Once upon a time in America: recognition of the species of *Libitioides* from USA, with comments on other American Cosmetidae (Opiliones, Laniatores)
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Abstract. The American species of Cosmetidae, in spite of being clearly distinguished in the older literature, were mixed-up by Roewer. This, aggravated by groundless synonymies done by Goodnight & Goodnight, prevented all subsequent authors from properly recognizing and adequately naming those species. Herein, we define and characterize the three most widespread species of Cosmetidae in the USA, explaining the misleading synonymies and misidentifications in the taxonomic literature. A recent phylogenetic analysis revalidated *Libitioides* Roewer, 1912 from the synonymy of *Vonones* Simon, 1879 to include three American species: *Gonyleptes ornatum* Say, 1821 (from southeastern USA), *Cynorta sayi* Simon, 1879 (from central-southern USA) and *Cosmetus albolineatus* Sørensen, 1884 (from eastern USA). Expanding on that, we herein aggregate another two species to *Libitioides*: *Vonones modestus* Banks, 1909 (from Cuba, herein revalidated from the synonymy of *Libitioides ornata* Roewer, 1912) and *Libitioides scabrissima* Roewer, 1912 (from Mexico, restored from the current combination with *Vonones*). The following subjective synonymies are proposed: (1) *Platycynorta* Mello-Leitão, 1933 and *Denticynorta* Roewer, 1947 = *Libitioides*; (2) *Metacynorta denticus* Walker, 1928 = *Cosmetus albolineatus*; (3) *Platycynorta secunda* Roewer, 1947 = *Vonones modestus*; (4) *Libitioides ornata* Roewer, 1912 and *Cynorta (Cynorta) depressa* Sørensen, 1932 = *Cynorta sayi*. Outside *Libitioides*, *Cynorta bimaculata* Banks, 1893, currently combined under *Calicynorta* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1943, and originally reported from California (due to a misinterpretation of label) has its type locality corrected as to be in Costa Rica instead and is transferred to *Holovonones* Roewer, 1912. Accordingly, *Calicynorta* is herein considered a junior subjective synonym of *Holovonones* Roewer, 1912. *Platycynorta clavifemur* Roewer, 1957 from Peru is newly combined under the genus *Ambatoiella* Mello-Leitão, 1943, otherwise known from Ecuador. The present analysis of the distributional data suggests that the occurrence of Cosmetidae is determined not directly by temperature, but by the absence of a dry season and the presence of a hot summer.
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Introduction

The family Cosmetidae C.L. Koch, 1839 recently underwent a cladistic analysis (Medrano et al. 2021) in which several subfamilial and generic taxa were defined. The genus Libitioides Roewer, 1912, was then retrieved as the sister-group of the Taitoinae Medrano, Kury & Mendes, 2021. But because of the weak support, and the lack of the conspicuous synapomorphies defining an expanded Taitoinae, it was left unassigned to any subfamily. Libitioides currently has a few species in North America and the Caribbean. The three species of Libitioides which occur in the United States were used in that analysis. These species appear both in the literature and on internet websites of macro photographers mostly identified as “Vonones ornata” or “Vonones sayi” (see below in the Examples of misidentifications section, within Results).

In this project we treat in detail the taxonomy of Libitioides and for that we access other species recorded from the USA which are allocated in other genera (such as Calicynorta Goodnight & Goodnight, 1943, and Cynortoides Roewer, 1912).

Aiming to reach diagnoses for the American species of Libitioides and to define their geographic distribution, we have examined material from several museums, some of it destroyed in the 2018 fire of the Brazilian National Museum (MNRJ). Besides non-type specimens from the USA, we studied type material of the relevant species, whenever possible, or at least photographic images of this material.

In order to maximize the number of geographic records and to refine the areas of distribution of each species, additionally to the study of specimens, we also gleaned information from a large number of photographic records as published on the Internet websites of naturalists/macrophotographers (Flickr, Bugguide, iNaturalist, Instagram).

Material and methods

We have looked for phenotypic characters with potential to allow distinction among the species, and easily verifiable by the study of photographs only. Five characters were then selected and mapped against individual records onto a political map of the eastern USA. We then tabulated the combinations of those five characters against the distribution to find unique combinations of characters that defined discrete species.

Abbreviations of nomenclatural acts are:

comb. nov. = combinatio nova = new combination
comb. rest. = combinatio restaurata = reinstated (or restored) combination
nom. rest. = nomen restitutum = name revalidated (or reinstated) from synonymy
syn. nov. = synonymum novum = new synonym (or new synonymy).

Because of the complex taxonomic story of the taxa involved, not only protonyms and aponyms (sensu Dubois 2000) are used in the logonymies of genera and species herein, rather a complete logonymy is given including chresonyms.

Terminology of scutal blots (chromatic designs, Fig. 1) on the dorsal scutum follows Kury & Medrano (2018) and Kury & Medrano (2016) for the outline of the dorsal scutum. We use herein Kury & Villarreal
(2015) and Medrano & Kury (2016) for the chaetotaxy of macrosetae on the ventral plate of the penis; and Kury (2016) for terminology of the microsetae.

Scanning electron microscopy was carried out with JEOL JSM-6390LV at the Center for Scanning Electron Microscopy of MNRJ and Rudolf Barth Electron Microscopy Platform of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute/ Fiocruz.

Abbreviations of the repositories cited are:

AMNH = American Museum of Natural History – Entomology, New York, USA
MCZ = Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA
MNHN = Muséum national d’histoire naturelle, Paris, France
MNRJ = Museu Nacional/UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
MTD = Museum of Zoology Senckenberg Dresden, Dresden, Germany
NHMUK:GDSLV = The Natural History Museum, London, UK – Collection Godman & Salvin
SMF = Naturmuseum Senckenberg, Frankfurt, Germany, Sektion Arachnologie
USNM = Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History – Entomology, Washington, DC, USA
ZMB = Zoologisches Museum (Humboldt Universität) [or Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin], Berlin, Germany
ZMUC = Zoologisches Museum (Humboldt Universität), Berlin, Germany

Results

Examples of misidentifications of the American species of Libitioides

There are several examples of species of “Vonones” reported in websites dedicated to imaging wildlife. USA-based users are predominant in this kind of site (e.g., iNat reports that there are 14 million observations from the American territory, https://www.inaturalist.org/posts/26101-world-inaturalist-participation). Accordingly, the names of the commonest or most conspicuous American species have a broader exposure

Fig. 1. Explanation of the terminology used here for the yellowish-white markings of the dorsal scutum in Cosmetidae C.L. Koch, 1839.
in several reports and may end up as part of the popular culture. On Table 1, a few examples illustrate the wide use of misidentified “Vonones” spp.

**Taxonomic history of Libitioides**

[1] The first cosmetid (ornatus)

There were already 54 species of harvestmen described in the world, when William Kirby (1819) described the first three Laniatores Thorell, 1876 (two from Brazil, one from Uruguay), placing them in the new genus *Gonyleptes* Kirby, 1819. In the very next paper in the taxonomic story of Opiliones Sundevall, 1833, Thomas Say (1821) described the first laniator from the USA, *Gonyleptes ornatum* Say, 1821. This was also the first species described of what we now know as Cosmetidae. Say was, therefore, the first to conceive what would later become the Laniatores (as we consider today), by recognizing the intimate relationship between what are now gonyleptids and cosmetids. Soon later, other researchers (Perty 1833; Hope 1836) proposed a different hypothesis (today refuted), by joining the cosmetids with what are now the Eupnoi Hansen & Sørensen, 1904.

Say described the new species *Gonyleptes ornatum* Say, 1821 (incidentally getting the grammatical inflection incorrectly, in what should have been *ornatus*, masculine instead of neuter gender) from Cumberland Island, Georgia and East Florida. Say’s description is detailed and accurate enough to allow this species to be recognized without mistake (Fig. 2a). The name ‘ornatus’ (‘decorated’), as applied to a cosmetid, is adequate enough, but it has exceedingly low discriminatory value, and indeed, it was later used to name several unrelated species. This species was first combined with *Cosmetus* Perty, 1833 only 50 years later by Butler (1873).

[2] The Texan caramel cosmetid (sayi)

Almost half a century after the description of *Gonyleptes ornatum*, Horatio Wood (1868) recorded this species from Texas. Wood’s redescription is clear enough to make evident it was an entirely different species, unarmed, “dark ferruginous” or light coffee colored with a pair of large diffuse “nearly black spots” on the posterior part of abdominal scutum (Fig. 2b).

### Table 1. Examples of misidentification in reports of “Vonones” spp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original ID</th>
<th>Our revised ID</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Vonones sayi</em></td>
<td><em>Pseudopucrolia discrepans</em> (Roewer, 1943)</td>
<td>Alagoas, northeastern Brazil</td>
<td>danpostou oficial (2022) O estranho aracnideio Vonones sayi que encontrei no mato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vonones ornata</em></td>
<td><em>Libitioides albolineata</em> (Sørensen, 1884)</td>
<td>Letcher County, Kentucky, USA</td>
<td>Lisa I. (2013) Photo#852380. Image online at: <a href="https://bugguide.net/node/view/852380/bgimage">https://bugguide.net/node/view/852380/bgimage</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vonones sayi</em></td>
<td><em>Libitioides albolineata</em> (Sørensen, 1884)</td>
<td>Fort Bragg, Cumberland County, North Carolina, USA</td>
<td>Elliott L. (2005) Photo#12435. Image online at: <a href="https://bugguide.net/node/view/12435">https://bugguide.net/node/view/12435</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vonones ornata</em></td>
<td><em>Libitioides albolineata</em> (Sørensen, 1884)</td>
<td>Bossier City, Louisiana, USA</td>
<td>Dallas Krentzel (2012) Purple harvestman <em>Vonones ornata</em>, a North American cosmetid. Image online at: <a href="https://flic.kr/p/boemkR">https://flic.kr/p/boemkR</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vonones sayi</em></td>
<td><em>Libitioides albolineata</em> (Sørensen, 1884)</td>
<td>Dorchester Co., Maryland, USA</td>
<td>Ashley Bradford (2020) Record 772290 in Maryland Biodiversity Project. Image online at: <a href="https://www.marylandbiodiversity.com/record/772290">https://www.marylandbiodiversity.com/record/772290</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eleven years later, Simon (1879) noticed that this “Gonyleptes ornatum” of Wood was a misidentification of a yet undescribed species. He strangely enough named this new species *Cynorta sayi*, in homage to Say (when the standard practice would have been to call it “woodi” after the person who did the misidentification). Simon also transferred both American cosmetids to the genus *Cynorta* C.L. Koch, 1839, erected 40 years earlier for Brazilian species.

**[3] The northernmost cosmetid (*albineatus*)**

Only five years after Simon had named *Cynorta sayi*, Sørensen (1884) baptized a third American cosmetid – *Cosmetus albolineatus* Sørensen, 1884 from New Orleans, Louisiana. Sørensen’s lengthy
Latin description is enough to allow recognition of this species (Fig. 2d). By then, the recognition of three USA species was clear enough, so that Weed (1893) also transferred *albolineatus* to *Cynorta* and easily summarized the distinction of the three American species of *Cynorta*. Weed offered redescriptions of those *Cynorta*, providing some additional records – in the case of *C. sayi* he also mentioned a distinct morph (see [7]) with a “yellow marking on dorso-meson behind eye eminence, and a transverse line near posterior margin of abdominal scutum.” In the case of *C. albolineata*, he also provided illustrations which match precisely Sørensen’s description and also considerably expanded the known distribution of the species. As for *C. ornata*, Weed described the variation of the “canary-yellow” markings and noted it as “exceedingly abundant in the pine woods under logs.”

[4] The humble Cuban cousin (*modestus*)

Banks (1909: 171) described the new species *Vonones modestus* Banks, 1909 from Cuba. This species was wrongly synonymized (by Roewer 1912, see [5]) with *Libitioides ornata*, a name used by Roewer (see [5]) which included all American cosmetids, and subsequently forgotten.

Four decades later Roewer (1947, see [7]) described a similar species from Cuba, placing it in *Platycynorta* Mello-Leitão, 1933, as *P. secunda* Roewer, 1947. In spite of Banks’ type of *V. modestus* being lost, his description matches in all details the male holotype of *P. secunda* (Fig. 3).

This Cuban species is extremely similar to *L. albolineata* and only a specific focused study will be able to establish its status. This species does not closely resemble any other Cuban cosmetid, and the hypothesis of introduction should also be considered.

[5] Roewer’s jumble

Carl-Friedrich Roewer created the new genus *Libitioides* Roewer, 1912 for *Cynorta sayi* and the new Mexican species *L. scabrissima* Roewer, 1912. Roewer (1912) consistently disregarded Simon’s name by using *Libitioides “sayi”* (between quotation marks). Alternatively, Roewer named that species “*Libitioides ornata* (Wood)”. Subsequently, he buried *C. albolineatus* (and *Vonones modestus*), in its synonymy (without seeing the holotypes).


Pickard-Cambridge (1904) had created the new genus *Metacynorta* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 for the new species *Metacynorta gracilipes* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 from Guatemala, and Roewer (1912) placed *Gonyleptes ornatus* Say, 1821 in *Metacynorta*. Mary Elizabeth Walker (1928), in her study of Ohio harvestmen, described the new species *Metacynorta denticus* Walker, 1928 from Clear Creek. She compared *M. denticus* to *M. ornata*, saying that it: “differs from it [*M. ornata*] in a sufficient number of characters to permit describing it as a new species.” However, she did not care to elaborate on those characters.


[7] The striped Texan morph (*Platycynorta depressa*)

Sørensen (in Henriksen 1932) described the new species *Cynorta depressa* Sørensen, 1932 from “America centralis” based on males and females in the Dresden Museum. The arachnid collection in Dresden has been destroyed during World War II, but a subsample survived in ZMUC, which has been examined by ABK (Fig. 4). Henriksen (the editor of Sørensen’s notes posthumously published) placed this species in the subgenus *Cynorta*, into which he synonymized a great number of generic nomina by
Fig. 3. *Libitioides modesta* (Banks, 1909), holotype ♂ of *Platycynorta secunda* Roewer 1947 (SMF RII 130) from Cuba. a. Habitus, dorsal view. b. Same, ventral view. c. Original Roewer’s label. d. Same as (a), detail of dorsal scutum and basal part of legs. e. Same, detail of basichelicere, trochanters I–III, protoglyphs and deutoglyphs. f. Same, dextrolateral view. Images courtesy Abel Pérez-González.
Roewer, including *Libitioides*. He commented: “This species is nearly [sic] related to *C. ornata* Wood, described above, and is thus to be referred to the genus *Libitioides* in the Roewerian system in spite of the different armature of the third and fourth abdominal somites.”

Mello-Leitão (1933) made this *Cynorta depressa* the type of his new genus *Platycynorta* (Fig. 2c). The rationale for the separation of both genera was given as: “Area III tuberculis rotundis areae primae similibus munita” [*Platycynorta*] X “Area III processis conicis duobus armata” [*Libitioides*].

![Fig. 4. *Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879), morph C, syntype, ♂ (ZMUC). a. Habitus, dorsal view. b. Same, sinistrolateral view. c. Same, ventral view. d. Same, detail of carapace, dorsal view. e. Labels.](image)
Roewer (1947: 8) described _Platycynorta secunda_ from Cuba. Our colleague Abel Pérez-González kindly shared his images of the holotype, and to our astonishment, we could not find any difference with Sørensen’s species _C. albolineata_ from USA. We do not know if under more thorough examination this species proves different from _L. albolineata_ (or even if the locality was mislabeled), therefore we simply associate this species with the Cuban _Vonones modestus_ and make the transfer to _Libitioides_ leaving it otherwise undisturbed.

Roewer (1952: 42) described the new species _Platycynorta transversalis_ Roewer, 1952 from Seagoville near Dallas, Texas. Roewer’s illustration is surprisingly faithful (showing the sinuous omega-stripe) and his text clearly mentions the typical granulated retrolateral patch on femur IV of male. Cokendolpher & Jones (1991: 86) commented about the unsatisfactory state of the taxonomy of American cosmetids and synonymized _Platycynorta transversalis_ with _Vonones sayi_.

Finally, Roewer (1957: 89) described yet another _Platycynorta, P. clavifemur_ Roewer, 1957 from Peru. It does not have anything to do with any of the species treated here and is referred below to the genus _Ambatoiella_ Mello-Leitão, 1943, to which we herein give an extended diagnosis.

**[8] California dreaming (Calicynorta bimaculata)**

Banks (1893: 150) described the new species _Cynorta bimaculata_ Banks 1893 from “California, San Diego”. After inclusion in the genus _Eucynortella_ by Roewer (1912), _C. bimaculata_ was given a genus of its own by Goodnight & Goodnight (1943: 643) – _Calicynorta_ Goodnight & Goodnight, 1943, the name emphasizing the occurrence in California. They presented an illustration of Banks type. Ten years later, _Calicynorta_ was synonymized with _Vonones_ by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a, see [9]). Kury (2003) revalidated _Calicynorta_.

Cokendolpher & Jones (1991: 86) and Cokendolpher (pers. com. to ABK, 1995) doubted California as correct finding locality, since no Cosmetidae has ever been collected anywhere in the USA west of Texas (Fig. 5). Moreover, the label only says “San Jose”, possibly in Costa Rica.

**[9] The Goodnights blender**

Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a) performed an important setback, clustering 64 genera of Cosmetidae into three genera, thus inexplicitly synonymizing _Metacynorta_ with _Vonones_, only mentioning the combination _Vonones ornata_ (instead of _ornatus_, see Kury (2003)) five years later (Goodnight 1958). Goodnight overlooked the fact that _Vonones_ is masculine, so the specific epithet should be inflected to match it as _Vonones ornatus_. This error propagated for decades until Kury (2003) noticed and corrected it.

**[10] What we are proposing herein**

We propose herein the recognition of three species of American _Libitioides_ based on our interpretation of exclusive sets of morphological features. After discarding the spurious report of occurrence of Cosmetidae in California, we find that the members of _Libitioides_ occur only in the eastern portion of the USA, with clear climatic constraints. _L. ornata_ occurs in the southeastern tip of this area (mostly the Florida Peninsula). The other two species are mostly allopatric, _L. sayi_ occurs almost exclusively in Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas while _L. albolineata_ occurs to the east of this area, up to the Atlantic coast.

**Distribution and climate**

The cosmetids of the genus _Libitioides_ in the USA are distributed exclusively in the Köppen-Geiger climate regions Cfa and Dfa (Fig. 5). The letters C and D (at the beginning of the acronyms) stand respectively for mesothermal and microthermal climates. This strongly suggests that the factors determining the distribution of these species are not directly temperature, but the absence of a dry season (second letter “f”) and the presence of a hot summer (third letter “a”). However, the Cosmetidae are conspicuously absent from the northern half of Dfa.
Recognition of morphs in *Libitioides*

We can recognize five morphs for the American species treated herein (Fig. 6), two pairs of them (B, C and D, E) merge into each other. Their marked features are listed below:

Morph A: *Libitioides ornata* (Fig. 6a). The strongly spined and profusely marked Floridan species. It seems endemic to the WWF ecoregion NA0529 (Southeastern conifer forests).

Morph B: “clean” *Libitioides sayi* (Fig. 6b). The Texan unmarked caramel *Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879) to the eastern part of Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas. This morph occurs exclusively in the type 8 biome of WWF (Temperate Grasslands, Savannas, & Shrublands), massively in WWF ecoregion NA0804 (Central forest-grasslands transition), extending marginally also into NA0805 (Central tall grasslands) and NA0806 (Edwards Plateau savanna).

Morph C: *Libitioides sayi*, morph “*depressa*” (Fig. 6c). The striped Texan morph recognized (but not named) by Weed is not viewed here as a separate species, which would have been properly called *Libitioides depressa* (Sørensen, 1932). This morph may be recognized by the caramel color (with dark patches on area III) just as in the typical *L. sayi*, but possessing a reduced arched chevron and well-formed omega-stripe almost as solid as in *L. albolineata*. Accordingly, Roewer’s *Platycynorta transversalis* fits with this morph but without any chevron. It occurs intertwined with the more typical *L. sayi* D morph, mainly in the Gulf Coastal Plain of Texas. WWF ecoregions: NA0523 (Piney Woods forests), NA0701 (Western Gulf coastal grasslands), NA0814 (Texas blackland prairies).

---

Fig. 5. Southeastern USA, with the Köppen-Geiger climate regions superimposed, showing the distribution of the American Cosmetidae C.L. Koch, 1839.
Morph D: *Libitioides albolineata* (Fig. 6d). The broad definition of *Vonones sayi* (sensu Cokendolpher & Jones 1991) is not supported here, so we recognize the widespread northeastern-most species (from Appalachian Highlands, including Piedmont, and Interior Plains, outspreading into Atlantic Plain) as *Libitioides albolineata* (Sørensen 1884) comb. nov. This species has a tuning-fork chevron (with a clear backbone at least over area I), omega-stripe open, arched frontwards, dissociated ribs, and dissociated marking on lateral areas. It occurs massively in the WWF biome 4 (Temperate Broadleaf & Mixed Forests), extending a little into biomes type 5 (Temperate Coniferous Forests) and 8 (Temperate Grasslands, Savannas, & Shrublands). WWF ecoregions with major occurrence of this species are: NA0402 (Appalachian mixed mesophytic forests), NA0403 (Appalachian-Blue Ridge forests), NA0404 (Central U.S. hardwood forests), NA0409 (Mississippi lowland forests), NA0412 (Ozark Mountain forests) and NA0413 (Southeastern mixed forests).

![Fig. 6. Schemes of scutal yellow markings and other features diagnosing the morphs discussed herein.](image)

- **a.** Morph A: *Libitioides ornata* (Say, 1821).
- **b.** Morph B: “clean” *Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879).
- **c.** Morph C: *Libitioides sayi*, striped Texan morph “*depressa*”.
- **d.** Morph D: *Libitioides albolineata* (Sørensen, 1884).
- **e.** Morph E: “yellow *Libitioides albolineata*”.
Morph E: “yellow Libitioides albolineata” (Fig. 6e). It occurs in the contact zone of L. sayi and L. albolineata, restricted to a small area mainly in the Gulf Coastal Plain of Texas. WWF ecoregions: NA0523 (Piney Woods forests) and NA0701 (Western Gulf coastal grasslands).

We are also marginally dealing with other species associated with Libitioides and its synonyms as well as the monotypic Calicynorta, mistakenly reported as being from the USA.

Platycynorta clavifemur does not share any special similarity with any Libitioides. Its distribution in NW Peru already hints at the alienness of it compared to Libitioides. We made an effort to relate this species to the described Andean genera, and found special similarity with Ambatoiella Mello-Leitão, 1943, so this species is below formally combined under that genus. Other genera from the Peruvian Andes such as Moselabius Roewer, 1956 and Socotabius Roewer, 1957 are surely closely related to Ambatoiella, and at least members of the Libitiinae, but in the absence of detailed information of those genera and of a phylogenetic analysis to test those hypotheses, we refrain from proposing a formal transfer.

Fig. 7. Southeastern USA, showing the contrasting occurrences of prevailing body color backgrounds in the four morphs B/C/D/E of Libitioides Roewer, 1912.
Calicynorta bimaculata is very similar to Holovonones compressus, a common south Mexican species. As it is known by a female only, we do not know if there are significant contrasts between Costa Rican and Mexican/Guatemaltecan populations. There seems to be small differences in the scutal armature and the two longitudinal stripes. Therefore, we include C. bimaculata in Holovonones as a distinct species and assume that the Costa Rican H. compressus must be H. bimaculatus instead.


Because the easy distinction of L. ornata from the other Libitioides, we have tackled here only the issue of distinction of the western species (morphs B and C: L. sayi) from the eastern species (morphs D and E: L. albolineata) by listing the main features which can be used for that purpose.

1) Color background of dorsal scutum and legs (Fig. 7). This character sharply distinguishes two almost allopatric species: (a) western (morph B, C, but also E): yellow to rusty-orange background, with two fuzzy dark spots on scutal area III; trochanters I–IV yellow, gradually getting darker (olive) distally and (b) eastern (morph D): body and legs uniform dark chestnut brown, with only a faint hint of darker spots at area III.

Fig. 8. Southeastern USA, showing the contrasting conformations of the chevron in the four morphs B/C/D/E of Libitioides Roewer, 1912.
2) Chevron (Fig. 8). We may distinguish three states of this character: [0] chevron entirely absent, [1] chevron reduced to a small median arch, [2] chevron complete, reaching laterals of carapace. The western species (morphs B, C) mainly lacks chevron or has it reduced (0 >> 1), while the eastern species (morphs D, E) mainly has well-developed chevron, sometimes reduced (2 >> 1).

3) Backbone (Fig. 9). We may distinguish four states of this character: [0] backbone entirely absent, [1] backbone formed by scattered dots, [2] backbone formed by one dash, [3] backbone complete, formed by chained dashes connecting chevron and omega stripe. The western species (L. sayi) always lacks any sort of backbone (only state 0), while the eastern species (L. albolineata) possesses an entire backbone which undergoes all stages of dissociation (1, 2, 3, and rarely 0).

4) Omega stripe (Fig. 10). We may distinguish seven states of this character: [0] omega stripe entirely absent (the classical sayi facies), [1] omega stripe almost entirely deteriorated, reduced to a single marginal dot, [2] a pair of minute lateral dots, [3] only a pair of tear-shapes dots on posterior margin.

Fig. 9. Southeastern USA, showing the contrasting conformations of the backbone in the four morphs B/C/D/E of Libitioides Roewer, 1912.
of area III, sometimes broken in two, [4] a pair or arches almost formed, but each one severed in more than one place, [5] a pair of entire arches, but clearly separated in the middle, [6] omega stripe complete, left and right halves joined in the middle. The western species mostly has no omega stripe and even when present it is rarely complete (states 1 to 4, the more dissociated shapes, correspond to the *L. depressa* morph C) while the eastern species has only the two more complete stages (states 5 and 6).

5) Ribs (Fig. 11). We may distinguish three states of this character: [1] ribs absent, [2] ribs poorly defined, formed by a few dots on groove I, [3] ribs more well-formed by dashed lines on grooves I and II. The western species (morphs B, C) entirely lacks ribs while the eastern species (morphs D, E) has all degrees of dissociation from entire ribs to none.

6) Markings on lateral areas. This character is exclusively present in the eastern species (morphs D, E) and it is very variable, from absent in both sides to present in a single side (asymmetric).

![Fig. 10. Southeastern USA, showing the contrasting conformations of the omega stripe in the four morphs B/C/D/E of *Libitioides* Roewer, 1912.](image)
Systematic accounts

Class Arachnida Lamarck, 1801
Order Opiliones Sundevall, 1833
Suborder Laniatores Thorell, 1876
Infraorder Grassatores Kury, 2002
Superfamily Gonyleptoidea Sundevall, 1833
Family Cosmetidae C.L. Koch, 1839
Subfamily Libitiinae Medrano, Kury & Mendes, 2021

Genus *Ambatoiella* Mello-Leitão, 1943

Diagnosis
Scutum outline alpha-type, with much elongated coda, lateral border in posterior view following the general body curvature. Protoglyph guards are very robust, triangular. Mesotergal grooves are shallow, straight. All scutal areas unarmed, III–IV however each with a transverse row of minute tubercles. Areas I–IV each with a pair of faintly colored rounded flecks. Cheliceral hand is not swollen, but basichelicerite of male is slightly stouter, with coarsely tuberculate posterior rim. Legs very short (all femora shorter than scutum). Coxa IV oblique, not surpassing coda in situ and projected laterally in dorsal view, with reduced apical apophysis and with groin warts. Femur IV sexually dimorphic, either with strongly clavate prolateral crest on proximal half (in *A. clavifemur*) or with a row of strong proventral spines increasing in size posteriorly (in *A. vigilans*). Basitarsus I thickened in male. Tarsal counts: 5(3)/7–10(3)/6/6. Male genitalia (only known from *A. vigilans*): VP (ventral plate) latero-distal corners wide, projected. Wattle is complete. MS (macrosetae) D1 is clearly smaller than C. Two long lateral patches of microsetae T4 without midfield.

Etymology
From the toponym Ambato + Latin diminutive suffix ‘-ella’. Gender feminine.

Placement
Originally in Cosmetinae, transferred to Libitiinae by Medrano et al. (2021).

Included species
*Ambatoiella vigilans* Mello-Leitão, 1943, *Ambatoiella sexpunctata* (Roewer, 1914) and *Ambatoiella clavifemur* (Roewer, 1957) comb. nov.

Combined distribution
PERU, Cajamarca and ECUADOR, Tungurahua.

*Ambatoiella clavifemur* (Roewer, 1957) comb. nov.

*Platycynorta clavifemur* Roewer, 1957: 89, figs 40–41.

Type data

Holotype
PERU • ♂; western Andean slope, Cajamarca, Llama; alt. 2200 m; 10 Jun. 1956; [Wolfgang Karl] Weyrauch leg.; SMF RII 11652 (examined).

Paratypes
PERU • 3 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀; same collection data as for holotype; SMF RII 11652 • 4 ♂♂, 4 ♀♀; Cajamarca, Cerro Churun, near Llama, between Chiclayo and Cutervo; alt. 2350 m; [Wolfgang Karl] Weyrauch leg.; Private Collection Weyrauch.

Comment
The species does not match the diagnosis of *Libitioides* (which in principle the former species of *Platycynorta* are expected to be assigned to), and in turn it matches the diagnosis of *Ambatoiella*. Emended diagnoses for both genera are given here so they can be compared.
**Ambatoiella vigilans** Mello-Leitão, 1943

Fig. 12a

*Ambatoiella vigilans* Mello-Leitão, 1943: 8, fig. 5.


*Vonones vigilans* – Goodnight & Goodnight 1953a: 60.

**Type data**

**Lectotype of *Ambatoiella vigilans***

**Paralectotypes of *Ambatoiella vigilans***
ECUADOR • 2 specs; same collection data as for lectotype; MNRJ 5018.

**Holotype of *Vononesta sexpunctata***
ECUADOR • ♂; Tungurahua, “Baños de Tungurahua”; alt. 1800 m; SMF RII 12777 (examined).

**Comment**

*Ambatoiella vigilans* and *V. sexpunctata* are nearly sympatric, both coming from Tungurahua State in Ecuador. The description and illustrations by Roewer are detailed enough to allow recognition of both being the same species.

Subfamily Cynortinae Mello-Leitão, 1933

Genus *Cynortoides* Roewer, 1912

*Cynortoides* Roewer, 1912: 61 [junior subjective synonym of *Cynorta* C.L. Koch, 1839 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 38); synonymy rejected by Kury (2003: 50)]. Type species by original designation: *Cynorta cubana* Banks, 1909.

**Diagnosis**

Scutum outline beta-type, scutum in lateral view growing strongly convex backwards. Protoglyph guards are small, blunt triangular. Scutal areas I, III and IV each with a pair of acuminate tubercles, growing larger backwards, so that those of area IV are clearly larger. Cheliceral hand is not swollen, but basichelicerite of male is clearly stouter, with a coarsely tuberculate posterior rim. Coxa IV with oblique orientation. Femur IV clavate and keeled only distally, pro-ventrally armed with a comb of tubercles somewhat fused to each other in the base. Basitarsus I thickened in male. Tarsal counts: 6(3)/14–17(3)/7–8/8–11. Male genitalia: VP subrectangular. Wattle is complete. MS D1 is almost as large as C. Two long lateral patches of microsetae T4 without midfield.

**Etymology**

*Cynortoides* derives from pre-existing genus *Cynorta* + Greek ‘εἰςδος’ (‘form’, ‘shape’). Gender feminine, as treated originally by its author (ICZN Art. 30.1.4.4) (Kury & Alonso-Zarazaga 2011: 50). ICZN Code Art 30.1.4.4: “A compound genus-group name ending in the suffix -ites, -oides, -ides, -odes, or -istes is to be treated as masculine unless its author, when establishing the name, stated that it had another gender or treated it as such by combining it with an adjectival species-group name in another gender form.”
Placemant
Originally in Cosmetinae, transferred to Cynortinae by Medrano et al. (2021).

Included species

Combined distribution
Bahamas, SE tip of Florida, Greater Antilles, except Puerto Rico.

*Cynortoides quadrispinosa* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1942

Fig. 12b

*Cynortoides quadrispinosa* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1942: 10, fig. 22.

Type data
Holotype
JAMAICA • ♀; Port Antonio; 25 Mar. 1935; F. Miller leg.; AMNH.

Paratype
JAMAICA • 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; AMNH.

Comment
Besides the species of *Libitioides*, there are unpublished records which should probably belong to *C. quadrispinosa* from the southeastern tip of Florida, around Miami City. The more typical species of *Cynortoides* hail from Cuba, while there are at least four others in Jamaica and Hispaniola which resemble more closely this Floridan cosmetid. Those Jamaican/Haitian/Dominican species are poorly studied, some only known from the female holotypes, therefore, it is not possible for now to use masculine sexually dimorphic features to look for identification clues. Due to the gap in distribution between these species, it is likely that the USA specimens are introduced from the Greater Antilles.

Genus *Holovonones* Roewer, 1912

*Holovonones* Roewer, 1912: 21 [junior subjective synonym of *Vonones* Simon, 1879 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a); synonymy rejected by Kury (2003: 64)]. Type species by original designation: *Paravonones compressus* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904.

*Calicynorta* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1943: 643 [junior subjective synonym of *Vonones* Simon, 1879 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 60); synonymy rejected by Kury (2003: 38)]. Type species by original designation: *Cynorta bimaculata* Banks, 1893. **Syn. nov.**

*Vononesta* Roewer, 1947: 10 [junior subjective synonym of *Vonones* Simon, 1879 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a); synonymy rejected by Kury (2003: 86)]. Type species by original designation: *Vononesta biangulata* Roewer, 1947. **Syn. nov.**

Diagnosis
Scutum outline alpha-type, scutum flattened in lateral view, lateral border in posterior view following the general body curvature. Protoglyph guards are triangular. Mesotergal grooves are shallow, straight.
Scutal areas I–IV are each armed with a pair of small flat tubercles which are white, sharply contrasting with the brown background, area V with a transverse row of a few such tubercles. Cheliceral hand is somewhat swollen in male, and the basichelicere of male is clearly stouter, with a coarsely tuberculate posterior rim. Coxa IV with oblique orientation. Femur IV sexually dimorphic, clavate in males, in female thinner and curved proximally. Basitarsus I thickened in male. Tarsal counts: 5(3)/8–9(3)/6–7/7. Male genitalia: VP subrectangular. Wattle is complete. MS D1 is clearly smaller than C. Two long lateral patches of microsetae T4 without midfield.

**Etymology**


---

**Fig. 12.** Cosmetidae C.L. Koch, 1839, species relevant to the present study, in vivo. a. Ambatoiella vigilans Mello-Leitão, 1943 from Ecuador, Tungurahua (©AB Kury & MA Medrano). b. Cynortoides quadrispinosa Goodnight & Goodnight, 1942 from USA, Florida (©Robin Gwen Agarwal). c. Holovonones biangulatus (Roewer, 1947) from Mexico, Chiapas (©Felix Fleck). d. Holovonones compressus (Pickard-Cambridge, 1904) from Mexico, Campeche (©Roberto Rojo). Photos are somewhat cropped. All images used here under written permission.
Placement
Originally in Cosmetinae, transferred to Cynortinae by Medrano et al. (2021).

Included species

*Holovonones biangulatus* (Roewer, 1947) comb. nov., *Holovonones bimaculatus* (Banks, 1893) comb. nov. nomen inquirendum and *Holovonones compressus* (Pickard-Cambridge, 1904).

Combined distribution
SE Mexico, Guatemala and Belize, with an isolated occurrence in Costa Rica.

*Holovonones biangulatus* (Roewer, 1947) comb. nov.
Fig. 12c

*Vononesta biangulata* Roewer, 1947: 10, pl. 2 fig. 3.

Type data

**Holotype**

GUATEMALA • ♀; Quetzaltenango; SMF RII 1517 (examined).

Comment

*Holovonones biangulatus* is a species from southern Guatemala and adjacent part of the Mexican state of Chiapas. It is recognizable by the L-shaped stripes on the laterals of the abdominal scutum, contrasting with the thicker arches of *H. bimaculatus* and *H. compressus*. No male has been reported so far.

*Holovonones bimaculatus* (Banks, 1893) comb. nov. nomen inquirendum

*Cynorta bimaculata* Banks, 1893: 150.

*Calicynorta bimaculata* – Goodnight & Goodnight 1943: 643, fig. 1.
*Vonones bimaculatus* – Goodnight & Goodnight 1953a: 60.

Type data

**Holotype**

COSTA RICA • ♀; [San José]; MCZ IV 14667 (examined by photo).

Comments on type data

Specimen originally reported by Banks as from “California, San Diego”. On MCZ website there are images of the holotype and the labels. One of them says clearly “San José”, and the specimen sheet reads “COSTA RICA, San José”. Neither San Diego nor California are mentioned anywhere in the labels or the associate data. Also in the same website it is said [incorrectly]: “there is no locality label with specimen”. Therefore, the ascription of “Costa Rica” to this locality “San José” is doubtful. There is no record of anything similar from Costa Rica. San José must be one of the commonest toponyms in Latin America. Given the great similarity of the images of the female holotype with *H. compressus*, and if this “San José” refers to a toponym in Mexico or Guatemala, this species could well be a senior synonym of *Holovonones compressus*. However, with such fragmentary evidence, we refrain from doing this synonymy here, especially because *Holovonones compressus* is a much more widely used name.
**Holovonones compressus** (Pickard-Cambridge, 1904)

Fig. 12d

*Paravonones compressus* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904: 552, pl. 52 fig. 4, 4a–b.
*Disvonones albilineatus* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1944: 106, figs 2, 4 [junior subjective synonym of *Paravonones compressus* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 62)].
*Disvonones bilineata* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1944: 107, fig. 1 [junior subjective synonym of *Paravonones compressus* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 62)].
*Disvonones albiornatus* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1944: 107, fig. 3 [junior subjective synonym of *Paravonones compressus* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 62)].
*Tecavonones clavipes* Goodnight & Goodnight, 1944: 109, figs 5–6 [junior subjective synonym of *Paravonones compressus* Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 62)].

*Vonones compressus* – Goodnight & Goodnight 1953b: 179.

**Type data**

**Holotype of Paravonones compressus**
GUATEMALA • ♂; Cahabon; Sarg. leg.; NHMUK:GDSLV (not examined).

**Paratype of Paravonones compressus**
COSTA RICA • 1 ♀; without further locality data; Sarg. leg.; NHMUK:GDSLV (not examined).

**Holotype of Disvonones albilineatus**
MEXICO • ♂; Oaxaca, Palomares; Jul. 1909; A[lexander] Petrunkevitch leg.; AMNH (examined).

**Holotype of Disvonones bilineata**
BELIZE • ♀; without further locality data; 9 Sep. 1939; I. Sanderson leg.; FMNH (not examined).

**Holotype of Disvonones albiornatus**
MEXICO • ♀; Quintana Roo, Chetumal; Mar. 1940; I. Sanderson leg.; FMNH (not examined).

**Paratype of Disvonones albiornatus**
MEXICO • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype; FMNH (not examined).

**Holotype of Tecavonones clavipes**
MEXICO • ♂; Yucatán, Tecax, alrededores de la Cueva de Sabacá; 26 Sep. 1943; B. Osorio leg.; AMNH (not examined).

**Paratype of Tecavonones clavipes**
MEXICO • 1 ♂; Quintana Roo, Chetumal; 6 Mar. 1940; I. Sanderson leg.; FMNH (not examined).

**Distribution**
BELIZE, GUATEMALA, MEXICO, Campeche, Chiapas, Oaxaca, Quintana Roo, Tabasco, Yucatán. The original record from Costa Rica probably refers to *H. bimaculatus*. 
Not currently included in any subfamily

Genus *Libitioides* Roewer, 1912

*Libitioides* Roewer, 1912: 14 [junior subjective synonym of *Vonones* Simon, 1879 by Goodnight & Goodnight (1953a: 60); synonymy disclaimed by Medrano *et al.* (2021: 26)]. Type species by original designation: *Libitioides ornata* Roewer, 1912.

*Platycynorta* Mello-Leitão, 1933: 112. Type species by original designation: *Cynorta depressa* Sørensen, 1932. **Syn. nov.**


**Diagnosis**

Scutum outline lambda-type, scutum flattened in lateral view, lateral border in posterior view clearly marked by a deep groove and raised to break the outline of scutum. Protoglyph guards are blunt triangular. Mesotergal grooves are obsolete. Scutal areas I, III and IV each with a pair of blunt tubercles (*L. ornata*: area III with robust spines tilted backwards and IV unarmed). Cheliceral hand and basichelicerite are sexually monomorphic. Legs moderately elongate (femora II and IV barely longer than scutum). Coxa and trochanter IV of male almost entirely parallel to the main body axis, so that femur IV lies straight, while in females the coxa/trochanter are inserted more obliquely and the femur is slanted. Coxa IV surpasses coda in situ only by its apical region, with well developed proapical apophysis and without groin warts. Femur IV sexually dimorphic, in male more thickly granulous and straight, in female thinner and curved proximally. Basitarsus I thickened in male. Tarsal counts: 5(3)/8–9(3)/6/6. Male genitalia: VP subrectangular. Wattle extends from the middle to the tip of the stylus. MS D1 is almost as large as C. Two long lateral patches of microsetae T4 without midfield.

**Etymology**

*Libitioides* from pre-existing genus *Libitia* + suffix ‘-oides’. Gender feminine, originally established by Roewer by inflecting the adjectival specific nomina in the feminine (ICZN Art. 30.1.4.4). *Platycynorta* from Greek ‘πλατύς’ (‘flat’) + pre-existing genus *Cynorta*. Gender feminine. *Denticynorta* from Latin ‘dens’ (‘tooth’) + pre-existing genus *Cynorta*. Gender feminine.

**Placement**

*Libitioides, Platycynorta* and *Denticynorta* originally in Cosmetidae Cosmetinae; left as Cosmetidae incertae sedis by Medrano *et al.* (2021).

**Included species**

*Libitioides albolineata* (Sørensen, 1884), *Libitioides modesta* (Banks, 1909) nom. rest., *Libitioides ornata* (Say, 1821), *Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879) and *Libitioides scabrissima* Roewer, 1912.

**Spurious included species**

Because the relevant genera were heterogeneous to begin with, there was a legacy of species of uncertain taxonomic position and which are all treated here: *Platycynorta secunda* Roewer, 1947 (Cuba), *Platycynorta clavifemur* Roewer, 1957 (Peru), *Libitioides riveti* Roewer, 1914 (Ecuador).

**Combined distribution**

Cuba, NE tip of Mexico, eastern/southeastern USA (map in Fig. 13).
Comment on relationships

Libitioides resolved as the sister-group of the Taitoinae in the phylogenetic analysis of Medrano et al. (2021). The authors, however, then refrained from including it in this subfamily because of the low resampling support, choosing instead to present a tighter Taitoinae (better supported by synapomorphies) as opposed to the “quasi-taitoine” with Libitioides. We here note that Libitioides seems to be also very closely related to the genera Paravonones Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 and Boneta Goodnight & Goodnight, 1944, which currently include six nominal species from Mexico, El Salvador and Guatemala and were not included in that analysis. Both genera share the scutal outline and armature, the vaulted laterals of dorsal scutum, monomorphic chelicerae, the sexually dimorphic insertion of coxa and trochanter IV. The species of Paravonones contrast with Libitioides by possessing more sinuous leg IV on males, with stronger armature. The type species of Boneta is very similar to Libitioides, contrasting with it by having remarkably granulated coxae.

Key to the species of Libitioides

1. Body densely covered with coarse granules; spines of area III small and curved backwards like a rose thorn; scutal area IV unarmed ..................L. scabrissima Roewer, 1912 comb. rest. (Mexico)
-- Body finely granular; scutal area III with pair of strong spines tilted backwards ..........................L. ornata (Say, 1821) (USA, Florida, Georgia)

Fig. 13. Southeastern USA, marked with abbreviations of American states, showing the distribution of the five species of Libitioides Roewer, 1912 as proposed in this paper.
KURY A.B. & MEDRANO M., On the species of *Libitioides* from the USA

1. Body finely granular; scutal areas III and IV armed with very small erect acuminate tubercles .... 2

2. Retrolateral ("inner") surface of femur IV with densely concentrated rows of granules ............. 3
   – Granulation of femur IV not especially concentrated on retrolateral surface ................................................................. *L. modesta* (Banks, 1909) comb. nov., nom. rest. (Cuba)

3. Backbone and ribs present; chevron complete or nearly complete; body and appendages background almost always uniform brown; paired darker spots on scutal area III indistinct ...................................................... *L. albolineata* (Sørensen, 1884) (eastern USA)
   – Backbone and ribs always lacking; chevron always dissociated; body mustard yellow with a pair of much darker spots on scutal area III ................................................................. *L. sayi* (Simon, 1879) (USA, only in Arkansas, Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas)

*Libitioides albolineata* (Sørensen, 1884)
Figs 14–16

*Cosmetus albolineatus* Sørensen, 1884: 592 [senior subjective synonym of *Libitioides ornata* Roewer 1912 by Roewer (1912: 15), with inverted precedence].

**Fig. 14.** *Libitioides albolineata* (Sørensen, 1884), ♂ (USNMENT 01538062) from Palmyra, Virginia. a. Dorsal view of body. b. Left femur and trochanter IV, dorsal view. c. Left metatarsus and tarsomeres of leg I, lateral view. d. Left chelicera dorsal view. Scale bars = 1 mm.
Cynorta albolineata – Weed 1893: 295, pl. 6 figs 1–2.
Libitioides albolineata – Medrano et al. 2021: 26, fig. 4H (nom. rest., comb. rest., mandatory inflection of epithet to match feminine gender).

Fig. 15. Libitioides albolineata (Sørensen, 1884) (USNMENT 0153862) from Palmyra, Virginia. a. Male, habitus, dorsal view. b. Same, sinistrolateral view. c. Same, frontal view. d. Same, ventral view. e. Same, panoramic, dorsal view. f. Female, habitus, dorsal view (USNMENT 0153862). Scale bars: a–c = 1 mm; d–f = 2 mm.
Fig. 16. *Libitioides albolineata* (Sørensen, 1884) (USNMEN'T 0153862) from Palmyra, Virginia, distal part of penis. **a.** Dorsal view. **b.** Ventral view. **c.** Dextrolateral view. **d.** Detail of glans, dextrolateral view. **e.** Apical view. Scale bars: a–e = 50 μm; d = 20 μm; e = 40 μm.
Diagnosis

Libitioides albolineata differs from the other species of Libitioides by the following characters: short legs (femur IV shorter than or equal to DS length), small rounded tubercles in area III (instead of spines), abdomen dorsally round without coda and yellow spots of DS with backbone and ribs (frequently fragmented) and may have lateral clouds. General color of body and appendages uniform brown or rarely light yellowish brown.

Type data

Syntypes of Cosmetus albolineatus
USA • 1 ♂; Louisiana, New Orleans; Krøyer leg; ZMB 8393 (examined) • 1 ♂, 2 ♀♀; same collection data as for preceding; ZMUC (not examined).

Holotype of Metacynorta denticus
USA • ♀; Ohio, Clear Creek; whereabouts unknown (not examined).

Records

There are numerous records in the literature, mostly misidentified as Libitioides sayi.

Distribution (Figs 7–11)
The species occurs in the Gulf Coastal Plain, the Interior Plateaus and Appalachians. The distribution comprises USA states of Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia. WWF Terrestrial Ecoregions: Appalachian mixed mesophytic forests (NA0402), Appalachian/Blue Ridge forests (NA0403), Central U.S. hardwood forests (NA0404), Mississippi lowland forests (NA0409), Southeastern mixed forests (NA0413), Middle Atlantic coastal forests (NA0517), Piney wood forests (NA0523), Southeastern conifer forests (NA0529, only the westernmost part, state of Mississippi), Western Gulf coastal grasslands (NA0701) and Central forest–grasslands transition zone (NA0804).

Libitioides modesta (Banks, 1909) comb. nov., nom. rest.

Fig. 3

Vonones modestus Banks, 1909: 171 [senior subjective synonym of Libitioides ornata Roewer 1912 by Roewer (1912: 15), with inverted precedence].

Platycynorta secunda Roewer, 1947: 8, pl. 1 fig. 2. Syn. nov.

Diagnosis

Libitioides modesta is strongly similar to L. albolineata, only differing from it by the two paramedian granules in area IV of abdomen (a row of granules in L albolineata).

Type data

Types of Vonones modestus
CUBA • Habana, Santiago de las Vegas; Baker leg.; USNM (not examined).

Holotype of Platycynorta secunda
CUBA • ♂; without further locality data; SMF RII 130/6a–b (examined by photo).

Paratype of Platycynorta secunda
CUBA • 1 ♀; without further locality data; SMF RII 130/6a–b (examined by photo).

Distribution (Fig. 13)
Only known from the type locality. WWF Terrestrial Ecoregion: Cuban dry forests (NT0213).
Libitioides ornata (Say, 1821)
Figs 17–19

Gonyleptes ornatum Say, 1821: 68.

Cosmetus ornatus – Butler 1873: 114.
Libitioides ornata – Medrano et al. 2021: 610.

Diagnosis
Libitioides ornata differs from the other species of Libitioides by the following characters: only moderately elongated legs (femur IV longer than DS length), high spines in area III slanted backwards (instead of rounded tubercles) and small coda. The yellow spots of DS with complete chevron and omega stripe, and backbone and ribs frequently fragmented. Lateral clouds are always present. General color of body and appendages uniformly brown.

Fig. 17. Libitioides ornata (Say, 1821), ♂ (USNMENT 01538061) from Hillsborough County, Florida. a. Dorsal view of body. b. Left femur and trochanter IV, dorsal view. c. Left metatarsus and tarsomeres of leg I, lateral view. d. Left chelicera dorsal view. Scale bars = 1 mm.
Type data

Types of *Gonyleptes ornatum*
USA • Georgia, Cumberland Island and Florida, Eastern portion; Cabinet of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia (not examined).

Records
USA, Florida, [Citrus County], Inverness (Weed 1893); [Dade County], Biscayne Bay; Lake Worth; Punta Gorda; Runnymede (Banks 1904). Orange County: University of Central Florida campus, 17 km E of Orlando (Corey & Taylor 1987).

**Fig. 18.** *Libitioides ornata* (Say, 1821) (USNMENT 0153861) from Hillsborough, Florida. Habitus. a. Male, dorsal view. b. Sinistrolateral view. c. Frontal view. d. Ventral view. e. Panoramic, dorsal view. f. Female, dorsal view (USNMENT 0153861). Scale bars: a–c = 1 mm; d–f = 2 mm.
Incorrect records (misidentifications)

Louisiana, New Orleans. Oklahoma, University of Oklahoma Biological Station. Texas. The records from Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana and Ohio, Oklahoma and Texas (Wood 1868; Roewer 1912; Worsham 1962; Edgar 1966) are an incorrect interpretation of the confusing literature, and refer to other species of *Libitioides*.

![Images of Libitioides ornata](image)

**Fig. 19.** *Libitioides ornata* (Say, 1821), ♂ (USNMENT 0153861) from Hillsborough, Florida, distal part of penis. **a.** Dorso-apical view. **b.** Sinistrolateral view. **c.** Ventral view. **d.** Detail of Fig. (a), macrosetae and lateral concavity of ventral plate. **e.** Detail of glans, sinistrolateral view. Scale bars: a–c = 50 μm; d = 20 μm; e = 40 μm.
Comment on the combination *Vonones ornata*

Whenever this specific epithet is combined under *Vonones* as *Vonones ornata*, it is incorrect from the nomenclatural viewpoint, because *Vonones* being a masculine noun, the specific epithet should be inflected as *ornatus* (mandatory inflection of adjective to agree in gender with the neuter generic name; ICZN Code Art. 34.2).

**Distribution**

Species known from the USA Atlantic coastal plain, including the coast of the Gulf of Mexico. WWF Terrestrial Ecoregions: predominant occurrence in the Southeastern conifer forests (NA0529), but absent from the Everglades flooded grasslands (NT0904).

*Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879)

Figs 20–22

*Cynorta Sayi* Simon, 1879: 200.

---

**Fig. 20. Libitioides sayi** (Simon, 1879), ♂ (MNRJ 58916) from Lake Kirby, Texas.  
a. Dorsal view of body.  
b. Left femur and trochanter IV, dorsal view.  
c. Left metatarsus and tarsomeres of leg I, lateral view. Scale bars = 1 mm.
Libitioides ornata Roewer, 1912: 15. ‡ Nomen made available by deliberate employment of Wood’s misidentification (ICZN Arts 11.10 and 50.1), but originally mistakenly attributed to Wood, 1868.  

**Syn. nov.**

*Cynorta* (Cynorta) depressa Sørensen in Henriksen, 1932: 386. Syn. nov.

*Platycynorta transversalis* Roewer, 1952: 42, fig. 23 [junior subjective synonym of *Cynorta sayi* Simon, 1879 by Cokendolpher & Jones (1991: 86)].


*Cynorta Sayii* – Banks 1893: 150.

*Cynorta sayi* – Weed 1893: 294.

*Cynorta sayii* – Banks et al. 1932: 33.

---

**Fig. 21.** *Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879), (MNRJ 58916) from Lake Kirby, Texas. Habitus. a. Male, dorsal view. b. Sinistrolateral view. c. Frontal view. d. Ventral view. e. Panoramic, dorsal view. f. Female, dorsal view (MNRJ 58916). Scale bars = 1 mm.
Cynorta (Cynorta) sayi – Sørensen in Henriksen 1932: 385.
Platycynorta depressa – Mello-Leitão 1933: 112.
Libitioides sayi – Mello-Leitão 1933: 112. — Medrano et al. 2021: 610 (comb. rest.).
Vonones sayi – Goodnight & Goodnight 1953a: 59.

**Diagnosis**

*Libitioides* sayi differs from the other species of *Libitioides* by the following characters: short legs (femur IV shorter than or equal than DS length), small rounded tubercles in area III (instead of spines), small coda. Frequently without any spots in DS, chevron and omega stripe may be present (frequently fragmented). Ribs, backbone and lateral clouds never present. General color of body and appendages mustard yellow with a pair of much darker spots on scutal area III.

Fig. 22. *Libitioides sayi* (Simon, 1879), ♂ (MNRJ 58916) from Lake Kirby, Texas, distal part of penis. a. Panoramic dorsal view. b. Ventral view. c. Detail of glans, dextrolateral view. d. Apical view. Scale bars: a = 100 μm; b, d = 50 μm; c = 40 μm.
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**Type data**

- **Types of *Cynorta sayi***
  USA • Texas, without further locality data; whereabouts unknown (not examined).

- **Syntypes of *Cynorta depressa***
  “CENTRAL AMERICA” • 3 ♂, 3 ♀; MTD • 1 ♂; ZMUC.

- **Holotype of *P. transversalis***
  [USA] • ♂; Texas, Seagoville, near Dallas; SMF RII 9795 (examined).

- **Paratype of *P. transversalis***
  [USA] • 1 ♀; same collection data as for holotype; SMF RII 9795 (examined).

**Incorrect records (misidentifications)**

CUBA, Santiago de Cuba, Santiago (Roewer 1912). USA, Alabama, Auburn (Banks 1900). Illinois, Southern portion (Weed 1893). Indiana, Cannelton; New Albany; Wyandotte (Banks 1907); “southern portion” (Goodnight 1958). Kansas (Banks 1893). Kentucky, Mammoth Cave (Roewer 1912). Louisiana, Southern portion; Morgan City; New Orleans. Mississippi, Agricultural College; Macomb. Oklahoma, Cleveland County; Comanche County; Grady County; Latimer County; Murray County (Roewer 1912; Banks et al. 1932). University of Oklahoma Biological Station; Sulfur: Platt National Park (Worsham 1962). Tennessee, Davidson County (Cokendolpher & Jones 1991). Texas, Brazos County; Harwood; Houston (Weed 1893); Jim Wells County; Alice (Dumitrescu 1976). From Florida into Texas, and from the Gulf states northward to southern Illinois, Indiana and Ohio (Goodnight & Goodnight 1953b). North Carolina (Roewer 1928). MEXICO, Nuevo León, 6 km SW of Bustamante; Redondo, 40 km S of Monterrey. Tamaulipas, 7 km NW of Gómez Farias; road cut near Gómez Farias; 4 km N of Joya de Salas (Goodnight & Goodnight 1973). There are two doubtful records of Sørensen (Henriksen 1932) from Texas and North Carolina.

**Distribution** (Figs 7–11)

Species occurring in the western part of the Central Lowlands, barely reaching the eastern part of the Great Plains. WWF Terrestrial Ecoregions: Piney Woods forests (NA0523), Western Gulf coastal grasslands (NA0701), Central forest/grasslands transition zone (NA0804), Central tall grasslands (NA0805, only in the extreme south in the state of Kansas), Edwards Plateau savanna (NA0806) and Texas blackland prairies (NA0814).

*Libitioides scabrissima* Roewer, 1912 comb. rest.

*Libitioides scabrissima* Roewer, 1912: 15.

*Vonones scabrissimus* – Kury 2003: 86.

**Diagnosis**

May be separated from its congeners by the coarsely granulated integument, area I with paramedian rounded granules, area III with slanted spines and unarmed area IV. Tibia and metatarsus with retrolateral row of small spines.

**Type data**

- **Syntypes**
  MEXICO • 3 ♂, 1 ♀; Puebla, Puebla; SMF RI 450 (examined).
Distribution
Only known from the type locality. WWF Terrestrial Ecoregion: Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt pine-oak forests (NT0310).

Subfamily Metergininae Medrano, Kury & Mendes, 2021

Genus *Rhaucoides* Roewer, 1912


**Diagnosis**
See Medrano *et al.* (2022: 206).

*Rhaucoides riveti* Roewer, 1914

*Rhaucoides* Riveti Roewer, 1914: 125, pl. 13 fig. 3.

**Type data**

*Holotype of *Rhaucoides riveti***
ECUADOR • ♂; Carchí, Tulecán; 3002 m alt.; 1901; Paul Rivet leg.; reported as in MNHN, but actually also in SMF.

*Paratype of *Rhaucoides riveti***
ECUADOR • 1 ♂; same collection data as for holotype; SMF RI 477 (examined by photograph).

*Syntypes of *Libitioides riveti***
ECUADOR • 1 ♂; [Carchí], El Pelado; 4151 m alt.; Jan. 1903; MNHN, lost? • 1 juvenile; same collection data as for preceding; Paul Rivet leg.; SMF RI 313, noted as ♀ on the label (examined by photograph).

**Comments about the type material**
Roewer (1914) studied the harvestmen collected by French ethnologist Paul Rivet (1876–1958) in two campaigns in the northern páramos of Ecuador (1901–1903). The material was officially split: one part was to be deposited in the MNHN, Paris and subsamples were taken to the Roewer Collection #1. As it happened, it seems that not all material was duly returned to Paris, but rather deposited in Roewer’s collection, from where it ultimately ended in SMF, because it was not located by occasion of ABK’s visit to Paris in 2017. Roewer mentioned only 1 male for *R. riveti*, but the type series (SMF RI 477) consists of two males. Maybe because of that indication, SMF curators called one of them “holotype” and the other “non-type”. As for *L. riveti*, the paper mentions “1 male, 1 juvenile”, of which, presumably the male would be in Paris. The juvenile is in SMF (SMF RI 313).

**Remarks**
Both external and genital morphology are conserved and well delimited in *Rhaucoides* members and *L. riveti* matches the genus diagnosis at least in external morphology. Decoloration is common in preserved opilions, and more common as in the ancient material studied by Roewer. Despite that, the juvenile syntype in SMF shows a pattern somewhat similar to *R. riveti*, a species described by Roewer with material collected by Rivet himself. Here, we propose the synonymy of *Libitioides riveti* with *Rhaucoides riveti* based on the morphological similarity and the geographic proximity (both type localities are in mountain tops 20 kilometers apart). Likewise, that decision avoids the creation of a secondary homonymy within *Rhaucoides* and the necessity of a replacement name.
Discussion

The combination of museum specimens and published photographs was essential for accurately identifying the different species of *Libitioides* and determine their distributions. By carefully selecting easily recognizable characters that could be evaluated from online photographs and mapping these characters individually, we were able to establish a combination of diagnostic characters for each species, particularly *L. sayi* and *L. albolineata*. This approach proved effective in accurately distinguishing these species. This method is likely only applicable to Cosmetidae due to the distinctive white markings on the dorsal scutum, which can be easily observed in photographs. It may not be effective for identifying other families of Laniatores.

We believe that American species of *Libitioides* are now adequately represented in our study, with neglected older names considered and synonymy clarified. Further investigation is needed for *L. scabrissima*, as it is poorly studied and has limited sampling. It is unlikely to also occur in the USA, specifically southern Texas, unless it is locally sympatric with the other two known species in that region. The American *Libitioides* serve as a prime example of a subject that could benefit from genetic analysis to better understand our findings, as the plastic phenotypic characters can obscure clear distinctions.

*Libitioides ornata* Roewer, 1912 vs *Cynorta sayi* Simon, 1879

Wood (1868) misidentified an as yet undescribed species as “*Gonyleptes ornatum*”, and this misidentification was already recognized by Simon (1879), who proposed a name for that species: “L’espèce rapportée par M.H. Wood au *Gonyleptes ornatus* Say, paraît en différer complètement et nous proposons de l’appeler *C. Sayi*.” Roewer (1912) corroborated Simon’s hypothesis that Wood’s material did not refer to *Gonyleptes ornatus* Say 1821, and correctly considered that it deserved a nomenclatural status of its own. However, Roewer proposed yet another new name – *Libitioides ornata* – keeping the same specific epithet used by Wood for a species in another genus (a procedure that he would adopt several times, often creating confusion, as shown in Kury 2020).

Both ICZN Art. 11.10 (Deliberate employment of misidentifications) and Art. 50.1 (Identity of authors) indicate that Roewer, by deliberately using Wood’s misidentification of *Gonyleptes ornatus* for the type species of the new nominal genus-group taxon *Libitioides* Roewer, 1912 denoted a new nominal species *Libitioides ornata* Roewer, 1912 (in spite of Roewer’s wrong assumption that Wood was to be the author). This nomen competes for synonymy where it is superseded by *Cynorta sayi* Simon, 1879.

The male holotype of *L. scabrissima* does not entirely match the general features of the remnant species of *Libitioides*, especially by the coarsely granular body, the coxae IV not parallel to the main body axis and the short, and the arched male femur IV.

The type locality of *Cynorta depressa*

In spite of the original data placing this species in Central America, we believe that it originated from a mislabeling. As demonstrated above, this specific Morph C possesses a narrow distribution in eastern Texas.
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