Suffrian, 1867, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains three extant species, all from Cuba. See Figs 108 and 202 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. A fossil species is known from Dominican amber (Santiago-Blay *et al.* 1996).

**Genus Luperosoma** Jacoby, 1891

*Luperosoma* Jacoby, 1891: 87 (type species *Luperosoma marginata* Jacoby, 1891, by original designation).

*Deuterobrotica* Bechyné, 1958: 596 (type species *Diabrotica amplicornis* Baly, 1886, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus includes 13 described species, occurring from the southern United States through much of South America. See Fig. 111 for a habitus illustration. See Blake (1958) for a key that includes some, but not all, of the species. Females are hardly distinguishable from females of some species of *Trichobrotica* Bechyné, 1956.

**Genus Oroetes** Jacoby, 1888

*Oroetes* Jacoby, 1888: 600 (type species *Oroetes flavicollis* Jacoby, 1888, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus contains four described species. They occur in Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, and Bolivia. See Figs 105, 172, and 216 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Niño-Maldonado & Clark (2020b) for a key to species.

**Genus Parabrotica** Bechyné & Bechyné, 1961


**Remarks**

This genus contains three described species. They occur in northern South America. See Fig. 109 for a habitus illustration.

**Genus Phyllecthris** Dejean, 1836

*Phyllecthris* Dejean, 1836: 382 (type species *Galeruca dorsalis* Olivier, 1808, by monotypy).

*Myocera* Dejean, 1836: 382 (nomen nudum).
Remarks
This genus contains three described species, all from the eastern United States. Each antenna is composed of ten antennomeres in males and eleven antennomeres in females. See Fig. 100 for a habitus illustration. See Blake (1958) and Wilcox (1965) for keys to the species.

Genus *Platymorpha* Jacoby, 1888

*Platymorpha* Jacoby, 1888: 602 (type species *Platymorpha variegata* Jacoby, 1888, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus includes three described species. They occur in Mexico and Central America. See Figs 106, 176, and 177 for habitus illustrations and morphological details. The preapical notch of the male middle tibia, characteristic of the section Phyllecthrites, is very small or absent in some species of this genus. The following key enables correct identification, whether or not the notch is interpreted to be present. *Chthoneis smaragdipennis* Jacoby, 1888, formerly included in *Platymorpha*, is herein transferred to *Trachyelytron* gen. nov.

Genus *Porechontes* Blake, 1966


Remarks
This genus contains three described species. They occur in Panama, Peru, and Brazil. See Figs 103 and 173.

Genus *Romanita* Bechyné, 1957


Remarks
This genus contains five described species. They occur in Brazil and Colombia.

Genus *Simopsis* Blake, 1966

*Simopsis* Blake, 1966a: 253 (type species *Simopsis neobroticoides* Blake, 1966, by original designation).

Remarks
This genus contains just one described species, *S. neobroticoides* from Brazil.

Genus *Trachyelytron* gen. nov.


Type species
*Chthoneis smaragdipennis* Jacoby, 1888, by present designation.

Diagnosis
The single named species in this genus was formerly placed in *Platymorpha*, but the two genera have very little in common. Among other things, males of *Trachyelytron* gen. nov. lack a mesal spine or spine-
like tuft of setae on the clypeus, as well as enlarged foretibiae that are characteristic of *Platymorpha*. The coarse elytral punctation (Fig. 107) is also characteristic of the new genus. See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

**Etymology**
The name ‘*Trachyelytron*’ is Greek for ‘rough sheath’, and it refers to the coarsely punctate elytra.

**Remarks**
This genus is erected to accommodate a single described species, *T. smaragdipennis* (Jacoby, 1888) comb. nov., which occurs in Guatemala. Specimens we have seen from Nicaragua probably belong to the same species, although the elytra are metallic purple, rather than metallic green. See Fig. 107 for a habitus illustration.

**Genus Trichobrotica** Bechyné, 1956

*Trichobrotica* Bechyné, 1956b: 969 (type species *Diabrotica sexplagiata* Jacoby, 1878, by original designation).

*Iceloceras* Blake, 1958: 76 (type species *Diabrotica sexplagiata* Jacoby, 1878, by original designation).

**Remarks**
This genus includes 22 described species, occurring from Mexico through much of South America. See Fig. 110 for a habitus illustration. See Blake (1958) for a key that includes some, but not all, of the species (as *Iceloceras*). Also, realize that the genus should probably be split to form multiple genera. Blake (1966a) stated that the species with a relatively short third antennomere might eventually be removed from the genus. Additional variability involves the elytral punctures, which are exceptionally coarse in some species, while being extremely minute in others. The different genal lengths among the species are also noteworthy. Females of some species of *Trichobrotica* are hardly distinguishable from females of *Luperosoma*. A species described from Guatemala, *Luperus albomarginatus* Jacoby, 1888, has most recently been classified in the genus *Pseudoluperus* Beller & Hatch, 1932. However, our examination of the type specimen (British Museum of Natural History) reveals that it instead belongs in *Trichobrotica*. Hence, we propose a new combination, *Trichobrotica albomarginata* (Jacoby, 1888) comb. nov. This species is very similar to *T. nymphaea* (Jacoby, 1887) but differs in having a dark occiput. Perhaps, the two are synonyms, but this requires further study.

**Tribe Luperini** Gistel, 1848

**Subtribe Diabroticina** Chapuis, 1875

**Section Trachyscelidites** Wilcox, 1972

**Genus Trachyscelida** Horn, 1893

*Trachyscelida* Horn, 1893: 107 (type species *Agelastica bicolor* LeConte, 1884, by monotypy).


**Remarks**
This genus contains seven described species. They occur from the United States (Arizona) through much of South America. See Fig. 115 for a habitus illustration. See Bechyné (1958) for a key that includes most of the species. *Agelastica bicolor* LeConte, 1884, and *Racenisa bicolor* Bechyné, 1958, are both currently included in *Trachyscelida*. We here propose *Trachyscelida dichroma* nom. nov., as a replacement name for *R. bicolor* Bechyné, 1958.
The Palearctic species *Agelastica alni* (Linnaeus, 1758), belonging to the tribe Hylaspini Chapuis, 1875, has been reported from eastern Canada and the northeastern United States, but this species is not thought to be established in North America (Riley *et al.* 2003). Since *Agelastica* Chevrolat, 1836 probably does not occur on the American continents, we have excluded this genus from the following key. However, if specimens were to be discovered, they would key to couplet 134, along with *Trachyscelida*, which shares a similar, broadly ovate body form. The two genera are easily distinguished by the pronotal color, that of *Agelastica* being concolorous with the dark elytra, while that of *Trachyscelida* is pale, strongly contrasting with the dark elytra.

**Tribe Luperini Gistel, 1848**

**Subtribe Luperina Gistel, 1848**

**Section Scelidites Chapuis, 1875**

**Genus *Amplioluperus* gen. nov.**

*urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E1537450-57C4-4683-84EE-2F679D6242DD*

**Type species**

*Luperus maculicollis* LeConte, 1884, by present designation.

**Diagnosis**

In this genus, the antennae extend to near the middle of the elytra, the third antennomere is less than twice as long as the second, the base of the pronotum is margined by a fine bead, and tibial spurs are present on at least the hind legs. The aedeagus is symmetrical, and the aedeagal orifice lacks a sclerotized covering. Males lack the extraordinary modifications found in some other genera of Scelidites (greatly swollen antennomeres, large apicolateral fovea on the elytra, large apical extension to the metatibia, unusually enlarged tarsi on the middle or hind legs, abdominal appendages). See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

**Etymology**

The genus name *Amplioluperus*, refers to the large size of the type species, in comparison to beetles in related genera. It should be treated as a male noun.

**Remarks**

*Amplioluperus* gen. nov. includes three named species, all of which are here transferred from the genus *Pseudoluperus: Amplioluperus maculicollis* (LeConte, 1884) [originally named in *Luperus* Geoffroy, 1762] comb. nov., *A. cyanellus* (Horn, 1895) [originally named in *Scelolyperus* Crotch, 1874] comb. nov., and *A. histrio* (Horn, 1895) [originally named in *Luperodes* Motschulsky, 1858] comb. nov. Further investigation will likely prove that the pale form of “*Pseudoluperus cyanellus*” from Arizona is an undescribed species. True *A. cyanellus* is a darkly colored species occurring in the Baja California peninsula. This new genus is known only from the southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico (including the Baja California peninsula). See Fig. 120 for a habitus illustration.

It is noteworthy that *Scelolyperus cyanellus* Horn, 1895 (here transferred to *Amplioluperus* gen. nov.) is a homonym of *Luperus cyanellus* LeConte, 1865 (currently placed in *Scelolyperus*). However, no replacement name is needed (ICZN article 59.2).

**Genus *Androlyperus* Crotch, 1873**

*Androlyperus* Crotch, 1873: 55 (type species *Androlyperus fulvus* Crotch, 1873, by monotypy).

*Malacamerus* Wilcox, 1951: 93 (type species *Androlyperus maculatus* LeConte, by original designation).
Remarks

This genus includes six described species. They occur in the southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico. See Figs 128 and 232 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Clark (2001) for a key to species. In contrast to the male modification of some species of *Malacorhinus*, the male modification in *Androlyperus* is at the apicolateral angle of the elytron, rather than near the mid-length of the elytron.

Genus *Carpiradialis* Niño-Maldonado & Clark, 2020a


Remarks

This genus includes two described species, both from Mexico. See Figs 112 and 206 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. The diagnostic key to the genera of Scelidites by Clark (1998) emphasized the presence or absence of a bead along the posterior margin of the pronotum. However, this character varies in *Carpiradialis*, being very fine yet discernable in one species and missing in the other. Even so, we believe that the two species are closely related and should be classified in the same genus. See Niño-Maldonado & Clark (2020a) for a key to the species of *Carpiradialis*.

Genus *Cornuventer* gen. nov.

*Cornuventer* gen. nov.

Type species

*Luperodes tuberculatus* Blake, 1942, by present designation.

Diagnosis

In this genus, the anterior margin of the pronotum is fringed by a row of short setae, the basal margin of the pronotum is equipped with a fine bead, and the second abdominal ventrite of the male is equipped with two short horns (Fig. 201). See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

Etymology

The name ‘*Cornuventer*’ is Latin for ‘horn belly’, and it refers to the abdominal appendages of the male. It should be treated as a male noun.

Remarks

The single species included in this genus is *C. tuberculatus* (Blake, 1942) comb. nov. It was originally named in *Luperodes* and most recently placed in *Pseudoluperus* from California. See Fig. 122 for a habitus photograph.

Genus *Geethaluperus* gen. nov.

*Geethaluperus* gen. nov.

Type species

*Luperus flavofemoratus* Jacoby, 1888, by present designation.

Diagnosis

In this genus, the genal length is less than the width of the basal antennomere, the antennal fossae are separated from each other by a distance much less than the diameter of each fossa, the base of the
pronotum has a fine yet distinct bead, the tarsal claws are bluntly appendiculate, the rectangular lobe at the apex of the male abdomen is much less than half as long as wide, and the aedeagus is symmetrical and lacks a sclerotized covering to the orifice. However, the most remarkable character is the mesal appendage that extends posteriorly from the posterior margin of second abdominal sternite of the male. This appendage is single at the base but separates into two divergent lobes in the distal half.

**Etymology**
The name of the genus should be treated as a male noun, and it honors Geetha, the mother of the first author.

**Remarks**
Although male abdominal appendages are present in some other Scelidites (*Cornuventer tuberculatus* comb. nov., *Androlyperus fulvus*, some species of *Scelida*), the morphology is quite different. See Figs 117 and 174 for a habitus illustration and morphological details of *Geethaluperus* gen. nov. The single described species included in this genus is *G. flavofemoratus* (Jacoby, 1888) comb. nov. This species is pale brown, except for the antennae and legs. Most recently, it was included in *Pseudoluperus*, but it was quite out of place there. An undescribed species from Mexico is very similar in color and morphology (including the abdominal appendage), but the eyes are much smaller (in *G. flavofemoratus*, the width of the head across the eyes if fully twice as great as the interocular distance, and the genal length is less than the diameter of an ommatidium).

**Genus Inbioluperus**
Clark, 1993


**Remarks**
This genus contains two described species. They are both from Costa Rica. See Fig. 129 for a habitus illustration. See Clark (1993) for a key to the species.

**Genus Keitheatus**
Wilcox, 1965


**Remarks**
This genus contains only a single described species, *K. blakeae* (White, 1944), which occurs in Texas and Mexico. See Figs 125 and 203 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. *Luperodes histrio*, which was transferred to *Keitheatus* by Wilcox (1973) and later to *Pseudoluperus* by Andrews & Gilbert (2005), is here transferred to *Amplioluperus* gen. nov.

**Genus Lygistus**
Wilcox, 1965


**Remarks**
This genus contains a single described species, *L. streptophallus* (Fig. 131) from Arizona and nearby areas of Mexico.

**Genus Metacoryna**
Jacoby, 1888

Cyphotarsis Jacoby, 1892: 339 (type species Cyphotarsis niger Jacoby, 1892, by monotypy).

**Remarks**
This genus contains eight described species. Numerous undescribed species also belong here (Clark 1987b). They occur in Mexico and Central America. See Figs 126 and 214 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Clark (1987b) for a key to the species.

**Genus Mexiluperus** gen. nov.

**Type species**
*Luperus dissimilis* Jacoby, 1888, by present designation.

**Diagnosis**
In this genus, the distance between the antennal fossae equals less than twice the diameter of a fossa, the third antennomere is less than half as long as the second, the pronotum is equipped with a fine basal bead, the elytra lack a transverse impression at the basal third, the elytral punctuation is conspicuous, and the apical lobe of the male abdomen is less than half as long as broad. Males lack the extraordinary modifications found in some other genera of Scelidites (greatly swollen antennomeres, large apicolateral fovea on the elytra, large apical extension to the metatibia, unusually enlarged tarsi on the middle or hind legs, abdominal appendages). The aedeagus (which may be either symmetrical or asymmetrical) lacks a sclerotized covering to the orifice. See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

**Etymology**
The name of this new genus refers to the geographic distribution, which is principally in Mexico. It should be treated as a male noun.

**Remarks**
*Mexiluperus* gen. nov. includes two described species, both of which are here transferred from the genus *Pseudoluperus*: *M. dissimilis* (Jacoby, 1888) [originally named in *Luperus*] comb. nov., and *M. wickhami* (Horn, 1893) [originally named in *Luperodes*] comb. nov. See Clark (1987b) for a key to the species, including numerous undescribed species (as part of *Pseudoluperus*). See Fig. 119 for a habitus illustration. The genus occurs in Arizona and Mexico.

**Genus Microscelida** Clark, 1998


**Remarks**
This genus includes eleven described species, all from Mexico. See Clark (1998) for a key to species. See Fig. 133 for a habitus illustration.

**Genus Monoaster** gen. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2597C0FD-8DB4-431B-A90C-4121E00F1ADD

**Type species**
*Pseudoluperus fulgidus* Wilcox, 1965, by present designation.
Diagnosis
This genus is quite distinctive in the form of the supracallinal sulcus, that is, the sulcus delimiting the posterior edge of the antennal calli (= frontal tubercles). This sulcus extends obliquely from the meson to a point over the inner extreme of the antennal fossa. It then bends abruptly downward, at an angle of about 90º. It continues for a short distance and abruptly bends again. Finally, it extends laterally to the orbit. *Mexiluperus wickhami* (Horn, 1893), a species from Arizona, has a similar sulcus but differs in the more coarsely punctate elytra. See the following key for additional characters defining *Monoaster* gen. nov.

Etymology
The name ‘*Monoaster*’ is Greek for ‘single star’. The two included species are both from Texas, nicknamed The Lone Star State. The name should be treated as a male noun.

Remarks
This genus includes two species, both of which were originally named in the genus *Pseudoluperus*: *M. fulgidus* (Wilcox, 1965) comb. nov., and *M. linus* (Wilcox, 1965) et comb. nov. See Figs 118 and 121 for habitus illustrations. See Wilcox (1965) for a key that includes the two species (as part of *Pseudoluperus*). Edward G. Riley (personal communication) has on several occasions collected *M. fulgidus* from *Colubrina texensis* (Torr. & A. Gray) A. Gray (Rhamnaceae), and *M. linus* by beating *Cercocarpus montanus* Raf. (Rosaceae). In the case of *C. texensis*, the plants were in bloom, but the beetles were not clearly associated with the blossoms, and none of the beetles were found on nearby plant species, including some that were in bloom.

Genus *Pseudoluperus* Beller & Hatch, 1932

*Pseudoluperus* Beller & Hatch, 1932: 115 (type species *Pseudoluperus* Beller & Hatch, 1932, by monotypy).

Remarks
In the catalogue of Wilcox (1973), *Pseudoluperus* constituted a heterogeneous assemblage of all sorts of Scelidites (and even a species from another section). Subsequent to that catalogue, Andrews & Gilbert (2005) added one more species to the genus, transferring *Luperodes histrio* from the genus *Keitheatus*. Some of the species formerly included in *Pseudoluperus* have already been transferred to other genera [*P. subcostatus* (Jacoby, 1888), *P. subglabratus* (Jacoby, 1888), and *P. viridis* (Jacoby, 1892) to *Microscelida* by Clark (1998); *P. lecontii* (Crotch, 1873) to *Scelolyperus* by Clark (1996); *P. wallacei* Wilcox, 1965 to *Synetocephalus* Fall, 1910 by Riley et al. (2002b)]. In this publication, we transfer most of the remaining species to other genera: *Pseudoluperus cyanellus*, *P. histrio*, and *P. maculicollis* to *Amplioluperus* gen. nov., *P. tuberculatus* to *Cornuventer* gen. nov., *P. flavofemoratus* to *Geethaluperus* gen. nov., *P. dissimilis* and *P. wickhami* to *Mexiluperus* gen. nov., *P. fulgidus* and *P. linus* to *Monoaster* gen. nov., *P. spretus* (Horn, 1893) and *P. texanus* (Horn, 1893) to *Texiluperus* gen. nov., and *P. albomarginatus* Jacoby, 1888 to *Trichobrotica*. As a result of the aforementioned taxonomic changes, *Pseudoluperus* now contains only *P. bakeri* [originally named in *Pseudoluperus*], *P. decipiens* (Horn, 1893) [originally named in *Scelolyperus*], and *P. longulus* (LeConte, 1857) [originally named in *Luperus*]. However, *P. bakeri* was reduced to a synonym of *P. longulus* by Wilcox (1965). Here, we agree with this synonymy and also reduce *P. decipiens* to a junior synonym of *P. longulus* syn. nov. In some male specimens of this species, the more distal antennomeres are enlarged and distinctly flattened (or even concave) on one side. In other males (especially those from the more southern part of the range) and in all females, the antennae are slender and unmodified. This difference has been employed to distinguish the putative species. However, the male antennae of some specimens are intermediate.
We believe the difference to be clinal and not diagnostic of different species. We have examined the male holotype of *Luperus longulus* (Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University), the male holotype of *Scelolyperus decipiens* (Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University), and the male holotype of *P. bakeri* (National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC). In all three specimens, the antennae are at least moderately enlarged. Minor differences also exist in the aedeagi, but these are not correlated with other characters, and we interpret them as mere intraspecific variability. See Figs. 123 and 213 for illustrations of *Pseudoluperus*.

**Genus *Pteleon* Jacoby, 1888**

*Pteleon* Jacoby, 1888: 603 (type species *Pteleon semicaeruleus* Jacoby, 1888, by original designation), **new taxonomic placement** (in Scelidites).

**Remarks**

This genus was formerly classified in the section Exosomites Wilcox, 1973. However, Gillespie et al. (2008) provided evidence indicating that it is nested within Scelidites. We here formally make the taxonomic change. The genus contains three described species. They occur in the southwestern United States and in Mexico. See Fig. 137 for a habitus illustration.

**Genus *Scelida* Chapuis, 1875**

*Scelida* Chapuis, 1875: 184 (type species *Scelida elegans* Chapuis, 1875, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus contains eleven described species. Numerous undescribed species also belong here (Clark 1987b). They occur from the southwestern United States to Panama. Most of them have appendiculate tarsal claws, but one species, *S. metallica* Jacoby, 1888, has bifid claws. In some species, the males have curious appendages on the ventral side of the abdomen, but these are lacking in other species. See Clark (1987b) for a key to the species. See Fig. 127 for a habitus illustration. As noted in the preceding discussion of *Metacycla*, the species *Cneorane nigripes* does not belong in *Scelida*, although it has been classified in this genus. Additionally, in recent classifications, *Scelolyperus tenuimarginatus*Bowditch, 1925, has been included in *Scelida*. However, examination of the male holotype (Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University) reveals that this species properly belongs in *Mimastra* Baly, 1865 as a comb. nov. Furthermore, we believe the specimen matches *Mimastra semimarginata* Jacoby, 1886, a species occurring in Indonesia. Syntype photographs from the Genoa Museum support this (photographs shared by one of the reviewers). Thus, we synonymize these two names, syn. nov. The locality label “Brasil” for *S. tenuimarginatus* is probably in error.

**Genus *Scelidacne* Clark, 1998**


**Remarks**

This genus contains a single described species, *S. andrewi* (Fig. 135) from Mexico.

**Genus *Scelolyperus* Crotch, 1874**

*Scelolyperus* Crotch, 1874: 79 (type species *Scelolyperus tejonicus* Crotch, 1874, by monotypy).

*Eugalera* Brancsik, 1899: 103 (type species *Eugalera reitteri* Brancsik, 1899, by monotypy).

*Tuomuria* Chen & Jiang in Huang et al. 1985: 107 (type species *Tuomuria tibialis* Chen & Jiang, 1985, by original designation).
Remarks

This genus occurs from Canada to Mexico, as well as in the Palearctic Region. In the New World, there are 28 described species. See Figs 130, 149, and 175 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Clark (1996) for a key to most of the North American species. Only one New World species has been described subsequent to that publication (Gilbert & Andrews 1999). The Palearctic species were revised by Bezděk (2015).

Genus Synetocephalus Fall, 1910

Synetocephalus Fall, 1910: 146 (type species Synetocephalus autumnalis Fall, 1910, by monotypy).

Remarks

This genus contains eleven described species. They occur in the western United States and northwestern Mexico. See Fig. 116 for a habitus illustration. See Wilcox (1965) for a key that includes most of the described species. Only two species are missing from that key: S. wallacei (Wilcox, 1965) that was transferred from Pseudoluperus by Riley et al. 2002b), and a new species was described by Gilbert & Clark (2012).

Genus Texiluperus gen. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5EF4CB1E-8079-498D-A945-B92290EF2357

Type species

Luperodes spretus Horn, 1893, by present designation.

Diagnosis

The antennae of this genus are unusual among the Scelidites, the third antennomere being fully twice as long as the second. See the following key for additional diagnostic characters.

Etymology

The name ‘Texiluperus’ suggests that the genus occurs in Texas, which is the case for both described species. The name should be treated as a male noun.

Remarks

This genus includes two putative species, both of which were originally named in Luperodes and most recently placed in Pseudoluperus: T. spretus (Horn, 1893) comb. nov. and T. texanus (Horn, 1893) comb. nov. Future study might show that they are mere color forms of a single variable species. See Wilcox (1965) for a key that includes the two putative species (as part of Pseudoluperus).

Genus Triariodes Clark & Anderson, 2019

Triariodes Clark & Anderson, 2019: 344 (type species Malacosoma vittipenne Horn, 1893, by original designation).

Remarks

This genus includes three described species. They occur in the southern United States and Mexico. See Fig. 136 for a habitus illustration. See Clark & Anderson (2019) for a key to species.
Genus *Triarius* Jacoby, 1887

*Triarius* Jacoby, 1887: 571 (type species *Triarius mexicanus* Jacoby, 1887, by monotypy).

**Remarks**

This genus includes seven described species. They occur in the southern United States and in Mexico. Depending on the species, the tarsal claws are either bifid or appendiculate. See Figs 124 and 198 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Clark & Anderson (2019) for a key to the species.

Tribe Luperini Gistel, 1848
Subtribe Luperina Gistel, 1848
Section Phyllobroticites Chapuis, 1875

Genus *Phyllobrotica* Chevrolat in Dejean, 1836

*Phyllobrotica* Chevrolat in Dejean, 1836: 381 (type species *Chrysomela quadrimaculata* Linnaeus, 1758, by subsequent designation of Thomson 1859).


**Remarks**

This Holarctic genus includes 18 described species in the New World, all from Canada and the United States. See Figs 132, 134, 199, and 225 for a habitus illustration and morphological details. See Wilcox (1965) for a key that includes most of the Nearctic species. However, realize that three species have been named subsequent to that key (Hatch 1971; Riley 1979; Gilbert 2008), and an undescribed species was recognized in an unpublished thesis (Farrell 1985).

Tribe Luperini Gistel, 1848
Subtribe Luperina Gistel, 1848
Section Monoleptites Chapuis, 1875

**Remarks**

The taxonomic rank and relationships of this group have varied quite dramatically. The group is sometimes viewed as a subtribe within the tribe Luperini (e.g., Wilcox 1965), while other times it is treated as a section within the subtribe Luperina (e.g., Seeno & Wilcox 1982). However, in spite of the superficial similarity with the luperines, we concur with Nie et al. (2018) that the group is quite distinct. Perhaps, it should be treated as a separate tribe of Galerucinae. Even so, until greater consensus is achieved, we continue to list it as a section of Luperina.

Genus *Eusattodera* Schaeffer, 1906

*Eusattodera* Schaeffer, 1906: 244 (type species *Eusattodera pini* Schaeffer, 1906, by original designation).

**Remarks**

As currently constituted, this genus contains six described species. However, the New World representatives of the section Monoleptites are in desperate need of taxonomic revision. The differences among the genera are very poorly defined. At present, the generic placements of many of the species are probably incorrect. The confusion is perpetuated in the following key. With regards to *Eusattodera*, the type species (type locality in Arizona), as well as some of its close relatives, are relatively distinctive.
See Fig. 138 for a habitus illustration. However, other species currently in the genus probably belong elsewhere.

**Genus *Halinella* Bechyné, 1956a**

*Halinella* Bechyné, 1956a: 323 (type species *Halinella malachioides* Bechyné, 1956, by original designation).

**Remarks**

This genus contains nine described species, all from South America. Compared to other New World genera of Monoleptites, *Halinella* is rather distinctive, the beetles being more elongate and dorsoventrally flattened than many of those in other genera (Fig. 140).

**Genus *Lilophaea* Bechyné, 1958**


**Remarks**

Only 19 species are currently placed in this genus (Groll & Moura 2016). However, they hardly differ from many of those currently placed in other genera of Monoleptites reported to occur in the New World, especially from species in *Luperodes*, *Metrioidea* Fairmaire, 1882, and *Monolepta* Chevr. 1836. The generic placements seem to be almost random, except that all species currently in *Lilophaea* are from South America. Upon naming the genus, Bechyné (1958) probably intended that many other New World species should eventually be transferred to *Lilophaea*, including some from north of South America. See Fig. 143 for a habitus illustration.

**Genus *Luperodes* Motschulsky, 1858**

*Luperodes* Motschulsky, 1858: 102 (type species *Luperodes alboplagiatus* Motschulsky, 1858, by subsequent designation of Weise 1924).

**Remarks**

Wilcox (1973) listed 53 extant New World species for this genus, occurring from Mexico through the northern half of South America. He also listed one fossil species from Colorado. However, future taxonomic revision of the Monoleptites may eventually show that true *Luperodes* does not occur in the New World. Wagner & Bieneck (2012) studied the type species of *Luperodes* and made some notes on the genus. They seem to agree with the conclusion that the New World species are probably misplaced. The genus was originally named from Sri Lanka. The New World species currently included in the genus are hardly distinguishable from those currently in *Lilophaea*, *Metrioidea*, and *Monolepta*. See Fig. 141 for a habitus illustration of one of the New World species currently included in *Luperodes*.

**Genus *Metrioidea* Fairmaire, 1882**


**Remarks**

Wilcox (1973) listed 14 New World species for this genus, distributed from the United States through Peru. However, similar to the situation with *Luperodes*, future taxonomic revision may eventually show that true *Metrioidea* does not occur in the New World. The genus was originally named from Fiji. The
New World species currently included in the genus are hardly distinguishable from those currently in *Lilophaea*, *Luperodes*, and *Monolepta*. See Fig. 139 for a habitus illustration of one of the New World species currently placed in *Metrioidea*.

Genus *Monolepta* Chevrolat in Dejean, 1836

*Monolepta* Chevrolat in Dejean, 1836: 383 (type species *Crioceris bioculata* Fabricius, 1781, by subsequent designation of Chevrolat 1845).

*Damais* Jacoby, 1903: 118 (type species *Damais humeralis* Jacoby, 1903, by monotypy).

*Chimporia* Laboissière, 1931: 413 (type species *Chimporia monardi* Laboissière, 1931, by original designation).

*Aemulaphthona* Scherer, 1969: 89 (type species *Aphthona ochracea* Weise, 1922, by original designation).

**Remarks**

Wilcox (1973) listed 19 New World species for this genus, distributed from Mexico to Panama. However, similar to the situation with *Luperodes* and *Metrioidea*, future taxonomic study may eventually show that true *Monolepta* does not occur in the New World. The genus was originally named from Africa. Wagner (2007) re-described the type species of *Monolepta* and made some notes on the Afrotropical fauna. The New World species currently included in the genus are hardly distinguishable from those currently in *Lilophaea*, *Luperodes*, and *Metrioidea*. The genus *Monolepta* is sometimes reported to differ from the others by having closed anterior coxal cavities, and this character is employed in the key below. Even so, the character is not very useful for the New World fauna. Many of the current generic placements are not correlated with this character. Moreover, some species appear to have open cavities in some individuals and closed cavities in others. This variability may be true in some instances. However, in other instances the apparent difference may be a result of the flimsy nature of the very thin strip of sclerotized cuticle behind the coxae. Possibly, the strip is sometimes present, but withdrawn into the thorax, such that the coxal cavities appear to be open. See Fig. 142 for a habitus illustration of one of the New World species currently included in *Monolepta*.

**Key to the tribes, subtribes, and sections of New World Galerucinae Latreille, 1802**

(Modified from Riley et al. 2002a)

1. Hind femur usually adapted for jumping, broad, with internal extensor apodeme (spring); if hind femora slender, then pronotum comparatively broad, forming small horizontally flattened area between front coxae; pronotum variable, but often with transverse prebasal groove in basal fourth (this groove is not to be confused with fine sulcus delimiting basal bead); inner wall of epipleuron usually with two elytron-to-body binding patches .................................................. Tribe *Alticini* Newman, 1835
   - Hind femur not abnormally broad (except rarely), without sclerotized internal extensor apodeme (spring); front coxae contiguous, or narrowly separated by keel-like pronotum; pronotum sometimes shallowly impressed near base, but never with well-defined, transverse groove in basal fourth; inner wall of epipleuron with single elytron-to-body binding patch ........................................ 2

2. Median lobe of aedeagus with prominent basal spurs (Fig. 148); last ventrite of male abdomen without apical lobe; antennae usually inserted low on frons, beyond middle of eyes; larvae, where known, feeding on leaves ........................................................................................................ 3
   - Basal spurs of aedeagus small or absent (Fig. 149); male abdomen variable, sometimes with lobe at apex; antennae usually inserted higher, nearer middle of eyes; larvae, where known, feeding on roots. ...................................................................................................................... 4 (Tribe *Luperini* Gistel, 1848)
3. Posterior-most ventrite of male abdomen usually with median, apical, semicircular depression; abdominal apex sometimes emarginate behind impression; tarsal claws of most genera either simple (Fig. 144) or bifid with narrow, sharply pointed appendage (Fig. 146); anterior and posterior tibiae usually without terminal spurs; larvae feeding on leaves. ......................................................... Tribe Galerucini Latreille, 1802: Sections Apophyliites Chapuis, 1875, Atysites Chapuis, 1875, Coelomerites Chapuis, 1875, Galerucites Latreille, 1802, Schematizites Chapuis, 1875
   - Posterior-most ventrite of male abdomen without distinct impression, although sometimes flattened; tibiae usually with terminal spurs; tarsal claws of most genera appendiculate, with broad, blunt lobe (Fig. 145); larvae unknown for most genera, but feeding on leaves in at least one genus. ........................................................................................... Tribe Metacyclini Chapuis, 1875

4. Last ventrite of male abdomen with rectangular lobe (Figs 198–199) .................................................................................................................. 5 (Subtribe Luperina Gistel, 1848)
   - Last ventrite of male apically rounded or slightly truncate, without lobe .............................................................................................................. 7 (Subtribe Diabroticina Chapuis, 1875)

5. Elytral epipleura extremely narrow, indistinct. Section Phyllobroticites Chapuis, 1875
   - Elytral epipleura normal, comparatively broad at least basally .......................................................................................... 6

6. Tarsomere 1 of hind leg distinctly longer than 2 and 3 combined; apical lobe of last ventrite of male abdomen large, nearly square (as in Fig. 198); aedeagal orifice covered by sclerotized plate. ................................................................. Section Monoleptites Chapuis, 1875
   - Tarsomere 1 of hind leg usually shorter than 2 and 3 combined; apical lobe of male abdomen usually much wider than long (as in Fig. 199); aedeagal orifice variable, but usually without sclerotized covering. ................................................................. Section Scelidites Chapuis, 1875

7. Mesotibia of male with deep, inner, subapical notch (Fig. 201). Section Phyllethrites Horn, 1892
   - Mesotibia of male without subapical notch ................................................................................................................................. 8

8. Tarsal claws bifid, with narrow, sharply pointed inner lobe (as in Fig. 146). ................................................................................................. Section Diabroticites Chapuis, 1875
   - Tarsal claws appendiculate, with comparatively broad, blunt inner lobe (as in Fig. 145) ................................................................. 9

9. Elytra entirely dark, with distinct transverse impression near basal third. ................................................................................................. Section Trachyscelidites Wilcox, 1972
   - Elytra often colored otherwise, without transverse impression across basal third. ................................................................................................. Section Cerotomites Chapuis, 1875

**Key to the genera of adult Galerucinae Latreille, 1802 of the New World**

The following key does not deal with the genera of Alticini. See Scherer (1962, 1983) for keys that enable identification of most of those genera. It is not necessary to know the tribe etc. before using the following key to genera. As evidenced by the preceding key, galerucine classification is largely based on male features, especially those found on the aedeagus. The following key to genera partially reflects this, in that some of the couplets mention only male characteristics. Indeed, users will occasionally be frustrated in their attempts at identification, if only female specimens are available for examination. However, such instances are less frequent than in previously published keys. The principal objective of our key is to facilitate identification, rather than follow phylogeny. In an effort to enable identification of females, as well as males, we usually use characters that are present in either gender. Some of these characteristics are of very little value in classification. Accordingly, genera that key out close to each
other might be quite distantly related. Moreover, the superficial characters (such as color) may not always allow for proper generic placement of undescribed species.

1. Hind femora clearly enlarged, except rarely; extensor apodeme present inside hind femur; front coxae of most genera clearly separated from each other by posterior process of prosternum..........
   .................................................................................................................. 
   Tribe Alticini Newman, 1835 (not treated here)
   – Hind femora not abnormally enlarged (except in Leptonesiotes, an anomalous genus from Cuba, Fig. 202); extensor apodeme absent from inside hind femur; front coxae of most genera contiguous or very narrowly separated ........................................ 2

2. Epipleuron extremely narrow along entire length, narrower than width of second antennomere (Fig. 225)............................................................................................................. 3
   – Epipleuron wider, at least near base ........................................................................................................ 6

3. Tarsal claws appendiculate, with inner appendage broad and apically blunt (Fig. 145); male with terminal abdominal ventrite impressed, with rectangular lobe at apex (Fig. 199); habitus as in Figs 132, 134; distribution in Canada and United States...................... Phyllobrotica Chevrolat, 1836
   – Tarsal claws bifid, with inner appendage apically pointed (Figs 146–147); male with terminal abdominal ventrite semicircularly incised (Figs 195, 197), but without median rectangular lobe at apex.................................................................................................................................................... 4

4. Metasternum shorter than basal abdominal ventrite; both pronotum and elytra with well-developed tubercles (Fig. 21); distribution in Costa Rica......................... Apteroyinga Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020
   – Metasternum longer than basal abdominal ventrite; pronotum and elytra not both strongly tuberculate.......................................................... 5

5. Elytron with strongly developed carina, beginning at humerus and extending most of elytral length, simulating edge of extremely broad epipleuron (Figs 218–219); elytra with numerous long, erect, comparatively sparse setae, without short, dense, appressed setae (Figs 16–17); distribution in northern South America and perhaps Central America ......................... Socorroita Bechyné, 1956
   – Elytron without posthumeral carina; elytra densely covered in very short, dense, appressed setae, in addition to longer, sparser, erect setae; habitus as in Fig. 11; distribution in Panama.......................................................... Neophaestus Hincks, 1949

6. Elytra with dense, short, subappressed setae, in most species covering entire disc, in some species evident only in basolateral area; in some species, elytral surface obscured by dense setae; basal spurs of aedeagus well developed in most species (Fig. 148).......................................................................................... 7
   – Elytral setae, when present, rather sparse, long, and erect; elytral surface clearly visible, even when sparsely pubescent; basal spurs of aedeagus either present (Fig. 148) or absent (Fig. 149)............. 47

7. Tarsal claws appendiculate; inner appendage of claw broad and apically blunt ...................... 8
   – Tarsal claws bifid or simple; inner appendage of claw, when present, narrow, with apex sharply pointed .................................................................................................................................................. 9

8. Body larger than 10 mm long; male with distal five antennomeres enlarged, wider than preceding antennomeres; habitus as in Fig. 3; distribution in Brazil...................... Austrochorina Bechyné, 1963
   – Body less than 10 mm long; male antennae filiform (Figs 26–27); distribution from Mexico through much of South America ................................................. Itaitubana Bechyné, 1963 [in part; see couplet 25]

9. Tarsal claws simple, without inner appendage (Fig. 144).......................................................... 10
   – Tarsal claws bifid, with sharply pointed inner appendage (Figs 146–147) ..................................... 13
10. Antennomeres 6–10 more elongate than described below; each elytron dark with pale, transverse or oblique band across middle; habitus as in Fig. 8; distribution in Brazil. **Chorina** Baly, 1866
   - Antennomeres 6–10 short, each only slightly longer than broad; elytral color pattern not as above..........................11

11. Pronotal punctures much smaller than those of elytra; body more than 10 mm long; gender either male or female; habitus as in Fig. 9; distribution in Peru and French Guiana. **Syphaxia** Baly, 1866
   - Pronotal punctures as large as, or much larger than, those of elytra; body less than 10 mm long; gender female (males with bifid claws)..........................12

12. Pronotal punctures much larger than those of elytra; body length usually larger than 6.0 mm; habitus as in Fig. 34; distribution from Canada to Argentina ..............................................................................................................Erynephala** Blake, 1936 [in part; see couplets 12, 47]
   - Pronotal punctures similar in size to those of elytra; body length usually less than 6.0 mm; habitus as in Fig. 35; distribution from Canada to Guatemala ..............................................................................Monoxia** LeConte, 1865 [in part; see couplet 41]

13. Pronotal punctures much larger than those of elytra ..........................................................14
   - Pronotal punctures not larger than those of elytra, or only slightly larger ..........................16

14. Eyes separated from each other by distance greater than length of basal antennomere; pronotum pale, with two dark spots; elytra dark, with sutural, median, and lateral pale vittae; habitus as in Fig. 45; distribution in Hispaniola ........................................................... **Gonaives** Clark, 1987
   - Eyes separated from each other by distance less than length of basal antennomere; color not as above ........................................15

15. Basal margin of pronotum gently curved from meson to posterolateral pronotal angle; posterolateral angle only slightly more anterior than most posterior part of prothorax; males only (females with simple tarsal claws); aedeagus extraordinarily long, C-shaped, forming complete semicircle in lateral view; habitus as in Fig. 34; distribution from Canada to Argentina ..............................................................................................................Erynephala** Blake, 1936 [in part; see couplets 12, 47]
   - Basal margin of pronotum very strongly bisinuate from meson to posterolateral pronotal angle; posterolateral angle positioned far anterior to most posterior part of pronotum (Fig. 188); gender either male or female; aedeagus not as above; habitus as in Fig. 40; distribution from Arizona to Costa Rica ..........................................................Ophraea** Jacoby, 1886 [in part; see couplet 27]

16. Elytropleuron (lateral area of elytron, just before epipleural ridge) distinctly swollen, in some species coalescing with epipleural ridge and together forming broad, rounded, single costa (Fig. 223) .... 17
   - Elytropleuron concave, not or only vaguely swollen; epipleural ridge narrow, normally acutely carinate, although less commonly forming narrowly rounded costa ..............................................27

17. Antennomeres 3–7 compressed or dilated; body depressed; habitus as in Fig. 28; distribution from Mexico through much of South America. **Schematiza** Chevrolat, 1836
   - Antennae filiform ..................................................................................................................18

18. In many species, elytral pubescence directed in various directions, forming mottled pattern; if elytral pubescence otherwise, then elytra tuberculate, in addition to standard humeral and basal callosities .............................................................................................................19
   - Elytral pubescence not swirling in various directions; elytra not unusually tuberculate ..........20
19. Proximal male tarsomere of front leg with small ventral tubercle at base (visible only when tarsus bent dorsally, Fig. 204); body of single included species 5–6 mm long (but undescribed or misplaced species may be smaller); habitus as in Fig. 32; distribution from Texas to Guatemala

- Male without tubercle at base of proximal tarsomere; body of most species smaller than 5 mm; habitus as in Figs 29–30; distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in West Indies

*Brucita* Wilcox, 1965

20. Seventh antennomere with tuberculate protuberance on distal edge (Fig. 215), distinct in males, obsolete in some females; pronotum at least twice as wide as long

- Seventh antennomere without apical tubercle; pronotum in many (but not all) species less than twice as wide as long

*Yingaresca* Bechyné, 1956 [in part; see couplet 60]

21. Elytra green with yellow lateral margins; discal elytral costae absent; habitus as in Fig. 43; distribution in South America

- Elytra dark, with pale, slightly elevated, discal vittae

*Chlorolochmaea* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

22. Each elytron dark with suture, lateral margin, and two discal vittae pale; habitus as in Fig. 41; distribution in Florida, West Indies, Central America, and South America

- Each elytron dark with suture, lateral margin, and three discal vittae pale (Fig. 224); habitus as in Fig. 42; distribution in Argentina, Brazil, and Paraguay

*Neolochmaea* Laboissière, 1939

23. Pronotum and elytra uniformly reddish; habitus as in Fig. 22; distribution in Canada and United States

- Color usually otherwise; distribution in Latin America

*Tricholochmaea* Laboissière, 1932 [in part; see couplet 46]

24. Pronotum entirely pale, or dark with pale lateral margins; elytra either entirely dark metallic blue or violet, or dark red with narrow black lateral margin; body 7.5–9.0 mm long; distribution in Colombia and Peru

- Color otherwise

*Narichona* Kirsch, 1883

25. Third antennomere distinctly longer than fourth; elytra pale, often with green and yellow vittae (Fig. 222); habitus as in Figs 26–27; distribution from Mexico through much of South America

- Third antennomere usually shorter than fourth; if third antennomere longer than fourth, then elytra entirely dark

*Ictitubana* Bechyné, 1963 [in part; see couplet 8]

26. Body at least twice as long as broad, usually dorsoventrally flattened; habitus as in Fig. 31; distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in Lesser Antilles

- Body less than twice as long as broad, oval, with dorsum usually distinctly convex, not flattened; habitus as in Fig. 6; distribution from Mexico through much of South America

*Metrogaleruca* Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

27. Basal margin of pronotum very strongly bisinuate from meson to posterolateral pronotal angle (Fig. 188); posterolateral angle positioned far anterior to most posterior part of pronotum; elytra uniformly dark; habitus as in Fig. 40; distribution from Arizona to Costa Rica

- Basal margin of pronotum usually not strongly bisinuate as described above; if basal margin of pronotum strongly bisinuate, then elytra partly or entirely pale

*Ophraea* Jacoby, 1886 [in part; see couplet 15]
28. Third and fourth antennomeres nearly equal in length; each elytron yellow, with long, broad, sublateral, black vitta extending from base, over humerus, to shortly before elytral apex; most specimens also with short, black, basal vitta positioned midway between scutellum and sublateral vitta; yellow areas of elytra with greenish tint in some specimens; habitus as in Fig. 24; Palearctic species, adventive in North and South America. 

N. Xanthogaleruca Laboissière, 1934

29. Third antennomere shorter than fourth

29. Third antennomere longer than fourth, in some species only slightly so

30. Pronotum short and broad, at least 2.5 times as wide as long

30. Pronotum less than 2.5 times as wide as long

31. Depression on each side of pronotum large, extending to anterolateral and posterolateral corners of pronotum (Fig. 192); due to large depressions, pronotum broadly explanate laterally; habitus as in Fig. 14; distribution from Guatemala to Panama. 

N. Megarhabda gen. nov.

31. Lateral depressions of pronotum smaller, not extending to anterolateral and posterolateral corners; pronotum more evenly convex; habitus as in Fig. 12; distribution from Canada to Mexico. 

N. Derospidea Blake, 1931

32. Elytral surface rough, but punctation usually not visible without magnification; elytra vittate in many species, but varying from entirely pale to entirely dark; aedeagus with dorsal, thinly chitinized groove extending medially for most of aedeagal length; body 4–12 mm long; habitus as in Fig. 15; distribution from Canada to Guatemala. 

N. Trirhabda LeConte, 1865 [in part; see couplet 58]

32. Elytral punctation of some species coarser, noticeable without magnification; elytra not vittate; aedeagus lacking dorsal groove as described above; body 7–14 mm long; habitus as in Fig. 19; distribution in Mexico and Guatemala (doubtfully reported from Brazil). 

N. Nestinus Clark, 1865

33. Both male and female with antennomeres 7–11 strongly broadened and flattened, with each antennomere shorter than wide (Fig. 208); elytra with callosities near mid-length (Fig. 229); habitus as in Fig. 33; distribution in South America. 

N. Platynocera Blanchard, 1842

33. Antennomeres 7–10, whether or not short, not strongly broadened and flattened in either sex; elytra without callosities near mid-length

34. Body length 10.0 mm or more

34. Body length 8.0 mm or less

35. Third antennomere equal to or longer than fourth to sixth antennomeres combined; fifth to ninth antennomeres short, each not more than twice as long as wide; habitus as in Fig. 7; distribution from Guatemala through much of South America. 

N. Coelomera Chevrolat, 1836

35. Third antennomere shorter than fourth to sixth antennomeres combined; fifth to ninth antennomeres more elongate

36. Pronotum distinctly broader in distal half than in basal half, with lateral margins strongly sinuate (Fig. 186); third antennomere distinctly longer than fourth antennomere, more than three times as long as second antennomere; habitus as in Fig. 10; distribution in South America. 

N. Dircema Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 51]

36. Pronotum not distinctly broader in distal half, without strongly sinuate lateral margins; third antennomere as above or not
37. Epipleuron more than twice as wide as second antennomere length, wider than apical portion of foretibia; elytra broadly explanate in dorsal view; habitus as in Fig. 2; distribution in Central America and northwestern South America. \textit{Platycesta} Viswajyothi & Clark, 2021
- Epipleuron not more than two times as wide as second antennomere length, not distinctly wider than apical portion of foretibia; elytra narrowly explanate in dorsal view. \textit{Monocesta} Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 54]

38. Pronotum at least twice as wide as long; lateral carina of pronotum well developed, narrowly explanate; habitus as in Fig. 1; distribution from United States through much of South America, and in West Indies. \textit{Diorhabda} Weise, 1883
- Pronotum less than twice as wide as long; lateral pronotal carina weakly developed, especially anteriorly; habitus as in Figs 4–5; distribution from Texas to Guatemala. \textit{Coralia} Clark, 1865

39. Elytral pubescence nearly absent, but usually noticeable laterally; weak carina present behind humerus, extending most of elytral length (Fig. 220); habitus as in Fig. 20; Palearctic species, introduced to North America (United States and Mexico). \textit{Diorhabda} Weise, 1883
- Elytral pubescence dense in most species; if elytral pubescence nearly absent, then elytra not carinate. \textit{Monocesta} Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 54]

40. Elytra pale brown, in most species with darker speckles, which often coalesce to form irregular blotches; elytral vittae, if present, usually short and irregular; antennae short, not or barely reaching beyond base of elytra; abdomen of male with deflexed pygidium in most cases. \textit{Yingabruxia} gen. nov.
- Elytral coloration varying from entirely pale to entirely black; dark elytral markings not forming speckles or irregular blotches, sometimes forming long, regular vittae; antennae usually longer, distinctly extending beyond humeri; abdomen without deflexed pygidium. \textit{Galerucella} Crotch, 1873 (subgenus \textit{Galerucella} Crotch)

41. Lateral third of pronotum almost entirely occupied by large depression; prothorax usually more than twice as wide as long; gender either male or female; habitus as in Fig. 36; distribution from Canada to Mexico. \textit{Galerucella} Crotch, 1873 (subgenus \textit{Neogalerucella} Chûjô)
- Lateral third of pronotum partially occupied by convex elevation; pronotum usually not distinctly more than twice as wide as long; gender usually male (female claws simple, except in one anomalous species with bifid claws); habitus as in Fig. 35; distribution from Canada to Guatemala. \textit{Yingabruxia} gen. nov.

42. Front coxae narrowly but distinctly separated from each other by posterior extension of prosternum; middle coxae separated from each other by distance subequal to half coxal width (Fig. 153); pronotum polished and nearly impunctate, except in depressions; all tibiae lacking apical spurs in both male and female; habitus as in Fig. 25; distribution in Canada and United States. \textit{Ophraella} Wilcox, 1965 [in part; see couplets 46, 55, 66]
- Front coxae not separated by prosternum; middle coxae closely approximate but rarely in actual contact (Fig. 154); male with broad, often curved, apical spur on middle tibia. \textit{Galerucella} Crotch, 1873 (subgenus \textit{Neogalerucella} Chûjô)

43. Elytra with distinct, dark vittae (Figs 37–38); distribution from Canada to Mexico. \textit{Ophraella} Wilcox, 1965 [in part; see couplets 46, 55, 66]
- Elytra not distinctly vittate. \textit{Galerucella} Crotch, 1873 (subgenus \textit{Neogalerucella} Chûjô)
45. Elytral punctures relatively fine, similar in size to those of abdomen; pronotum pale with three dark markings, one mesal and one at each side lateral to depressed area; habitus as in Fig. 23; Palearctic species, adventive in Canada and United States..............................Pyrrhalta Joannis, 1865
- Elytral punctures much larger than those of abdomen; dark pronotal markings sometimes present on sublateral tubercles or in sublateral depressions.......................... 46

46. Median lobe of aedeagus symmetrical; aedeagal orifice located very near aedeagal apex, small, without weakly sclerotized area above it; body oval, usually (but not always) strongly convex; hosts Asteraceae; habitus as in Fig. 39; distribution from Canada to Mexico.................................................................Ophraella Wilcox, 1965 [in part; see couplets 43, 55, 66]
- Median lobe of aedeagus strongly asymmetrical, with apex curved to one side; aedeagal orifice large, with weakly sclerotized area above it; body usually more oblange, not as convex; hosts other than Asteraceae; habitus as in Fig. 22; distribution in Canada and United States.................................Tricholochmaea Laboissière, 1932 [in part; see couplet 23]

47. Pronotal punctation very coarse, with punctures distinctly larger than those of elytra; upon close examination, elytra with numerous, short, appressed setae (easily rubbed off in some specimens); aedeagus extraordinary long, C-shaped, forming complete semicircle in lateral view; tarsal claws bifid in male, simple in female; procoxal cavities open behind; habitus as in Fig. 34; distribution from Canada to Argentina..............................Erynephala Blake, 1936 [in part; see couplets 12, 15]
- Pronotal punctation coarse to fine; if coarse, then tarsal claws appendiculate or procoxal cavities closed behind; aedeagus not exceptionally long and C-shaped.......................... 48

48. Tarsal claws bifid; inner appendage of claw sharply pointed at apex.............................................. 49
- Tarsal claws appendiculate; inner appendage of claw broad and apically blunt............................... 95

49. Elytra short, leaving much of abdomen uncovered; portion of mesosternum anterior to mesocoxa shorter than anterior to posterior length of mesocoxa; mesosternum with anteromesal tubercle; habitus as in Fig. 50; distribution in Ecuador and Peru....................................................Metalepta Baly, 1861
- Elytra and mesosternum not both as above ..................................................................................50

50. Procoxal cavities closed behind (Fig. 150); elytral disc usually with several longitudinal costae; habitus as in Fig. 44; distribution in Canada and United States.........................Galeruca Geoffroy, 1762
- Procoxal cavities open behind (Figs 151–152); elytral disc either with or without costae .............. 51

51. Pronotum distinctly broader in distal half than in basal half, with lateral margins strongly sinuate (Fig. 186); third antennomere distinctly longer than fourth antennomere, more than three times as long as second antennomere; habitus as in Fig. 10; distribution in South America..............................................................................Dircena Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 36]
- If pronotum distinctly broader in distal half than in basal half, then antennae not as above.......... 52

52. Entire anterior pronotal margin with well-formed fringe of short, densely spaced setae................. 53
- Setal fringe absent from anterior pronotal margin, or noticeable only laterally........................... 59

53. Elytra with numerous erect setae arranged in rows (Figs 77–78); distribution from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies.................................................................Acalymma Barber, 1947 [in part; see couplet 94]
- Elytral setae either absent or short and appressed........................................................................ 54

54. Extensor margin of tibiae deeply channeled, with distinct carina between margins of channel, therefore tricarinate; habitus as in Fig. 1; distribution from United States through much of South America, and in West Indies.................................Monocesia Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 38]
- Tibiae not deeply grooved and tricarinate................................................................................. 55
55. Third antennomere longer than fourth; habitus as in Figs 37–39; distribution from Canada to Mexico. *Ophraella* Wilcox, 1965 [in part; see couplets 43, 46, 66]
   - Third antennomere shorter than fourth. .......................... 56

56. Tibiae with easily visible apical spurs; habitus as in Fig. 124; distribution in United States and Mexico. *Triarius* Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplet 140]
   - Tibial spurs either absent or tiny and hidden among nearby setae. .......................... 57

57. Third antennomere not more than 1.5 times as long as second antennomere; habitus as in Fig. 67; distribution from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies. .............................................................................. *Diabrotica* Chevrolat, 1836 [in part; see couplets 78, 80, 81, 82]
   - Third antennomere more than 1.5 times as long as second antennomere. .......................... 58

58. Both anterior and posterior margins of pronotum with fine beak; elytra, upon close inspection, with numerous appressed setae; apex of male abdomen arcuately incised; habitus as in Fig. 15; distribution from Canada to Guatemala. *Sclida* Chapuis, 1875 [in part; see couplets 32, 33]
   - Both anterior and posterior margins of pronotum lacking marginal beak; elytra with a few erect setae, especially towards apex, but without appressed setae; apex of male abdomen with rectangular lobe; habitus as in Fig. 127; distribution from United States to Panama. ......................................................................................... 59

59. Elytra strongly tuberculate; pronotum and elytra coarsely punctate. ........................................ 60
   - If elytra strongly tuberculate, then pronotum and elytra not both coarsely punctate. .......... 61

60. Body less than twice as long as broad (Figs 29–30); distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in West Indies. *Yingaresca* Bechyné, 1956 [in part; see couplet 19]
   - Body more than twice as long as broad; habitus as in Fig. 13; distribution in Cuba and Hispaniola. .................................................................................................................. 61

61. Both male and female with third, fourth, and fifth antennomeres elongate, subequal in length, each distinctly longer than sixth antennomere (1.5 or more times longer); mesotibia in many males with emargination on flexor margin, modified into clasping organ (Fig. 205); mesofemur of some species expanded apically; habitus as in Fig. 91; distribution in Panama and northern South America. .................................................................................. *Aristobrotica* Bechyné, 1956
   - Third, fourth, and fifth antennomeres not all significantly elongate in comparison to sixth antennomere; in most genera, preapical emargination of male mesotibiae absent; if male with preapical tibial emargination, then tibia not modified to form clasping organ. ................................................................. 62

62. Genal distance (distance from eye margin to external edge of mouth, before base of mandible) large, equal to at least half maximum diameter of eye (Fig. 180); eye small, with maximum diameter not more than three-fourths interocular distance, usually two-thirds or less. .......................................................... 63
   - Genal distance small, less than half-maximum diameter of eye (Figs 181–182); eyes small to large. .......................................................................................................................... 64

63. Antenna inserted at or below middle level of eyes; face flat, not excavated; ventral surface of proximal three tarsomeres of male front leg uniformly covered with truncate setae, forming adhesive patch. ......................................................................................... 64
   - Antenna inserted above midline of eyes (sometimes at midline in female), widely separated from eyes (space between antennal fossa and eye equal to half diameter of antennal fossa); proximal three tarsomeres of male foreleg densely setose ventrally, but without patch of truncate adhesive setae; males of most species with face excavated (Figs 158–160); habitus as in Fig. 82; distribution from Mexico through much of South America. ........................................................................... *Gynandrobrotica* Bechyné, 1955

45
64. Distal male antennomeres not distinctly enlarged ................................................................. 65
   – One or more antennomeres in distal half of male antenna distinctly broadened................. 68

65. Male with front femora much wider than hind femora; front trochanter of male posteriorly produced to form spine-like process (Figs 180, 207); elytral disc with longitudinal rows of erect setae (easily abraded); lateral pronotal margin with regular row of short setae (easily abraded); habitus as in Fig. 90; distribution in Ecuador.................................................................Prathapanius Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020
   – Male with front femora narrower than hind femora; front trochanter of male without posterior process; elytral disc and pronotal margin either with or without such setae ........................................... 66

66. Genal length more than greatest diameter of eye; aedeagus with basal spurs; habitus as in Figs 37–39; distribution in Canada and United States .................................................................Ophraella Wilcox, 1965 [in part; see couplets 43, 46, 55]
   – Genal length less than greatest diameter of eye; aedeagus lacking basal spurs; distribution in Latin America.................................................................Isotes Weise, 1922 [in part; see couplets 86, 92]

67. Elytra with numerous, long, erect setae; male mesotibia with preapical, ventral notch; habitus as in Fig. 109; distribution in northern South America.................................................................Parabrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1961 [in part; see couplet 74]
   – Elytra nearly asetose; male mesotibia lacking preapical notch; habitus as in Figs 84–88; distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in West Indies.................................................................Isotes Weise, 1922 [in part; see couplets 86, 92]

68. Third antennomere distinctly longer than fourth (Fig. 209); mesotibiae of male with broad, shallow, subapical emargination; habitus as in Fig. 81; distribution in Venezuela and Brazil.................................................................Cornubrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969
   – Third antennomere subequal to or shorter than fourth; mesotibiae in male not emarginate, although bent at apical third in some specimens .................................................................Ensiforma Jacoby, 1876

69. Seventh and especially ninth antennomeres of male much wider than other antennomeres and somewhat pointed laterally; elytra metallic green or blue, with yellow lateral and distal areas; elytral punctuation dense, with punctures separated by distance less than their diameters; habitus as in Fig. 83; distribution in South America.................................................................Buckibrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969 [in part; see couplet 88]
   – Eighth through eleventh male antennomeres enlarged, with tenth antennomere largest, although with ninth antennomere nearly as large in some species; elytral color and punctuation not as above; habitus as in Fig. 79; distribution in South America.................................................................Ensiforma Jacoby, 1876

70. Lateral margin of prothorax with large setae only on anterior and posterior angles or with only one or two small setae placed near large setae ................................................................. 71 [Note that the setae along the lateral margin are easily rubbed off or are difficult to see at lower magnifications. If a specimen, apparently without lateral prothoracic setae, is not identifiable using the key, the user should return to this part of the key and attempt identification as if the specimen had lateral pronotal setae.]
   – Lateral margin of prothorax with six or more fine, evenly spaced setae ................................... 90

71. Upon close examination, lateral portion of anterior pronotal margin with fringe of short setae; terminal maxillary palpomere short, stout, abruptly narrowed to nipple-like apex (Fig. 157); habitus as in Fig. 59; distribution in South America.................................................................Byblitea Baly, 1864
   – Anterior pronotal margin lacking setal fringe; terminal maxillary palpomere of many genera slender ................................................................. 72

72. Genal distance (distance from eye margin to external edge of mouth, before base of mandible) small, less than one-fourth maximum diameter of eye (Fig. 182) ................................................................. 73
   – Genal distance medium sized, one-fourth to one-half maximum diameter of eye (Fig. 181) ....... 83
73. Third antennomere 2.5–3.5 times as long as second antennomere .............................................. 74
   – Third antennomere not more than 1.5 times as long as second antennomere .............................. 77

74. Elytra with numerous erect setae; male mesotibia with preapical notch; habitus as in Fig. 109; distribution in northern South America ......................................................Parabrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1961 [in part; see couplet 67]
   – Elytra lacking numerous erect setae; male mesotibia with or without preapical emargination ...... 75

75. Mesotibia emarginate before apex; distribution in Peru and Bolivia ...........Palmaria Bechyné, 1956
   – Mesotibia not emarginate before apex ................................................................................... 76

76. Inner lobe of tarsal claw only about half as long as outer lobe; gender male (female with appendiculate tarsal claws); habitus as in Fig. 92; distribution in northern South America ...............Hyperbrotopica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1968 [in part; see couplet 121]
   – Inner lobe of tarsal claw three-fourths or more times as long as outer lobe; gender either male or female; habitus as in Figs 70–71; distribution from United States through much of South America, as well as in West Indies ..........Paranapiacaba Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 88, 92]

77. Third antennomere, at least in males, slightly shorter than second antennomere, together usually considerably shorter than fourth antennomere ........................................................................... 78
   – Third antennomere equal to or slightly longer than second antennomere, together more than half as long as fourth antennomere .................................................................................. 79

78. Fourth antennomere about as long as first, second, and third antennomeres combined (Fig. 231); male with ninth antennomere, and sometimes others also, greatly enlarged (Fig. 217); middle tibia of male with distinct, preapical, ventral notch; habitus as in Fig. 113; distribution from Costa Rica to Bolivia ..........................................................Deino cladus Blake, 1966
   – Fourth antennomere much shorter than first, second, and third antennomeres combined; antennae filiform; male middle tibia without preapical notch; distribution in Central and South America .........Diabrotica Chevrolat, 1836 [in part (signifera group); see couplets 57, 80–82]

79. Metepisternum and adjacent metasternum with patch of long, silky, golden or silvery, overlapping setae (Fig. 230) .............................................................. 80
   – Metepisternum without golden or silvery setae ........................................................................... 81

80. Elytron with two transverse depressions on disc, one behind basal callus, one behind mid-length; distribution Central America ...............Diabrotica Chevrolat, 1836 [in part; see couplets 57, 78, 81–82]
   – Elytron without two transverse depressions; pronotum as in Fig. 190; habitus as in Fig. 66; distribution in South America .................Cochabamba Bechyné, 1955

81. Elytral surface even, not longitudinally sulcate, although sometimes with one or two short plicae in posthumeral area ........................................................................................................ 82
   – Each elytron with two or more distinct, sinuate, longitudinal sulci, strongest behind humeral callus, extending beyond middle; pronotum deeply bifoveate; distribution from Canada through much of South America ...Diabrotica Chevrolat, 1836 [in part (virgifera group); see couplets 57, 78, 80, 82]

82. Sixth to tenth antennomeres of male enlarged and ventrally excavated; distribution in Argentina .................................................................................Platy brotopica Cabrera & Walsh, 2004
   – Male antennae not as above; habitus as in Fig. 67; distribution from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies .................................Diabrotica Chevrolat, 1836 [in part; see couplets 57, 78, 80–81]
83. Head, pronotum, and abdomen strongly alutaceous, black with distinct blue or green luster; legs and antennae dark brown; apical portion of male elytron attenuate and deeply excavated, with dense setae along sutural rim of excavation; habitus as in Fig. 72; distribution in Panama...................................................................................................................Microbrotica Jacoby, 1887
− Not as above.................................................................................................................................84

84. Pronotum at mid-length with transverse impression occupying most of pronotal width; body less than 5 mm long; elytral punctation of most (but not all) species rather coarse, with punctures separated from each other by distance about equal to diameter of puncture; habitus as in Fig. 18; distribution from United States to South America, as well as in West Indies.................Miraces Jacoby, 1888
− If pronotum with transverse impression, then body more than 5 mm long...............................85

85. Third antennomere 1.5 or more times as long as second antennomere ........................................86
− Second and third antennomeres subequal in length; head and pronotum with pattern of spots; pronotum strongly foveate (Fig. 191); habitus as in Fig. 74; distribution from Brazil to Argentina ..........................................................................................................................Anisobrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969

86. Basal tarsomere of hind leg long, in male longer than two following tarsomeres combined...........87
− Basal tarsomere of hind leg short, in male subequal to two following tarsomeres combined; habitus as in Figs 84–88; distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in West Indies.............................................................................Isotes Weise, 1922 [in part; see couplets 67, 92]

87. Elytra in male often dilated posteriorly, but without raised areas, excavations, or depressions......88
− Elytra in male with raised areas, excavations, or depressions in posterior half .........................89

88. Seventh and especially ninth antennomeres of male much wider than other antennomeres and somewhat pointed laterally; elytra metallic green or blue, with yellow lateral and distal areas; elytral punctation dense, with punctures separated by distance less than their diameters; habitus as in Fig. 83; distribution in South America.....Buckibrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969 [in part; see couplet 69]
− Not as above; habitus as in Figs 71–72; distribution from United States through much of South America, as well as in West Indies ..........Paranapiacaba Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 76, 92]

89. Distal portion of male elytron not excavated, or (if excavated) excavation not extending to elytral apex (Fig. 227); habitus as in Figs 75–76; distribution from United States through much of South America.................................................................................................Paratriarius Schaeffer, 1906
− Male elytron narrowed sharply in distal fourth to form point, with apex excavated (Fig. 228); habitus as in Fig. 80; distribution in Mexico.......................................................................................Pseudodiabrotica Jacoby, 1892

90. Elytra largely asetose, irregularly punctate, sometimes with scattered setae in apical third or on margins.................................................................................................................................91
− Elytral disc with erect or suberect setae, often arranged in rows ...............................................93

91. Elytra without vittae .................................................................................................................................92
− Elytra vittate; maximum diameter of eye equaling two-thirds to three-fourths interocular distance; habitus as in Fig. 73; distribution from United States to northern South America ..................................................Amphelasma Barber, 1947

92. Elytra faintly striate; habitus as in Figs 70–71; distribution from United States through much of South America, as well as in West Indies ..........Paranapiacaba Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 76, 88]
− Elytra without striae; habitus as in Figs 84–88; distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in West Indies .....................Isotes Weise, 1922 [in part; see couplets 67, 86]
93. Elytra with erect or suberect setae scattered on disc, not arranged in rows; habitus as in Fig. 68; distribution in Peru, Bolivia, and Brazil .................................................... Zischkaita Bechyné, 1956
   - Elytra with erect or suberect setae arranged in rows ........................................ 94

94. Apex of abdomen deeply incised; gender male (females with appendiculate claws); habitus as in Fig. 89; distribution in Costa Rica and Panama .......................................................... Heterochele Viswajyothi & Clark, 2021 [in part; see couplet 133]
   - Apex of male abdomen not deeply incised; gender either male or female; habitus as in Figs 77–78; distribution from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies.................................................... Acalyamma Barber, 1947 [in part; see couplet 53]

95. Terminal ventrite of male abdomen with large, nearly square, apical lobe; aedeagal orifice with sclerotized covering; hind leg with basitarsus usually (but not always) longer than tarsomeres 2–5 combined; tibiae with apical spurs conspicuous, much longer than nearby setae .................. 96
   - Apical lobe of male abdomen either absent or much wider than long; aedeagal orifice of most species lacking sclerotized covering; hind leg with basitarsus usually (but not always) shorter than tarsomeres 2–5 combined; tibial spurs often (but not always) only slightly longer than nearby setae, often more or less hidden among nearby setae, sometimes absent ........................................ 103

96. Front coxal cavities closed behind (Fig. 150) .......................................................... 97
   - Front coxal cavities open behind (Fig. 151) ......................................................... 98

97. New World species usually testaceous, orange, or yellow (brown to black in a few species); dark elytral markings usually faint, irregular, or absent; many species with dark markings on pronotum; body usually elongate oval; dorsum usually less convex than in Monolepta; habitus as in Fig. 139; reported distribution from United States through Peru ...................................... Metrioidea Fairmaire, 1882 [in part; see couplet 100]
   - Color various, but usually not as described above; dorsum usually more convex than in Metrioidea; habitus as in Fig. 142; reported distribution from Mexico to Panama .... Monolepta Chevrolat, 1836

98. Hind leg with basal tarsomere shorter than or equal to all following tarsomeres combined....... 99
   - Hind leg with basal tarsomere longer than all following tarsomeres combined ........... 100

99. Dorsum somewhat flattened; habitus as in Fig. 140; distribution in South America .................. Halinella Bechyné, 1956
   - Dorsum more convex; habitus as in Fig. 138; distribution in United States and Mexico .... Eusattodera Schaeffer, 1906 [in part; see couplet 101]

100. New World species usually testaceous, orange, or yellow (brown to black in a few species); dark elytral markings usually faint, irregular, or absent; many species with dark markings on pronotum; body usually elongate oval, dorsally convex; habitus as in Fig. 139; reported distribution from United States through Peru ........................................ Metrioidea Fairmaire, 1882 [in part; see couplet 97]
   - Color often otherwise; distribution from United States through much of South America .... 101

101. Body of most species rather elongate; elytra entirely black, sometimes with blue luster; habitus as in Fig. 138; distribution in United States and Mexico ........................................ Eusattodera Schaeffer, 1906 [in part; see couplet 99]
   - Body of most species less elongate, with dorsum more convex; elytral color of some species entirely black, but of many species otherwise; distribution south of United States ............ 102

102. Reported distribution in South America; habitus as in Fig. 143 ...................... Lilophaea Bechyné, 1958
   - Reported distribution from United States through much of South America; habitus as in Fig. 141 ........................................................................ Luperodes Motschulsky, 1858
103. Pronotum lacking lateral carina (Figs 193–194) ................................................................. 104
   – Lateral margin of pronotum carinate ........................................................................... 105

104. Elytra black with suture and lateral margins pale, without transverse band near mid-length; antennae of male and female filiform, unmodified; head of male with small, median, round hole distal to antennal insertions (Fig. 164); habitus as in Fig. 102; distribution in Texas and Mexico ..................................................................................... Cycloptrepema Blake, 1966
   – Elytral color pattern including transverse, pale band near mid-length; male with second and third antennomeres strongly modified (Fig. 212); head lacking small hole distal to antennal insertions (Figs 162–163); habitus as in Fig. 94; distribution in South America ................................................................. Metrobrotica Bechyné, 1958

105. Front coxal cavities closed behind ............................................................................... 106
   – Front coxal cavities open behind .................................................................................. 110

106. Antennae filiform, with third antennomere equal to or shorter than fourth ..................... 107
   – Third antennomere longer than fourth; antennae either entirely filiform or with some antennomeres greatly modified ........................................................................................................ 108

107. Elytral punctures confused; middle tibia of male with preapical, ventral notch; pronotum with pore, as illustrated in Fig. 179; habitus as in Fig. 114; distribution in Brazil .......................................................................................................................... Coronabrotica Moura, 2010
   – Elytral punctures arranged in irregular rows; middle tibia of male lacking preapical notch; pronotum without such pore; habitus as in Fig. 98; distribution in Venezuela and Brazil ................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. Potamobrotica Blake, 1966

108. Third and fourth antennomeres greatly enlarged and modified (Fig. 210); area below antennal insertions deeply excavated (Figs 165–166); gender male; habitus as in Fig. 97; distribution from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies ......................................................................................................................... Cerotoma Chevrolat, 1836 [in part; see couplet 109]
   – Third and fourth antennomeres unmodified; area below antennal insertions sometimes depressed, but not deeply excavated; gender either male or female .................................................................................. 109

109. Female with area just anterior to antennal insertions shallowly, transversely depressed; body more elongate oval than in Cerotoma; distribution in Brazil ...... Interbrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1965
   – Female without transverse impression just anterior to antennal insertions; body less elongate; habitus as in Fig. 97; distribution from Canada through much of South America, as well as in West Indies .......................................................................................................................... Cerotoma Chevrolat, 1836 [in part; see couplet 108]

110. Mesepisternum densely pubescent; anterior margin of prothorax without bead and without fringe of short setae; male without rectangular lobe at abdominal apex; males of some genera with preapical notch on flexor side of middle tibia; aedeagus without basal spurs ............................................. 111
   – Mesepisternum asetose or sparsely pubescent; if mesepisternum sparsely pubescent, then anterior pronotal margin usually with bead or fringe of short setae; abdominal apex with or without lobe; middle tibia without preapical notch, except in some species with numerous erect elytral setae; aedeagus with or without basal spurs ........................................................................................................ 112

111. Hind femur greatly swollen, as in many genera of tribe Alticini; middle tibia of male with preapical notch on flexor side (Fig. 202); color yellow, with head and two spots on each elytron dark; habitus as in Fig. 108; distribution in Cuba ............................................................................................................................. Leptonesiotes Blake, 1958
   – Hind femur not abnormally swollen; male middle tibia with or without preapical notch; color usually otherwise ........................................................................................................................................................................ 112
112. Posterior margin of pronotum with complete, uninterrupted bead; male with eighth or ninth antennomere distinctly enlarged (Fig. 214); other male antennomeres also enlarged in some species; female of most species also with some antennomeres enlarged, but not as much as in males; middle tibia of male without preapical notch; elytra entirely dark; pronotum usually pale; if pronotum dark, then both front and middle legs of male with basal tarsomeres greatly enlarged; habitus as in Fig. 126; distribution in Mexico and Central America ................................................................. Metacoryna Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplet 131]
  – Posterior margin of pronotum often with poorly formed bead in lateral portion, but usually without well-formed, uninterrupted bead; male antennae of some species modified, with some antennomeres enlarged, but usually not as above; female antennae of all species filiform, without enlarged antennomeres; middle tibia of male with or without preapical notch; color often different than described above.................................................... 113

113. Pronotal disc convex, not at all impressed; habitus as in Fig. 104; distribution in West Indies........
  – Pronotal disc distinctly impressed in most species; distribution in continental areas, not including West Indies.......................... Ectmesopus Blake, 1940 ................................................................. 114

114. Eye very small, with diameter less than distance from eye margin to mandibular base; third male antennomere much widened and irregularly incised at middle; male with head deeply excavated beyond antennal insertions; elytra vittate; habitus as in Fig. 95; distribution in Mexico........
  – Eye usually larger; if third male antennomere enlarged, then not incised, or incised only apically; male head excavated or not; elytra vittate or not............................................................................................... 115

115. Elytral disc with numerous, longitudinal costae.............................................................................. 116
  – Elytra not distinctly costate, except sometimes behind humeri......................................................................... 120

116. Elytral costae moderately to strongly developed; male head of some species deeply excavated beyond antennal insertions .............................................................................................................. 117
  – Elytral costae weakly developed; head not excavated............................................................................................... 119

117. Frons similar in both sexes, slightly depressed, with mesal carina indistinct; habitus as in Fig. 93; distribution in South America........................................................................................................ Hystiopsis Blake, 1966
  – Frons deeply excavated in male, unmodified in female (Figs 167–171)................................................................. 118

118. Elytral costae strong; transverse pronotal impression very deep; third antennomere of female equal to or shorter than fourth, never longer; habitus as in Fig. 99; distribution in South America........................................................................................................ Eucerotoma Laboissière, 1939
  – Elytral costae moderate; pronotal impression more shallow (as in Neobrotica); third antennomere of female equal to or longer than fourth, never shorter (Fig. 211); habitus as in Fig. 101; distribution from Mexico through much of South America..................................................... Eccoptopsis Blake, 1966

119. Third antennomere less than twice as long as second; middle tibia of male with deep, preapical notch; habitus as in Fig. 111; distribution from United States through much of South America........................................................................................................ Luperosoma Jacoby, 1891 [in part; see couplet 130]
  – Third antennomere more than twice as long as second; middle tibia of male without preapical notch; habitus as in Fig. 96; distribution from United States through much of South America.................................................................................. Neobrotica Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplet 122]
120. Male tibia without preapical notch; antennae eleven-segmented, with third antennomere nearly as long as fourth ................................................................. 121
   – Male tibia with preapical notch; most species with third antennomere much shorter than fourth (if antenna with fewer than eleven antennomeres, then second or third antennomere entirely missing); if third and fourth antennomeres similar in length, then elytra with exceptionally coarse punctation ................................................................. 123

121. Each elytron pale brown, with 5–6 elongate maculae, one extending posteriorly from humerus to beyond middle of elytron (sometimes divided to form two smaller maculae), two near suture in basal half (one behind the other), two near distal declivity (one near suture, the other slightly more posterior, near apicolateral angle); gender female (males with bifid claws); habitus as in Fig. 92; distribution in northern South America...........Hyperbrotica Bechyné & Bechyné, 1968 [in part; see couplet 76]
   – Elytral color pattern not as above; gender either male or female ........................................ 122

122. Male with mesal spine or spine-like tuft of setae on clypeus (Fig. 176); male protibiae greatly enlarged (Figs 176–177); habitus as in Fig. 106; distribution from Mexico to Panama................................. Platymorpha Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplet 127]
   – Male without such clypeal spine, without enlarged front tibiae; habitus as in Fig. 96; distribution from United States through much of South America ...................................................................................... Neobrotica Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplet 119]

123. Male antenna with fewer than eleven antennomeres (female with eleven); if distribution south of United States, then frons unusually flat or broadly concave, without mesal carina; regardless of distribution, metasternum yellow ................................................................. 124
   – Antenna of both male and female with eleven antennomeres; frons of most species not flat or concave, usually bulging or with mesal elevation; if distribution in United States then metasternum dark brown or black ...................................................................................... 125

124. Male antennae with some antennomeres towards base distinctly distorted (Fig. 216); frons, especially of male, rather flat or broadly concave, without distinct mesal elevation (Fig. 172); habitus as in Fig. 105; distribution from Mexico to South America ............ Oroetes Jacoby, 1888
   – Male antennae not modified; frons mesally elevated; habitus as in Fig. 100; distribution in eastern United States................................................................. Phyllecthris Dejean, 1836

125. Frons convex, bulging, without mesal ridge; male with mesal pore on frons, slightly beyond level of antennae (Fig. 173); habitus as in Fig. 103; distribution from Panama to Peru and Brazil................................................................. Porechontes Blake, 1966
   – Frons not bulging, without mesal pore, usually with mesal ridge ........................................ 126

126. Male with fifth to seventh antennomeres slightly enlarged; head black; pronotum pale; each elytron pale with two dark markings on distal declivity, with one elongate dark marking on scutellum and postscutellar area, with one elongate dark marking in subscutellar area (sometimes united with humeral marking); distribution in Brazil................................................................. Simopsis Blake, 1966
   – Male antennomeres either enlarged or not; color pattern not as above ................................ 127

127. Elytra uniformly dark, with metallic luster, coarsely punctate, with punctures separated from each other by distance less than diameter of puncture; habitus as in Fig. 107; distribution in Central America...................................................................................... Trachyelytron gen. nov.
   – Elytra not as above ........................................................................................................ 128
128. Proximal tarsomere of hind leg very long, clearly longer than all more distal tarsomeres combined; elytra somewhat depressed beyond middle; distribution in Colombia and Brazil ................................................................. Romanita Bechyné, 1957

129. Male with mesal spine or spine-like tuft of setae on clypeus (Fig. 177); male foretibiae greatly enlarged (Figs 176–177); habitus as in Fig. 106; distribution from Mexico to Panama ................................................................. Platymorpha Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplet 122]

130. Distal half of male antenna with some antennomeres distinctly broadened; habitus as in Fig. 111; distribution from United States through much of South America ................................................................. Luperosoma Jacoby, 1891 [in part; see couplet 119]

131. Male with some of fifth through tenth antennomeres greatly enlarged; second, third, fourth, and eleventh male antennomeres narrow (Fig. 214); female of most species also with some antennomeres enlarged, but not as much as in males; elytra entirely dark; pronotum usually pale; if pronotum dark, then both front and middle tarsi of male greatly enlarged; habitus as in Fig. 126; distribution in Mexico and Central America ................................................................. Metacoryna Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplet 112]

132. Elytral disc with numerous erect setae .................................................................................. 133

133. Lateral margin of pronotum with numerous, evenly spaced setae (sometimes missing in improperly handled specimens and often hard to see at low magnification); gender female (males with bifid claws); habitus as in Fig. 89; distribution in Costa Rica and Panama ................................................................. Heterochele Viswajyothi & Clark, 2021 [in part; see couplet 94]

134. Body broadly oval, with length less than twice width; head, thorax, abdomen, and legs entirely yellow or orange; elytra entirely black; habitus as in Fig. 115; distribution from United States through much of South America ................................................................. Trachyscelida Horn, 1893

135. Third antennomere twice as long as second; head and elytra entirely dark brown to black; elytra densely, rather coarsely punctate, with most punctures separated by distance subequal to diameter of puncture; body about 4 mm long; habitus as in Fig. 121; distribution in Texas ................................................................. Texiluperus gen. nov.
136. Abdomen of female very large, mostly not covered by elytra; eyes in dorsal view very small, separated from each other by distance more than four times width of each eye; pronotum at least as wide anteriorly as posteriorly; elytral punctation much coarser than pronotal punctation; habitus as in Fig. 49; distribution in Mexico and Guatemala (doubtfully recorded from Peru) ................................................................. Metacycla Baly, 1861

137. Upon close inspection, entire anterior margin of pronotum with fringe of short, closely spaced setae; male abdomen with rectangular lobe at apex ................................................................. 138

138. Basal bead of pronotum complete, although fine, extending without interruption between posterolateral angles (this character obscured by extremely coarse pronotal punctation in one yellow or reddish species of Synetocephalus from California) ................................................................. 139

139. Rectangular lobe at apex of male abdomen at least half as long as wide, not strongly impressed towards dorsum (Fig. 198); basal antennomere subequal to maximum diameter of eye; apical spurs of tibiae large, conspicuous; elytra not metallic, varying from entirely pale to entirely dark, in many species pale with dark vittae ................................................................. 140

140. Aedeagus asymmetrical in dorsal view, with distal portion spatulate; each elytron pale with five long, dark stripes (one sutural, one lateral, three discal); habitus as in Fig. 136; distribution in United States and Mexico................................................................. Triariodes Clark & Anderson, 2019

141. Distance between antennal fossae equal to at least twice diameter of fossa; mesal frontal ridge very poorly developed, nearly continuous with antennal calli, delimited behind by shallow, sometimes indistinct impression; elytra usually pale with darker stripes or entirely pale, rarely entirely dark with faint metallic luster, never with obvious metallic luster; aedeagal orifice often with sclerotized covering; habitus as in Fig. 116; distribution in United States and Mexico ................................................................. Synetocephalus Fall, 1910

142. Distance between antennal fossae equal to less than twice diameter of fossa; mesal frontal ridge narrower, usually separated from antennal calli by distinct sulci; supracallinal sulcus usually distinct, abruptly delimiting antennal calli behind; elytral color variable, often with distinct metallic luster; orifice of aedeagus lacking sclerotized covering .................................................................
142. Elytra with conspicuous transverse impression at basal third; body, including appendages, strongly metallic; habitus as in Fig. 129; distribution in Costa Rica.................................................................\textit{Inbioluperus} Clark, 1993 [in part; see couplet 177]
   Transverse impression of elytra absent or weakly developed; front tibiae or other body parts lacking metallic luster; distribution in Mexico and northward (except one pale, non-metallic species occurring from Mexico to Panama) ......................................................................................... 143

143. Second abdominal ventrite of male with large, mesal appendage, which is single at base, bifurcate beyond base; dorsal surface entirely pale; habitus as in Fig. 117; distribution from Mexico to Panama.................................................................\textit{Geethaluperus} gen. nov.
   If male abdominal appendages present, then not as above, either paired (separate from each other at base), or, if single, then very slender, not bifurcate; dorsal coloration variable ............ 144

144. Elytral punctuation conspicuous, with punctures in most areas at least as large as ommatidium, with average distance between punctures not much greater than twice diameter of puncture; elytral surface not strongly alutaceous........................................................................................................ 145
   Punctures in most areas of elytra very fine, obsolete, or obscured by alutaceous microsculpture .......................................................... 146

145. Hind tibia of male with terminal process, nearly as long as basitarsus, located opposite terminal spur (Fig. 206); habitus as in Fig. 112; distribution in Mexico .................................................................\textit{Carpiradialis} Niño-Maldonado & Clark, 2020 [in part; see couplet 149]
   Hind tibia lacking such process; habitus as in Fig. 119; distribution in United States and Mexico ........................................\textit{Mexiluperus} gen. nov. [in part; see couplet 162]

146. Second abdominal ventrite of male with conspicuous appendages (Fig. 201); elytra pale, with dark vittae; head pale, similar in color to pronotum; habitus as in Fig. 122; distribution in United States (California) .................................................................\textit{Cornuventer} gen. nov.
   Second abdominal ventrite of male without appendages; more posterior ventrites either with or without appendages; if elytra vittate, then head dark, strongly contrasting with pale pronotum 147

147. Pronotum and elytra both black; habitus as in Fig. 123; distribution in western North America, from British Columbia to Nebraska to New Mexico to California .................................................................\textit{Pseudoluperus} Beller & Hatch, 1932
   Pronotum pale; elytra variable in color, entirely dark, entirely pale, or bicolored............. 148

148. Male elytron with distinct fovea near apicolateral angle, usually with complex modification within fovea (Fig. 232); habitus as in Fig. 128; distribution from Utah and Arizona to California and Baja California Sur........................................\textit{Androlyperus} Crotch, 1873 [in part; see couplets 158, 161]
   Male elytra without fovea at apicolateral angle; habitus as in Fig. 118; distribution in Texas .................................................................\textit{Monoaster} gen. nov.

149. Elytra noticeably costate, strongly vittate; male with large process at apex of hind tibia (Fig. 206); habitus as in Fig. 112; distribution in Mexico .................................................................\textit{Carpiradialis} Niño-Maldonado & Clark, 2020 [in part; see couplet 145]
   Elytra not costate or distinctly vittate (rarely, slightly darker near margins, but only indistinctly so); if male hind tibia with large apical process, then elytra entirely dark ........................................ 150

150. Pronotum and elytra densely, coarsely punctate; color almost entirely dark metallic blue; male abdomen with ventral appendages; apex of male hind tibia with large, upcurved appendage; habitus as in Fig. 135; distribution in Mexico.................................................................\textit{Scelidacne} Clark, 1998
Pronotum and elytra not both densely, coarsely punctate; color variable, sometimes with extensive pale areas; male abdomen either with or without ventral appendages; male hind tibia without large apical appendage ................................................................. 151

151. Male front femora larger than middle or hind femora; aedeagus strongly asymmetrical in dorsal view; pronotum, of either male or female, usually with single, broad, shallowly impressed, flattened area in basal half; habitus as in Fig. 131; distribution in United States (Arizona) and nearby areas of Mexico .............................................................................. Lygistus Wilcox, 1965

Male front femora not abnormally enlarged; aedeagus symmetrical in dorsal view; pronotum usually not as above, sometimes with paired depressions ........................................ 152

152. All tibiae lacking terminal spurs; body of most (but not all) species longer than 5.0 mm; pronotum usually entirely pale, but, if partially dark, then male abdomen with conspicuous ventral appendages; habitus as in Fig. 127; distribution from United States to Panama ................................................................................................. Scelida Chapuis, 1875 [in part; see couplets 58, 184]

Tibial spurs present, at least on hind legs; body of many (but not all) species shorter than 5.0 mm; pronotum variable, either pale, dark, or bicolored; male abdomen without ventral appendages 
.................................................................................................................................................... 153

153. Antennae short, reaching only slightly beyond humeri; second, third, and fourth antennomeres each about equal in length and width; habitus as in Fig. 137; distribution in United States and Mexico ........................................................................................................... Pteleon Jacoby, 1888

Antennae longer, usually reaching to at least middle of elytra; third antennomere distinctly longer than wide ................................................................................................................................. 154

154. Orifice of aedeagus with sclerotized covering; pronotum of many (but not all) species entirely pale, contrasting with uniformly dark elytra; habitus as in Fig. 133; distribution from central to southern Mexico ........................................................................................................... Microscelida Clark, 1998 [in part; see couplet 183]

Aedeagal orifice without sclerotized covering; coloration not as above, either with dark or bicolored pronotum, or with partially pale elytra; habitus as in Fig. 120; distribution in southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico (including Baja California peninsula) ................................................................................ Amplioluperus gen. nov. [in part; see couplet 184]

155. Frons forming single, broad convexity or flattened area; frontal ridge and anterofrontal ridge not developed; antennae longer than body in male, nearly as long as body in female (Fig. 161); habitus as in Fig. 58; distribution from Guatemala to Peru ........................................................................... Elyces Jacoby, 1888

Both frontal and anterofrontal ridges well developed in nearly all species; if ridges indiscernible, then antennae shorter than described above ................................................................. 156

156. Basal bead of pronotum complete, although fine, extending without interruption between posterolateral angles ................................................................................................................................. 157

Basal bead of pronotum absent or restricted to lateral areas ................................................................................................................................. 181

157. Elytron strongly modified, with distinct lateral fovea, often with complex structure within impression; gender male .................................................................................................................................................. 158

Elytron without distinct lateral fovea; gender male or female ........................................................................................................................................... 159

158. Lateral fovea located near mid-length of elytron (Fig. 226); abdomen without rectangular lobe at apex; habitus as in Fig. 61; distribution from United States to Panama, as well as in South America (Venezuela and Bolivia) ........................................................................... Malacorhinus Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplets 167, 174, 180]
Lateral fovea located near apicolateral angle of elytron (Fig. 232); abdomen with rectangular lobe at apex; habitus as in Fig. 128; distribution from Utah and Arizona to California and Baja California Sur.................................**Androlyperus** Crotch, 1873 [in part; see couplets 148, 161]

159. Entire anterior edge of pronotum distinctly margined by fine bead ........................................ 160
   – Anterior pronotal bead absent or very indistinct ................................................................. 167

160. Apex of male abdomen clearly truncate, usually with short, rectangular, often impressed lobe; aedeagus without basal spurs (Fig. 149); distal maxillary palpmere relatively narrow, nearly parallel sided for much of length; third antennomere usually distinctly longer than second, but if nearly equal in length, then elytra entirely dark .............................................................. 161
   – Apex of male abdomen indistinctly truncate, without rectangular lobe; aedeagus with basal spurs (Fig. 148); distal maxillary palpmere usually stouter, more abruptly narrowed from base to apex; if distal maxillary palpmere narrow, then second and third antennomeres subequal in length and elytra largely pale ................................................................. 163

161. Genal distance (distance from eye margin to external edge of mouth, before base of mandible) as great as or greater than length of second antennomere; elytra entirely pale, entirely dark, or bicolored, never metallic; gender female (male elytron with distinct posterolateral fovea); habitus as in Fig. 128; distribution from Utah and Arizona to California and Baja California Sur.................................**Androlyperus** Crotch, 1873 [in part; see couplets 148, 158]
   – Genal distance less than length of second antennomere; elytral color variable, often entirely metallic; gender either male or female ................................................................. 162

162. Mesal frontal ridge narrow, usually forming angulate ridge; antennal calli often extending to and continuous with orbit, rarely delimited laterally by poorly defined impression; genal distance (distance from eye margin to external edge of mouth, before base of mandible) about as long as, or longer than, terminal maxillary palpmere; vertex often with alutaceous microsculpture; head and elytra dark, often metallic (Fig. 175); apex of aedeagus often truncate, emarginate, or asymmetrical, rarely symmetrical and pointed; habitus as in Fig. 130; distribution in Canada, United States, and northwestern Mexico ..................................................**Scelolyperus** Crotch, 1874 [in part; see couplet 170]
   – If frontal ridge narrow or acutely elevated, then antennal calli delimited laterally by distinct impression; genal distance usually not more than half as great as terminal maxillary palpmere; vertex not distinctly alutaceous; head and elytra variable in color, sometimes largely pale; apical portion of aedeagus usually pointed and symmetrical, rarely strap-like and asymmetrical, never truncate or emarginate; habitus as in Fig. 119; distribution in Arizona and Mexico ........................................**Mexiluperus** gen. nov. [in part; see couplet 145]

163. Distribution in Greater Antilles (Cuba, Jamaica, Hispaniola); habitus as in Figs 47–48 .................. **Nyciplanctus** Blake, 1963
   – Distribution in Lesser Antilles or in continental areas.......................................................... 164

164. Third antennomere distinctly more than 1.5 times as long as second; pronotum largely or entirely pale (Fig. 189); elytral coloration variable, usually either entirely dark or bicolored (dark with transverse median band, or pale basally, dark apically); in dorsal view, aedeagus strongly asymmetrical; habitus as in Fig. 64; distribution from Mexico to South America................................. **Pyesia** Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 186]
   – Third antennomere not more than 1.5 times as long as second; aedeagus either symmetrical or asymmetrical ................................................................. 165
165. Male antennae either longer than body, or modified (fourth through eleventh antennomeres wider than more basal antennomeres, or some antennomeres with longitudinal, asetose carina); female antennae usually nearly as long as body; aedeagus strongly asymmetrical, with orifice directed laterally; habitus as in Fig. 65; distribution from Mexico through much of South America.......................................................... *Chthoneis* Baly, 1864 [in part; see couplet 172]
   Antennae shorter than body, not unusually modified; aedeagal orifice directed dorsally ........ 166

166. Body 7.0–11.0 mm long; distal maxillary palptomere relatively narrow, nearly parallel sided for much of length (Fig. 156); elytra pale with darker vittae, or entirely pale; in dorsal view, aedeagus symmetrical; habitus as in Fig. 63; distribution from Mexico through much of South America, as well as in Lesser Antilles .......................................................... *Pyesexora* gen. nov.
   Body smaller; distal maxillary palptomere stouter, more abruptly narrowed from base to apex (Fig. 155); elytral coloration often otherwise; aedeagus either symmetrical or not; habitus as in Figs 51–55; distribution in Central and South America .......................................................... *Zepherina* Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 171, 175, 185]

167. Third antennomere much longer and broader than fourth; gender male; habitus as in Fig. 61; distribution from United States to Panama, as well as in South America (Venezuela and Bolivia) .......................................................... *Malacorhinus* Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplets 158, 174, 180]
   Third antennomere equal to or shorter than fourth; gender male or female .................. 168

168. Third antennomere similar in length to second, much shorter than fourth.................. 169
   Third antennomere much longer than second, approaching fourth in length ............. 177

169. Terminal maxillary palptomere at least twice as long as broad, usually nearly parallel-sided in basal half (Fig. 175) .......................................................... 170
   Terminal maxillary palptomere less than twice as long as broad, rather abruptly narrowed from stout base to apex (Fig. 155) .......................................................... 172

170. Apex of male abdomen clearly truncate, usually with short, rectangular lobe; aedeagus without basal spurs (Fig. 149); elytra entirely dark, often metallic; habitus as in Fig. 130; distribution in Canada, United States, and northwestern Mexico .... *Scelolyperus* Crotch, 1874 [in part; see couplet 162]
   Male abdomen indistinctly truncate, without rectangular lobe; aedeagus with basal spurs; elytral color variable, often not as above; distribution in Central and South America .................. 171

171. Fifth to tenth antennomeres each only about twice as long as broad, broader than basal antennomere; habitus as in Fig. 46; distribution from Panama to Brazil .................. *Hecataeus* Jacoby, 1888
   Fourth to eleventh antennomeres each much more than twice as long as broad, narrower than basal antennomere; habitus as in Figs 51–55; distribution in Central and South America .......................................................... *Zepherina* Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 166, 175, 185]

172. Male antennae either longer than body or modified (fourth through eleventh antennomeres wider than more basal antennomeres, or some antennomeres with longitudinal, asetose carina); female antennae usually nearly as long as body; aedeagus strongly asymmetrical, with orifice directed laterally; habitus as in Fig. 65; distribution from Mexico through much of South America .......................................................... *Chthoneis* Baly, 1864 [in part; see couplet 165]
   Antennae usually shorter than body, not unusually modified; aedeagus symmetrical or less strongly asymmetrical, with orifice directed dorsally .......................................................... 173

173. Pronotum wider in anterior half than in posterior half, with lateral margins sinuate ........ 174
   Pronotum not wider anteriorly; lateral margins of pronotum rounded (Fig. 185) ........ 176
174. Pronotum nearly as long as wide (Fig. 187); habitus as in Fig. 61; distribution from United States to Panama, as well as in South America (Venezuela and Bolivia) ................................................................. ................................. Malacorhinus Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplets 158, 167, 180]
    – Pronotum much shorter than wide; distribution in Central and South America ......................... 175

175. Vertex black; elytra testaceous with black markings forming broad, transverse band at base and another black band beyond middle; sutural and apical margins of elytra also black; body 5.0–6.4 mm long; body shape as in Fig. 57; distribution in Panama ................................................................. ................................. Masurius Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplets 175, 186]
    – Color or size not as above; habitus as in Figs 51–55; distribution in Central and South America ................................. Zepherina Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 166, 171, 185]

176. Pronotum twice as wide as long; antennae not attaining elytral apex; female abdomen incised at apex; habitus as in Fig. 69; distribution in South America ............................................ Uaupesia Bechyné, 1957
    – Pronotum often distinctly less than twice as wide as long; male antennae of some (but not all) species extending beyond elytral apex; female abdomen not incised at apex; habitus as in Fig. 60; distribution in Central and South America .............................................. Sonyadora Bechyné, 1958

177. Male abdomen with short, rectangular lobe at apex; aedeagus without basal spurs; entire body, including appendages, strongly metallic; habitus as in Fig. 129; distribution in Costa Rica ........................................................................................................ Inbioluperus Clark, 1993 [in part; see couplet 142]
    – Male abdomen slightly truncate, but without rectangular lobe; aedeagus with basal spurs; body of most species not entirely metallic ........................................................................ 178

178. Body 6.5–13.0 mm long; habitus as in Fig. 56; distribution from Mexico through much of South America and in Lesser Antilles .................................................................................................. Exora Chevrolat, 1836
    – Body smaller ........................................................................................................................................ 179

179. Terminal maxillary palpomere shorter than penultimate palpomere; elytra variable in color, but often entirely dark; habitus as in Fig. 57; distribution in Central and South America ................................................................. Masurius Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplets 175, 186]
    – Terminal maxillary palpomere longer than penultimate palpomere; elytra usually bicolored .... 180

180. Pronotum widest slightly anterior to mid-length; lateral pronotal margins rounded; male fourth abdominal ventrite of some (but not all) species with posteromeral irregularity, tooth, or long spine (Fig. 200); habitus as in Fig. 62; distribution in South America ........................................................................................................ Trigonexora Bechyné & Bechyné, 1969
    – Pronotum widest slightly behind anterior margin; lateral margins of pronotum sinuate (Fig. 187); habitus as in Fig. 61; distribution from United States to Panama, as well as in South America (Venezuela and Bolivia) ....................... Malacorhinus Jacoby, 1887 [in part; see couplets 158, 167, 174]

181. Apex of male abdomen with short, rectangular, often impressed lobe; aedeagus lacking basal spurs; terminal maxillary palpomere distinctly longer than broad, nearly parallel-sided for much of length, usually comparatively narrow ........................................................................................................ 182
    – Apex of male abdomen slightly truncate, but without rectangular lobe; aedeagus with basal spurs; terminal maxillary palpomere only about as long as broad, rather abruptly narrowed from stout base to apex ........................................................................................................ 185

182. Basitarsus of male hind leg enlarged, much different in form from basitarsi of front and middle legs (Fig. 203); elytra conspicuously vittate; habitus as in Fig. 125; distribution in Texas and northern Mexico ................................................ ................. Keitheatus Wilcox, 1965
– Basitarsus of male hind leg not conspicuously enlarged; elytra usually unicolorous, sometimes pale with vaguely darker margins .......................................................... 183

183. Aedeagus orifice with sclerotized covering; at least hind tibiae with terminal spurs; abdomen lacking ventral appendages; pronotum of many (but not all) species entirely pale; elytra largely or entirely dark, usually metallic; body 3.1–4.9 mm long; habitus as in Fig. 133; distribution from central to southern Mexico ........................................Microscelida Clark, 1998 [in part; see couplet 154]
– Aedeagal orifice usually without sclerotized covering; if sclerotized covering present, then terminal spurs absent from all tibiae and abdomen with ventral appendages; coloration variable, either as above or not ........................................................................ 184

184. Pronotum usually entirely pale; if pronotum partially black, then male abdomen with ventral appendages; tibiae without terminal spurs; elytra usually entirely dark; if elytra partially pale, then body longer than 5.0 mm; habitus as in Fig. 127; distribution from United States to Panama...............................................................Scelida Chapuis, 1875 [in part; see couplets 58, 152]
– Pronotum often partially or entirely dark; if pronotum entirely pale, then elytra largely or entirely pale also, tibiae with terminal spurs, and body length less than 5.0 mm; habitus as in Fig. 120; distribution in southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico (including Baja California peninsula)...................................................Amplioluperus gen. nov. [in part; see couplet 154]

185. Third antennomere short, less than 1.5 times as long as second; habitus as in Figs 51–55; distribution in Central and South America..........Zepherina Bechyné, 1958 [in part; see couplets 166, 171, 175]
– Third antennomere at least 1.5 times as long as second.................................................. 186

186. Upon close inspection, anterior edge of pronotum margined by fine bead; habitus as in Fig. 64; distribution from Mexico to South America..........Pyesia Clark, 1865 [in part; see couplet 164]
– Anterior margin of pronotum without bead; habitus as in Fig. 57; distribution in Panama..........................................................Masurius Jacoby, 1888 [in part; see couplets 175, 179]

Discussion

In this study, we recognize 130 New World genera of Galerucinae, 10 of these being newly named. However, we are confident than many undescribed genera are yet to be discovered. Surely, the numbers will substantially increase upon future study. The key we provide is the first of its kind. That is, it is the first modern key to include all of the New World, non-alticine genera of Galerucinae. However, we certainly do not believe it to be unequivocally authoritative. To the contrary, it undoubtedly includes errors. We present it as a first attempt, hopefully to be corrected and improved upon by future workers. We hope that our study will stimulate interest and facilitate future taxonomic investigations of this woefully understudied group of important beetles.
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Figs 176–179. 176. *Platymorpha variegata* Jacoby, 1888, anterior portion of body, showing enlarged front tibia and bristly setae on face. 177. *P. variegata*, anterior portion of body, showing enlarged front tibiae. 178. *Coronabrotica amazonensis* Moura, 2010, pronotal depression. 179. *C. amazonensis*, pore in pronotal depression. [Figures 178 and 179 are from Moura (2010), and they are reproduced with permission from the copyright holder, Magnolia Press.]
Figs 201–207. 201. *Cornuventer tuberculatus* (Blake, 1942) comb. nov., abdomen, ventral view, showing paired abdominal appendages. 202. *Leptonesiotes cyanospila* (Suffrian, 1867), posterior portion of body, ventral view, showing enlarged hind femur and preapically notched middle tibia. 203. *Keitheatus blakeae* (White, 1944), ventral view showing flattened hind basitarsi. 204. *Brucita* sp., distal portion of male front leg, showing small tubercle at base of basitarsus. 205. *Aristobrotica? belemea* (Gahan, 1891), middle leg of male, showing clasping organ. 206. *Carpiradialis pueblensis* Niño-Maldonado & Clark, 2020, hind leg of male, showing modified distal portion of tibia. 207. *Prathapanius fortis* Viswajyothi & Clark, 2020, anterior portion of body, lateral view, showing enlarged forefemur and spine on front trochanter.