

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0).

Research article

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:C1452C13-09B5-42FB-A7F4-75A79799BEC7

New world lampyrid types at the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences

William LIMA[®]^{1,*}, Alexey G. MOSEYKO[®]² & Luiz Felipe Lima DA SILVEIRA[®]³

 ¹Laboratório de Sistemática e Ecologia de Coleoptera (LASEC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA). Av. Bem-Te-Vi, 8-406 – Campus II, Petrópolis, 69067-001, Manaus, AM, Brazil.
 ²Laboratory of Insect Systematics, Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, 199034 St. Petersburg, Russia.
 ³Biology Department, Western Carolina University, 535 Apodaca Building, 1 University Drive Cullowhee, NC 28723, USA.

> *Corresponding author: willgolima@gmail.com ²Email: chrysolesha@mail.ru ³Email: silveira.lfl@gmail.com

¹urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:72D0D809-D41B-4E49-B544-0FA705E50B95 ²urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:04E0214F-C257-4211-B1AD-68F7EEA83637 ³urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:8C11F007-55CB-4769-96D7-82D221203B3B

Abstract. New World lampyrid taxonomy faces severe taxonomic impediments. Most species remain known from original taxonomic descriptions only, often insufficient for accurate identification. Therefore, the study of type specimens is critical to ensure proper identification. The Russian entomologist Viktor Ivanovich Motschulsky was one of the most important authors of firefly (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) taxonomy during the XIXth century, and his work is still relevant today. Part of his material, including the type specimens of several species, is deposited at the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Unlike their European, Asian, and Oceanian counterparts, the taxonomy of Neotropical fireflies is still in its infancy, partly due to largely outdated literature and difficulties in accessing type specimens. Here, we review the type specimens of 38 firefly species deposited at ZIN, 15 of which are holotypes and 7 are lectotypes. For each specimen, the name-bearing status, condition of preservation, as well as the associated label data are provided. Lectotypes are designated for the following species described by Motschulsky: *Bicellonycha lividipennis, Ellychnia californica, Lychnuris klugii, Macrolampis longipennis, Pseudolychnuris suturalis, Telephoroides lineaticollis, Telephoroide occidentalis*.

Keywords. Firefly, Lampyrinae, Amydetinae, Cladodinae, Photurinae.

Lima W., Moseyko A.G. & Silveira L.F.L. 2024. New world lampyrid at the Zoological institute of Russia Academy of Sciences. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 943: 24–58. http://doi.org/10.5852.ejt.2024.943.2575

Introduction

Investigation of type material is critically important for any taxonomic work. Unfortunately, information on centuries-old type specimens may be hard to obtain for several reasons. Type specimens may have been destroyed or are poorly labelled, or their depository institution has not been clearly given. All these problems are relevant for the type material of Viktor Ivanovich Motschulsky (1810–1871, also known as Motchoulsky, de Motschoulsky), Russian amateur entomologist, who described numerous insect taxa (nearly 5000 according to Motschoulsky 1869), especially in the order Coleoptera, since the late 1830s. His last works were published after his death, until 1875. Despite Motschulsky's remarkable entomological knowledge, he was sometimes not very thorough in his work. His descriptions were often very short, and type specimens were not always explicitly mentioned, sometimes not discussed at all, or even containing misleading information (e.g. attributing wrong type localities; see Bousquet 1997). Since he deposited types in multiple institutions, including his private collection, Motschulsky's types are often difficult to find. Most of his type specimens are now in the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University (Moscow, Russia). However, many of his types are in the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (St. Petersburg), among other places.

Motschulsky's work is particularly relevant to Lampyridae taxonomy because he described several of the genus- and species-level names in this family (Motschulsky 1853). However, his original taxonomic descriptions lacked illustrations of diagnostic features and are often insufficient for the accurate identification of fireflies. Since then, such limitations have been largely overcome by past or ongoing taxonomic work in many parts of the world: North America (e.g., Fender 1970), Europe (e.g., Geisthardt 1982), Oceania and Eastern Asia (e.g., Ballantyne *et al.* 2019). However, with the notable exception of Zaragoza-Caballero's team in Mexico (cf. Perez-Hernandez *et al.* 2022), little taxonomy on Neotropical lampyrids has occurred since their original descriptions. Yet, type specimens are still critical for the identification of the whereabouts and state of preservation of type specimens from the Neotropical region is crucial to the continued improvement of firefly taxonomy worldwide.

Most of Motschulsky's descriptions of Lampyridae were published continuously from 1852 up to 1854 in his *Études Entomologiques*. During this time, Motschulsky was living in St. Petersburg, Russia, where he joined a group of amateur entomologists assembled around Édouard Ménétries (1802–1861). The latter was a curator of the entomological collection in the Zoological Museum of the Imperial Academy of Sciences (in St. Petersburg, Russia), which would become the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ZIN) in 1931. Members of this amateur group helped Ménétries prepare specimens, often taking some to their private collections. The subsequent curator of the ZIN collection, August Morawitz, discouraged this practice of taking specimens from the ZIN holdings (Krivokhatsky 2013).

Vasiliy Fomich Grey (ca 1815–1864, William Grey, Basile Grey), a gardener and member of the aforementioned entomological group (Reiman 1999), also had a private entomological collection. After Grey's death, his collection – which included some Lampyridae types described by Motschulsky – was added to the ZIN holdings after a period of unknown whereabouts. It is thought that Grey's collection was incorporated into ZIN via some other private collections (e.g., some specimens have also the label "ex. Tulinov coll."). Other parts of Motschulsky's collection were directly deposited in ZIN or thought to have been incorporated after his death, transferred to St. Petersburg in two boxes including types (Krivokhatsky 2013). All these materials are kept in ZIN.

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) recently catalogued the Lampyridae types described by Motschulsky deposited in the Zoological Museum of Moscow Lomonosov University (Moscow, Russia). However,

Motschulsky's types in St. Petersburg, are still not catalogued, several of which are even not recognized. Here, we fill this gap by cataloguing the New World Lampyridae species described by Motschulsky. We provide detailed information about type specimens of 38 lampyrid species and their respective labels, in an important step to facilitate research on New World lampyrids.

Material and methods

For taxonomic hierarchy, we followed the classification system from Martin *et al.* (2019). We list each species under its original combination and indicate the current valid name. A list of synonyms for all 70 New World lampyrids species described by Motschulsky is provided in Table 1.

We provide, for each specimen, habitus pictures and report the name-bearing status, condition of preservation (e.g., complete or with any missing parts), and any label data. Label data is given as follows: double quotes ("") for label data quoted verbatim, double forward slashes (//) to separate labels; double comma (") for line breaks, and square brackets [] for our comments or notes.

When the handwriting could be assigned to a writer, their name is listed. However, since Motschulsky's types came to ZIN in different ways, they were labelled inconsistently. Only in some cases, there are original handwritten labels of Motschulsky. Most of the types have labels written by some technician, particularly in Ménétries or Morawitz's time, and later pinned under the beetles. Some specimens bear handwritten labels by Ménétries and other handwritten labels of unknown origin, probably added to the specimens from Grey's collection before they reached ZIN. Specimens with all 4 label types can be assigned with certainty to Motschulsky's descriptions. If a type specimen is the only known specimen of that species to have been studied by Motschulsky, we considered it a holotype. For species whose lectotypes were designated by Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008), we list paralectotypes.

Motschulsky often cited some species attributed to other authors, but never published by them. In these cases, Motschulsky is considered the author of these species. Consider for example *Costalampys klugii* (Motschulsky, 1854): Motschulsky attributes the authorship of the original combination *Lychnuris klugii* to Dejean, but Motschulsky should be regarded as the author since Dejean never described it. Likewise, specimens identified by Motschulsky are deemed type specimens of these species. Some of the types were recognized and separated earlier, probably by G.G. Jacobson, who was a curator of Coleoptera in ZIN from 1897 to 1926. These types were placed in a small box and lent to a French specialist, interpreted here to be E. Olivier, who added bluish and reddish labels with his opinions on the status of the specimens before returning them. These specimens remained in this small box, most likely since the 1910s.

Regarding the fact that Motschoulsky's publications often have two different dates (one on first page, one on cover), we followed Griffin's (1936) dates based on information retrieved from the Moscow's Imperial Society of Naturalists.

Photos were taken with a Leica MZ 9.5 dissecting microscope coupled with a Leica DFC290 camera. The images were stacked using Helicon Focus software (Helicon Soft Ltd., Kharkiv, Ukraine). Images were edited in Adobe Lightroom® CC 2021 Software for light and contrast adjustment, and plates were assembled in Adobe Photoshop® CC 2021.

The following abbreviations are used for the collections mentioned in this paper: ZIN=Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences (St. Petersburg, Russia); ZMMU=Zoological Museum of Moscow State University (Moscow, Russia).

Subfamily	List of New World Lampyridae species described by Motschulsky	Current status	V, S, or H?	ZIN	ZMMU
Amvdetinae	Amvdetes fucata	Amvdetes fucata	V	Holotype	
Photurinae	Bicellonvcha deleta	Bicellonvcha deleta	V	_	Syntype Ø
Photurinae	Bicellonycha lividipennis	Bicellonycha lividipennis	V	Lectotype ♂	Paralectotype Ø
Photurinae	Bicellonycha melanura	Bicellonycha melanura	V	-	Syntype 💍
Photurinae	Blattomorpha lateralis	Photuris lateralis	V	_	_
Lampyrinae	Calyptocephalus stipulicornis	Calyptocephalus stipulicornis	V	-	Syntype Ø
Lampyrinae	Cassidomorphus silphoides	Cassidomorphus silphoides	V	-	Lectotype $\stackrel{\frown}{\bigcirc}$
Lampyrinae	Cratomorphus fuscipennis	Cratomorphus fuscipennis	V	-	Syntype Ø
Lampyrinae	Dilychnia basalis	Dilychnia guttula	S	Syntype Ø	Syntype 🖒
Lampyrinae	Dilychnia ruficollis	Dilychnia ruficollis	V	_	Lectotype \bigcirc
Photurinae	Dryptomorpha latefascia	Photuris latefascia	V	_	_
Lampyrinae	Ellipolampis cinctella	Photinus cinctellus	V	_	Lectotype \bigcirc
Lampyrinae	Ellipolampis elongata	Photinus elongatus	V	Paralectotype 🖒	Lectotype 👌
Lampyrinae	Ellipolampis impressicollis	Photinus impressicollis	V	_	_
Lampyrinae	Ellipolampis lateralis	Photinus lateralis	S	Holotype 👌	_
Lampyrinae	Ellipolampis limbella	Photinus limbellus	V	Paralectotype 👌	Lectotype \bigcirc
Lampyrinae	Ellipolampis suturella	Photinus suturellus	V	Paralectotype 🖒	Lectotype Ø
Lampyrinae	Ellychnia albilatera	Photinus albilaterus	V	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Ellychnia californica	Photinus californicus	V	Lectotype \bigcirc	Paralectotype 🖒
Lampyrinae	Ellychnia latipennis	Photinus latipennis	S	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Ellychnia mexicana	Photinus mexicanus	V	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Erythrolychnia Dimidiatipennis	Erythrolychnia Dimidiatipennis	S		Lectotype ♀
Lampyrinae incertae sedis	Ethra axillaris	Ethra axillaris	V	_	Syntype Ø
Lampyrinae	Lychnaeris (sic) triguttula	Lychnacris triguttula	V	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Lamprocera trimaculata	Lamprocera trimaculata	V	_	_
Lampyrinae	Lychnogaster angustatus	Lychnogaster angustatus	V	-	Lectotype Ø
Lampyrinae	Lychnogaster cinctus	Lychnogaster cinctus	V	Paralectotype Ø	Lectotype Ø
Lampyrinae	Lychnuris klugii	Costalampys klugii	V	Lectotype 👌	_
Lampyrinae	Macrolampis cincta	Photinus circumcincta	Н	Paralectotype ♂	Lectotype 👌

Table 1 (continued on next 2 pages). List of New World Lampyridae species described by Motschulsky. (V=Valid; S=Synonym; H=Homonym).

Subfamily	List of New World	Current status	V, S,	ZIN	ZMMU
	Lampyridae species		or H?		
	described by Motschulsky				
Lampyrinae	Macrolampis infuscata	Photinus infuscatus	V	-	Syntype Ø
Lampyrinae	Macrolampis longipennis	Photinus longipennis	V	Lectotype 👌	Paralectotype δ
Lampyrinae	Macrolampis longula	Photinus longulus	V	Holotype ♂	_
Lampyrinae	Macrolampis velutina	Photinus velutinus	V	_	Lectotype $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$
Lampyrinae	Mesolampis pectinicornis	Lucidota pectinicornis	S	_	_
Amydetinae	Megalophthalmus cinctus	Magnoculus cinctus	V	_	-
Cladodinae	Nyctocrepis demoulini	Nyctocrepis demoulini	V	Holotype 💍	_
Cladodinae	Nyctocrepis flabellicornis	Cladodes flabellicornis	V	Holotype ♂	_
Cladodinae	Nyctocrepis lamellicornis	Brasilocladodes lamellicornis	S	Paralectotype 👌	Lectotype ♂
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes bisignata	Aspisoma bisignatum	V	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes bremei	Aspisoma bremei	V	Syntype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes cassidea	Aspisoma cassidea	V	Holotype Ø	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes	Aspisoma	V	_	Lectotype \bigcirc
	impressipennis	impressipenne			
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes lineolata	Aspisoma lineolatum	V	Holotype $\stackrel{\scriptstyle au}{\scriptstyle \bigcirc}$	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes nitida	Aspisoma nitidum	V	_	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes palliata	Aspisoma palliatum	V	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes pellucida	Aspisoma pellucidum	V	Holotype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes sexpunctata	Aspisoma sexpunctatum	V	-	Syntype Ø
Lampyrinae	Nyctophanes vittula	Aspisoma vittulum	V	_	Lectotype \bigcirc
Lampyrinae	Pachylychnia lunata	Lucidota lunata	V	_	Syntype, 👌
Photurinae	Photuris columbina	Photuris columbina	V	_	_
Photurinae	Platystes axillaris	Photuris axillaris	V	_	_
Lampyrinae	Pseudolychnuris vittata	Pseudolychnuris vittata	V	Paralectotype d	Lectotype $^{\wedge}_{\bigcirc}$
Lampyrinae	Pseudolychnuris suturalis	Pseudolychnuris suturalis	V	Lectotype 🖒	Paralectotype $\stackrel{?}{\bigcirc}$
Lampyrinae	Pygolampis blanda	Pygolampis blanda	V	Syntype 💍	_
Lampyrinae	Pygolampis interrupta	Heterophotinus interruptus	S	Syntype \bigcirc	_
Lampyrinae	Pygolampis quadrinotata	Heterophotinus quadrinotatus	V	Paralectotype 👌	Lectotype ♀
Lampyrinae	Pyractomena vitticolis	Pyractomena vitticolis	V	Syntype 👌	_
Lampyrinae	Pyrectomena dorsalis	Pyractomena dorsalis	V	_	_
Photurinae	Pyrogaster dilatatus	Pyrogaster dilatatus	V	_	Syntype Ø
Photurinae	Pyrogaster grylloides	Pyrogaster grylloides	V	_	Syntype Ø
Lampyrinae	Pyropyga californica	Pyropyga californica	S	_	_

Table 1 (continued). List of New World Lampyridae species described by Motschulsky. (V=Valid; S=Synonym; H=Homonym).

Subfamily	List of New World Lampyridae species described by Motschulsky	Current status	V, S, or H?	ZIN	ZMMU
Lampyrinae	Pyropyga tarda	Pyropyga tarda	V	_	Lectotype \bigcirc
Photurinae	Telephoroides fruticola	Photuris fructicola	S	Paralectotype $\stackrel{\scriptstyle ?}{_{\scriptstyle \circ}}$	Lectotype 💍
Photurinae	Telephoroides lineatocollis	Photuris lineaticollis	V	Lectotype eee	Paralectotype Ø
Photurinae	Telephoroides occidentalis	Photuris occidentalis	S	Lectotype $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$	Paralectotype $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$
Photurinae	Telephoroides pallida	Photuris pallida	S	Paralectotype $\stackrel{\scriptstyle ?}{_{\scriptstyle \circ}}$	Lectotype 💍
Photurinae	Telephoroides vittigera	Photuris vittigera	S	_	Type Ø
Lampyrinae	Trilychnia flavipes	Lucidota flavipes	V	Holotype Ø	_
Lampyrinae	Trilychnia ruficollis	Lucidota ruficollis	V	Syntype Ø	Syntype $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$
Photurinae	Triplonycha vittipennis	Photuris vittipennis	V	_	_

Table 1 (continued). List of New World Lampyridae species described by Motschulsky. (V=Valid; S=Synonym; H=Homonym).

Results

Taxonomy

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758 Order Coleoptera Linnaeus, 1758 Suborder Polyphaga Emery, 1886 Superfamily Elateroidea Leach, 1815 Family Lampyridae Rafinesque, 1815 Genus *Amydetes* Illiger, 1807

Amydetes fucata Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 1–4

Amydetes fucata Motschulsky, 1854b: 25.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • ♂; "fucatus Motsch,, Brisil [sic]"; ZIN.

Preservation status

One antenna missing, elytra damaged, abdomen missing, otherwise well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Deyrolle, a famous insect dealer of the 19th century (e.g., Deyrolle 1879), as the source of the specimen, from Santa Catarina, Brazil.

Current status

Amydetes fucatus Motschulsky, 1854b.

Bicellonycha lividipennis Motschulsky, 1854b

Figs 5–7

Bicellonycha lividipennis Motschulsky, 1854b: 58.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here) BRAZIL • \mathcal{J} ; "Bicellonych [probably cut through without the "a" at the end of the genus name],, livida,, Motsch,, Brasil" // "Serra da Strella" // "" [red label left in blank]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

One of the labels says "livida Motsch. Brasil", as seen in another syntype of *Bicellonycha lividipennis* Motschulsky, 1854b (Kazantsev & Nikitsky 2008). Moreover, this specimen bears a red label, and fits the description given for *Bicellonycha lividipennis* Motschulsky, 1854b. Together, we interpret this as evidence that this specimen is a male syntype of *Bicellonycha lividipennis* Motschulsky, 1854b, which we hereby designate as lectotype. "Serra da Strella" probably refers to the Serra da Estrela Mountain, part of the Serra do Mar Mountain range, Southeastern Brazil. Several European naturalists set foot at the Serra da Estrela, where the diplomat and "academic extraordinary" baron Georg Heinrich von Langsdorff had a property, named "Fazenda da Mandioca" (Portuguese for "Cassava farm") (Papavero 1971). Langsdorff in fact shipped many specimens to ZIN (Papavero 1971). Therefore, it is reasonable to attribute "Serra da Strella" to the piedmont of the Brazilian Serra da Estrela, in today's Magé Municipality, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil.

Current status

Bicellonycha lividipennis Motschulsky, 1854b: 58.

Dilychnia basalis Motschulsky, 1853 Figs 8–10

Dilychnia basalis Motschulsky, 1853: 30.

Type material examined

Syntype

FRENCH GUYANA • sex undetermined; "basalis,, M. Par. Cayn." // "Typ" // "Dilychnia,, basalis,, Motsch." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Guyana."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Damaged, missing one antenna, terminalia, genitalia.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a syntype from ZMMU. Here we designate a second syntype. Motschulsky (1853: 30) described Dilychnia and only listed Dilychnia basalis in the publication, without providing a separate description for the species. A description for *Dilychnia basalis* was given by Motschulsky in a later publication (1854a: 7). Rendering *D. basalis* invalid would threaten the stability of this genus, since no other species were listed by the author. However, the article 12.2.6 of the International Code for Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999) ensures combined descriptions for monotypic genera and their respective species described before 1961. Therefore, by the provisions of article 12.2.6, the publication date of *Dilychnia basalis* is 1853, and not 1854 as given in Vaz *et al.* (2020).

Current status

Synonym of Dilychnia guttula (Fabricius, 1801).

Ellychnia albilatera Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 11–13

Ellychnia albilatera Motschulsky, 1854a: 3.

Type material examined

Holotype

MEXICO • Q; "Ellychnia, albilatera, Motsch" [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Typ" // "albilaterus,, Motsch" [with what it looks like "Lyb" crossed out] // "albilatris" // "Mexico."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Current status

Photinus albilaterus (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Ellychnia californica Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 14–16

Ellychnia californica Motschulsky, 1854a: 3.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here) USA • Q; "Ellychnia,, californica,, Motsch" [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Typ" // "californica,, M. P."// "Nov. Helvet."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) found a male syntype from ZMMU, which was missing its head, as well as its pro and mesothorax. Here, we designate a female lectotype from ZIN, which renders the ZMMU specimen a paralectotype. "Nov. Helv." (New Switzerland) probably refers to present-day Sacramento, California.

Current status

Photinus californicus (Motschulsky, 1854a).



Figs 1–7. 1–4. *Amydetes fucatus* Motschulsky, 1854b, holotype, ♂. **1**. Habitus, dorsal view. **2**. Habitus, ventral view. **3**. Antenna, lateral view. **4**. Labels. **5–7**. *Bicellonycha lividipennis* Motschulsky, 1854b, lectotype, ♂. **5**. Habitus, dorsal view. **6**. Habitus, ventral view. **7**. Labels.



Figs 8–13. 8–10. *Dilychnia basalis* Motschulsky, 1853, syntype, sex undetermined. 8. Habitus, dorsal view. 9. Habitus, ventral view. 10. Labels. 11–13. *Ellychnia albilatera* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, ♀. 11. Habitus, dorsal view. 12. Habitus, ventral view. 13. Labels.

Ellychnia latipennis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 17–19

Ellychnia latipennis Motschulsky, 1854a: 3.

Type material examined

Holotype

USA • \bigcirc ; "Typ" // "latipennis, Motsch"// "Amer. bor." // "Ellychnia, corrusca, L" [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Harris as the provider of this specimen. "Amer. bor." should refer to North America.

Current status

Synonym of *Photinus corrusca* (Linnaeus, 1767) (junior synonym: *Photinus latipennis* (Motschulsky, 1854a)).

Ellychnia mexicana Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 20–22

Ellychnia mexicana Motschulsky, 1854a: 3.

Type material examined

Holotype

MEXICO • ♀; "Ellychnia,, mexicana,, Motsch" [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Typ" // "mexicana,, M. P."// "Mexico."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Current status

Photinus mexicanus (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Ellipolampis elongata Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 23–25

Ellipolampis elongata Motschulsky, 1854a: 35.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here) CARIBBEAN, COUNTRY UNKNOWN • ♂; "Lampyris,, elongata,, mihi,, n. sp." // "Typ" // "Etiquette,, faussa!" [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.



Figs 14–19. 14–16. *Ellychnia californica* Motschulsky, 1854a, lectotype, \bigcirc . 14. Habitus, dorsal view. 15. Habitus, ventral view. 16. Labels. 17–19. *Ellychnia latipennis* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, \bigcirc . 17. Habitus, dorsal view. 18. Habitus, ventral view. 19. Labels.



Figs 20–25. 20–22. *Ellychnia mexicana* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, ♀. 20. Habitus, dorsal view.
21. Habitus, ventral view. 22. Labels. 23–25. *Ellipolampis elongata* Motschulsky, 1854a, paralectotype, ♂.
23. Habitus, dorsal view. 24. Habitus, ventral view. 25. Labels.

Preservation status

Fairly well-preserved, antennae and metatarsi lacking.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of specimens for this species. Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. Here, we designate a paralectotype from ZIN. A note added to the specimen suggests that the identification label is false ("Etiquette faussa!"). While we can't tell if the label is false or not, the specimen matches the original description, particularly for its unique pubescence covering its pronotum and elytra.

Current status

Photinus elongatus (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Ellipolampis lateralis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 26–28

Ellipolampis lateralis Motschulsky, 1854a: 35.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • ♂; "Ellipolampis,, lateralis Grey,, Bras" // "Ellipolampis,, lateralis Grey,, Bras." // "" [red label, left in blank]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Fairly well-preserved, lacking tarsi of pro, meso and metalegs.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Grey as the provider of this specimen.

Current status

Synonym of *Photinus luctuosus* Laporte, 1840 (junior synonym: *Photinus lateralis* (Motschulsky, 1854a)).

Ellipolampis limbella Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 29–31

Ellipolampis limbella Motschulsky, 1854a: 36.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here) BRAZIL • ♂; "limbellus,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Photinus,, limbellus,, Motsch." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of specimens for this species. Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. Thus, the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype.

Current status

Photinus limbellus (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Ellipolampis suturella Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 32–34

Ellipolampis suturella Motschulsky, 1854a: 36.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here) FRENCH GUYANA • ♂; "Photinus,, suturellus,, Motsch" [E. Olivier handwriting] // "suturellus Motsch" // "Guyana"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU, therefore the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype.



Figs 26–31. 26–28. *Ellipolampis lateralis* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, ♂. 26. Habitus, dorsal view.
27. Habitus, ventral view. 28. Labels. 29–31. *Ellipolampis limbella* Motschulsky, 1854a, paralectotype, ♂.
29. Habitus, dorsal view. 30. Habitus, ventral view. 31. Labels.

Current status

Photinus suturellus (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Lychnacris triguttula Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 35–37

Lychnacris triguttula Motschulsky, 1854a: 11 (as "Lychnaeris", a lapsus calami).

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • ♀; "Hyas " triguttula, Motsch." [E. Olivier handwriting]// "typ"// "triguttula, M. P." // "Brasil sept."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Current status

Lychnacris triguttula Motschulsky, 1854a.

Lychnogaster cinctus Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 38–40

Lychnogaster cinctus Motschulsky, 1854a: 8.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here)

BRAZIL • sex undetermined; "Lychnogaster,, cinctus M." [E. Olivier handwriting]// "typ"// "cinctus,, Motsch" // "Brasil mer."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Significantly damaged: broken elytra, lacking antennae, one mesoleg, two metalegs, and the abdomen.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. Thus, the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype. The original description lists "Brazil" as the type locality of Lychnogaster cinctus, whereas the ZIN paralectotype examined here has a label saying "Brasil. Mer.", interpreted here as Southern Brazil.

Current status

Lucidota cincta (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Lychnuris klugii Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 41–43

Lychnuris klugii Motschulsky, 1854a: 4.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here)

BRAZIL • ♂; "Lychnuris,, klugii,, M." [E. Olivier handwriting]// "typ"// "klugii,, Dej. Bras" // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

As pointed out by Silveira *et al.* (2021), Motschulsky misattributed the authorship of *Lychnuris klugii* to Dejean. Since Motschulsky, the rightful author, didn't determine the depository of his type specimens, the ZIN specimen is considered a syntype, and we designate it here as a lectotype of *Lychnuris klugii*.

Current status

Costalampys klugii (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Macrolampis cincta Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 44–46

Macrolampis cincta Motschulsky, 1854a: 37.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here) COUNTRY UNKNOWN • ♂; "Macrolampis,, circumcincta,, Gemm." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "cinctus,, Motsch" // "Typ"// "Bog."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Lacking antennae, otherwise well-preserved.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU, therefore the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype.

Current status

Synonym of *Macrolampis circumcincta* (Gemminger, 1870) (senior homonym) (junior homonym: *Photinus cinctus* (Motschulsky, 1854a)).

Macrolampis longipennis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 47–49

Macrolampis longipennis Motschulsky, 1854a: 37.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here) COLOMBIA • &; "Macrolampis,, longipennis,, Motsch." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Macrolampis,, longipennis,, Motsch." // "Typ"// "Columbia."; ZIN.



Figs 32–37. 32–34. *Ellipolampis suturella* Motschulsky, 1854a, paralectotype, \mathcal{O} . 32. Habitus, dorsal view. 33. Habitus, ventral view. 34. Labels. 35–37. *Lychnacris triguttula* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, \mathcal{Q} . 35. Habitus, dorsal view. 36. Habitus, ventral view. 37. Labels.



Figs 38–43. 38–40. Lychnogaster cinctus Motschulsky, 1854a, paralectotype, sex undetermined. 38. Habitus, dorsal view. 39. Habitus, ventral view. 40. Labels. 41–43. Lychnuris klugii Motschulsky, 1854a, lectotype, ♂. 41. Habitus, dorsal view. 42. Habitus, ventral view. 43. Labels.



Figs 44–49. 44–46. *Macrolampis cincta* Motschulsky, 1854a, paralectotype, ♂. 44. Habitus, dorsal view. 45. Habitus, ventral view. 46. Labels. 47–49. *Macrolampis longipennis* Motschulsky, 1854a, lectotype, ♂. 47. Habitus, dorsal view. 48. Habitus, ventral view. 49. Labels.



Figs 50–55. 50–52. Macrolampis longula Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, ♂. 50. Habitus, dorsal view.
51. Habitus, ventral view. 52. Labels. 53–55. Nyctocrepis demoulini Motschulsky, 1853, holotype, ♂.
53. Habitus, dorsal view. 54. Habitus, ventral view. 55. Labels.

Preservation status

Fairly well-preserved, lacking antennae, one mesoleg and one metaleg.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) found a male syntype at ZMMU, which was "badly damaged", missing its head, as well as its pro and mesothorax. Here, we designate a male lectotype from ZIN, which renders the ZMMU specimen a paralectotype.

Current status

Photinus longipennis (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Macrolampis longula Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 50–52

Macrolampis longula Motschulsky, 1854a: 38.

Type material examined

Holotype BRAZIL • ♂; "typ"// "longulus,, Motsch"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved, but lacking a mesoleg and one of the metatarsi.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of this specimen.

Current status

Photinus longula (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctocrepis demoulini Motschulsky, 1853 Figs 53–55

Nyctocrepis demoulini Motschulsky, 1853: 33.

Type material examined

Holotype BRAZIL • ♂; "Demoulinii [sic] Motsch,, Brésil"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Deyrolle as the source of the type material from Brazil. This species is known from Southern Brazil, including Santa Catarina (Bocakova *et al.* 2022). Since Deyrolle provided other lampyrid specimens to Motschulsky from this region, the holotype is possibly from Southern Brazil. Motschulsky (1853: 33) described *Nyctocrepis* and only listed *Nyctocrepis demoulini* in the publication, without providing a separate description for the species. A description for *Nyctocrepis demoulini* was

given by Motschulsky in a later publication (1854a: 10). Rendering *Nyctocrepis demoulini* invalid would threaten the stability of this genus, since no other species were listed by the author. However, the article 12.2.6 of the International Code for Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999) ensures combined descriptions for monotypic genera and their respective species described before 1961. Therefore, by the provisions of article 12.2.6, the publication date of *Nyctocrepis demoulini* is 1853, and not 1854 as given in Bocakova *et al.* (2022).

Current status

Nyctocrepis demoulini Motschulsky, 1853.

Nyctocrepis flabellicornis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 56–58

Nyctocrepis flabellicornis Motschulsky, 1854a: 10.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • 3; "Cladodes,, flabellicornis,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Cladodes,, stellatus,, Gorh." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved.

Current status

Cladodes flabellicornis (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctocrepis lamellicornis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 59–61

Nyctocrepis lamellicornis Motschulsky, 1854a: 10.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here) BRAZIL • ♂; "lamellicornis Motsch,, Lacordairei O[?] Brésil"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. Therefore, the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype.

Current status

Junior synonym of Brasilocladodes illigeri (Kirby 1832) (senior homonym).

Nyctophanes bisignata Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 62–64

Nyctophanes bisignata Motschulsky, 1854a: 12.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • Q; "bisignatum,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Aspisoma,, bisignatum,, M" [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved, missing one antenna.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of the type specimen for this species.

Current status

Aspisoma bisignatum (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctophanes bremei Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 65–67

Nyctophanes bremei Motschulsky, 1854a: 13.

Type material examined

Syntype

BRAZIL • ♀; "Bremei,, Bresil Deyrolle" // "Typ" // "Aspisoma,, Bremei,, M." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Cayenn."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Fairly well-preserved, missing one elytron.

Remarks

Motschulsky (1854) listed Deyrolle as the source of the specimen from Santa Catarina, Brazil. The type specimen analysed here has a label saying "Brazil Bremei Deyrolle". However, it has another label saying "Cayenn.". Because of the confusion with the labels, we decided to interpret this specimen as a syntype. We also note that McDermott (1966) misspelled the species name as *Aspisoma bremeri*, which should be considered a lapsus calami and not an available name.

Current status

Aspisoma bremei (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctophanes cassidea Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 68–70

Nyctophanes cassidea Motschulsky, 1854a: 14.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • ♂; "cassideum,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Aspisoma,, cassideum,, M." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Fairly well-preserved, abdomen missing.

Current status

Aspisoma cassideum (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctophanes lineolata Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 71–73

Nyctophanes lineolata Motschulsky, 1854a: 12.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • (3; "lineolatum,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Aspisoma,, bisignatum," [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil. sept."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of this specimen. The original description lists Brazil as the type locality, but with a question mark. The provenance label on the holotype says that it came from Northern Brazil.

Current status

Aspisoma lineolatum (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctophanes palliata Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 74–76

Nyctophanes palliata Motschulsky, 1854a: 13.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • \bigcirc ; "palliatum,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "etiquette faussa,, Aspisoma,, pallidum,, Oliv." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.



Figs 56–61. 56–58. *Nyctocrepis flabellicornis* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, *∂*. **56.** Habitus, dorsal view. **57.** Habitus, ventral view. **58.** Labels. **59–61.** *Nyctocrepis lamellicornis* Motschulsky, 1854a, paralectotype, *∂*. **59.** Habitus, dorsal view. **60.** Habitus, ventral view. **61.** Labels.



Figs 62–67. 62–64. Nyctophanes bisignata Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, ♀. 62. Habitus, dorsal view.
63. Habitus, ventral view. 64. Labels. 65-67. Nyctophanes bremei Motschulsky, 1854a, syntype, ♀.
65. Habitus, dorsal view. 66. Habitus, ventral view. 67. Labels.



Figs 68–73. 68–70. Nyctophanes cassidea, 1854a, holotype, sex undetermined. 68. Habitus, dorsal view.
69. Habitus, ventral view. 70. Labels. 71–73. Nyctophanes lineolata Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, ♂.
71. Habitus, dorsal view. 72. Habitus, ventral view. 73. Labels.



Figs 74–79. 74–76. *Nyctophanes palliata* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, \bigcirc . 74. Habitus, dorsal view. 75. Habitus, ventral view. 76. Labels. 77–79. *Nyctophanes pellucida* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, \bigcirc . 77. Habitus, dorsal view. 78. Habitus, ventral view. 79. Labels.

Remarks

E. Olivier added a label written "false label" for unclear reasons, and identified this specimen as *Aspisoma pallidum* Olivier.

Current status

Aspisoma palliatum (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Nyctophanes pellucida Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 77–79

Nyctophanes pellucida Motschulsky, 1854a: 12.

Type material examined

Holotype

BRAZIL • \mathcal{Q} ; "pellucidum, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Aspisoma, pellucidum, Motsch." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "Brasil."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved, but with one broken antenna.

Remarks

E. Olivier added a label written "false label" for unclear reasons, and identified this specimen as *Aspisoma pallidum* Olivier.

Current status

Aspisoma pellucidum (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Pseudolychnuris suturalis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 80–82

Pseudolychnuris suturalis Motschulsky, 1854a: 9.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here)

COLOMBIA • ♂; "suturalis,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Bog." // "Pseudolych,, sutural [abruptly abbreviated]" [E. Olivier handwriting] // "♀,, ved [?] antenom.,, filiform."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved, except for damaged antennae.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) found a syntype at ZMMU. The label says " \bigcirc ", but it is clearly a male. We hereby designate the ZIN specimen as a lectotype, rendering the ZMMU specimen a paralectotype.

Current status

Alychnus suturalis Motschulsky, 1854a (reviewed in Ladino-Peñuela et al., 2022).



Figs 80–85. 80–82. Pseudolychnuris suturalis Motschulsky, 1854a, lectotype, ♂. 80. Habitus, dorsal view.
81. Habitus, ventral view. 82. Labels. 83–85. Pseudolychnuris vittata Motschulsky, 1853, paralectotype, ♂.
83. Habitus, dorsal view. 84. Habitus, ventral view. 85. Labels.



Figs 86–91. 86–88. *Pygolampis blanda* Motschulsky, 1854b, Syntype, \mathcal{O} . **86**. Habitus, dorsal view. **87**. Habitus, ventral view. **88**. Labels. **89–91**. *Pygolampis interrupta* Motschulsky, 1854b, syntype, \mathcal{Q} . **89**. Habitus, dorsal view. **90**. Habitus, ventral view. **91**. Labels.

Pseudolychnuris vittata Motschulsky, 1853 Figs 83–85

Pseudolychnuris vittata Motschulsky, 1853: 9.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here)

COLOMBIA • ♂; "vittata,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Columbia." // "Pseudolychnuris,, vittata M." [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Antennae, metalegs, and abdomen lacking.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype at ZMMU. Therefore, the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype.Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype at ZMMU. Therefore, the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype. Motschulsky (1853: 30) described Pseudolychnuris and only listed *Pseudolychnuris vittata* in the publication, without providing a separate description for the species. A description for *Pseudolychnuris vittata* was given by Motschulsky in a later publication (1854a: 9). Rendering *P. vittata* invalid would threaten the stability of this genus, since no other species were listed by the author. However, the article 12.2.6 of the International Code for Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999) ensures combined descriptions for monotypic genera and their respective species described before 1961. Therefore, by the provisions of article 12.2.6, the publication date of *Pseudolychnuris vittata* is 1853, and not 1854 as given in Ladino-Peñuela *et al.* (2022).

Current status

Pseudolychnuris vittata Motschulsky, 1853 (reviewed in Ladino-Peñuela et al. 2022).

Pygolampis blanda Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 86–88

Pygolampis blanda Motschulsky, 1854b: 25.

Type material examined

Syntype

HISPANIOLA, probably Domenican Republic • ♂; "blandus,, Motsch" // "S. Domingo" // "Pygolampis,, blanda M" [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Fairly well-preserved, terminalia missing.

Remarks

Pygolampis blanda Motschulsky, 1854b (nec. *Photinus blandus* Jaquelin Du Val 1857) is currently listed as Lampyridae incertae sedis (McDermott 1966). This species was described from "St. Domingo", currently Hispaniola. Motschulsky lists Dejean as the author of the species, but the former must be recognized as author, since Dejean's name is in litteris. Lacordaire (1857) mentions *P. blanda* Dejean as from Brazil, which probably misled McDermott to list it from this country in his catalogue (McDermott 1966: 123). Based on its brief description and its type locality, *P. blanda* could be allied

to *Robopus* Motschulsky, 1853, but if transferred, it would cause a homonymy with *Robopus blanda* (Jaquelin Du Val, 1857), currently a junior synonym of *Robopus nefarius* (E. Olivier, 1912; see Keller & Branham 2021). Since *Robopus* is yet to be reviewed, and it is beyond the scope of this work to resolve this matter, we refrain from pursuing nomenclatural acts.

Current status

Pygolampis blanda Motschulsky, 1854b (incertae sedis lampyrid species according to McDermott 1966).

Pygolampis interrupta Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 89–91

Pygolampis interrupta Motschulsky, 1854b: 24.

Type material examined

Syntype

HAITI • \hat{Q} ; "vittata,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Columbia." // "Pseudolychnuris,, vittata M." [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of specimens for this species.

Current status

Pseudolychnuris vittata Motschulsky, 1854b (reviewed in Ladino-Peñuela et al. 2022).

Pygolampis quadrinotata Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 92–94

Pygolampis quadrinotata Motschulsky, 1854b: 24.

Type material examined

```
Paralectotype (designated here)
HAITI•∂; "var.,, quadrinotatus,, Motsch" // "Typ" // "Pygolampis,, 4notatus M" [E. Olivier handwriting]
// "Haiti"; ZIN.
```

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. Therefore, the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype.

Current status

Heterophotinus quadrinotatus (Motschulsky, 1854b).

Pyractomena vitticollis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 95–97

Pyractomena vitticollis Motschulsky, 1854a: 38.

Type material examined

Syntype

HAITI • \mathcal{O} ; "vitticollis, Manh. St [superscript] Dom" // "Typ" // "Lecontea,, vitticollis,, M." [E. Olivier handwriting] // "S. Domingo"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Complete, well-preserved.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed Mannerheim as the provider of specimens for this species. The original description lists "Antilles" as the type locality. We note that Motschulsky used *Pyrectomena* (misspelling of *Pyractomena*) several times in his work.

Current status

Pyractomena vitticollis Motschulsky, 1854a.

Telephoroides fruticola Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 98–100

Telephoroides fruticola Motschulsky, 1854b: 60.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here) ARGENTINA • ♂; "Photuris,, fulvipes,, Blanch.." [E. Olivier handwriting]// "typ"// "fruticola,, Eschsh. Bras." // "Buenos Ayres"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Head fallen from thorax, lacking one of the protarsus and one mesoleg.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. Therefore the ZIN specimen is a paralectotype. Motschulsky listed Eschscholtz as the provider of specimens for this species.

Current status

Photuris fruticola (Motschulsky, 1854b) is a junior synonym of *Photuris femoralis* Curtis, 1839 (senior synonym). Other junior synonyms of the latter are *Photuris fulvipes* (Blanchard, 1845), *Photuris occidentalis* (Motschulsky, 1854b), *Photuris pallida* (Motschulsky, 1854b), and *Photuris trivialis* Boheman, 1858.



Figs 92–97. 92–94. *Pygolampis quadrinotata* Motschulsky, 1854b, paralectotype, ♂. 92. Habitus, dorsal view. 93. Habitus, ventral view. 94. Labels. . 95–97. *Pyractomena vitticollis* Motschulsky, 1853, syntype, ♂. 95. Habitus, dorsal view. 96. Habitus, ventral view. 97. Labels.



Figs 98–103. 98–100. *Telephoroides fruticola* Motschulsky, 1854b, paralectotype, ♂. 98. Habitus, dorsal view. 99. Habitus, ventral view. 100. Labels. 101–103. *Telephoroides lineatocollis* Motschulsky, 1854b, lectotype, ♂. 101. Habitus, dorsal view. 102. Habitus, ventral view. 103. Labels.

Telephoroides lineatocollis Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 101–103

Telephoroides lineatocollis Motschulsky, 1854b: 59.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here) NORTH AMERICA, COUNTRY UNKNOWN • \mathcal{F} ; "var. lineatocollis,, Motsch." // "Photuris,, pensylvanica [sic],, var. lineatocollis,, M." [E. Olivier handwriting]// "Amer. bor."; ZIN.

Preservation status

Head fallen from thorax, lacking one of the protarsus and one mesoleg.

Remarks

Motschulsky misattributed the authorship of *Telephoroides lineaticollis* to Dejean. However, Motschulsky (1854) is the rightful author. Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) found a female syntype at ZMMU. Here, we found another syntype, male, from ZIN, which we designate as a lectotype.

Current status

Photuris lineatocollis (Motschulsky, 1854b).

Telephoroides occidentalis Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 104–106

Telephoroides occidentalis Motschulsky, 1854b: 60.

Type material examined

Lectotype (designated here)

COUNTRY UNKNOWN (original description lists French Guyana and Brazil) • ♀; "occidenta-,, lis,, Oliv." // "Photuris,, fulvipes,, Blanch." [E. Olivier handwriting]// "typ"; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved, lacking one elytron.

Remarks

Motschulsky listed "Ol." as the provider of specimens for this species, perhaps referring to the entomologist G. Olivier. Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) found a female syntype at ZMMU. Here, we found another female syntype from ZIN, which we designate as a lectotype. The ZIN syntype lacks a locality label, but the original description listed French Guiana and Brazil as type localities, which match the known distribution of the species (Souto *et al.* 2019).

Current status

Photuris occidentalis (Motschulsky, 1854b) is a junior synonym of *Photuris femoralis* Curtis, 1839 (senior synonym). Other junior synonyms of the latter are *Photuris fulvipes* (Blanchard, 1845), *Photuris fruticola* (Motschulsky, 1854b), *Photuris pallida* (Motschulsky, 1854b), and *Photuris trivialis* Boheman, 1858.



Figs 104–109. 104–106. *Telephoroides occidentalis* Motschulsky, 1854b, lectotype, ♀. 104. Habitus, dorsal view. 105. Habitus, ventral view. 106. Labels. 107–109. *Telephoroides pallida* Motschulsky, 1854b, paralectotype, ♂. 107. Habitus, dorsal view. 108. Habitus, ventral view. 109. Labels.



Figs 110–115. 110–112. *Trilychnia flavipes* Motschulsky, 1854a, holotype, sex undetermined. 110. Habitus, dorsal view. 111. Habitus, ventral view. 112. Labels. 113–115. *Trilychnia ruficollis* Motschulsky, 1854a, syntype, sex undetermined. 113. Habitus, dorsal view. 114. Habitus, ventral view. 115. Labels.

Telephoroides pallida Motschulsky, 1854b Figs 107–109

Telephoroides pallida Motschulsky, 1854b: 61.

Type material examined

Paralectotype (designated here, but see below) COUNTRY UNKNOWN • ♂; "pallida,, Motsch // "typ" // "Brasil." // "etiquette faussa,, Bicellonycha" [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Well-preserved, one of the elytra damaged.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) designated a lectotype from ZMMU. The specimen found at ZIN is therefore a paralectotype. While we cannot tell whether the label is false, the specimen matches the original description in all but the "projected posterior angles of the pronotum" (Motchulsky 1854), which is rather subtle in this putative paralectotype.

Current status

Photuris pallida (Motschulsky, 1854b) is a junior synonym of *Photuris femoralis* Curtis, 1839 (senior synonym). Other junior synonyms of the latter are *Photuris fulvipes* (Blanchard, 1845), *Photuris fruticola* (Motschulsky, 1854b), *Photuris occidentalis* (Motschulsky, 1854b), and *Photuris trivialis* Boheman, 1858.

Trilychnia flavipes Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 110–112

Trilychnia flavipes Motschulsky, 1854a: 6.

Type material examined

Holotype

FRENCH GUYANA • sex undetermined; "flavipes"// "Guyana." // "Lucidota,, banoni,, Cast." [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Incomplete, missing antennae, metalegs, and abdomen; right elytron is damaged.

Current status

Lucidota flavipes (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Trilychnia ruficollis Motschulsky, 1854a Figs 113–115

Trilychnia ruficollis Motschulsky, 1854a: 6.

Type material examined

Syntype

FRENCH GUYANA • sex undetermined; "ruficollis,, Dej. Bras." // "typ"// "Guyana." // "Lucidota,, discoidalis,, Cast." [E. Olivier handwriting]; ZIN.

Preservation status

Poorly preserved, lacking one antenna, one mesoleg, one metaleg, the abdomen; one of the elytra is damaged.

Remarks

Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) found a female syntype at ZMMU. Motschulsky misattributed the authorship of *Trilychnia ruficollis* to Dejean. Since Motschulsky, the rightful author, didn't determine the depository of his type specimens, the ZIN specimen is considered another syntype of *Trilychnia ruficollis*.

Current status

Lucidota ruficollis (Motschulsky, 1854a).

Discussion

The ZIN collection of Lampyridae includes important type material and therefore is critically relevant for the study of firefly taxonomy. We found a total of 38 type specimens of New World species described by Motschulsky at ZIN. Among them, 15 are holotypes and seven are lectotypes (see Table 1). Kazantsev & Nikitsky (2008) reviewed the Lampyridae material from the Motschulsky collection deposited at the Zoological Museum of Moscow University (ZMMU). Their work listed 62 species-group taxa in this collection, and designated 23 lectotypes, including 17 which belonged to New World taxa. Together, ZIN and ZMMU host the majority of Motschulsky's lampyrid types. Therefore, compilation of type species from both ZMMU and ZIN institutions provides a relevant reference for taxonomic studies since there are few monographic studies on Lampyridae. We hope that the information on name-bearing specimens presented here will assist in future taxonomic studies on New World Lampyridae.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Gabriel Biffi and Lesley A. Ballantyne for critical comments of earlier version of this paper. The study of A.G. Moseyko is a part of the state research project 122031100272-3.

References

Ballantyne L.A., Lambkin C.L., Ho J.Z., Jusoh W.F.A., Nada B., Nak-Eiam S., Thancharoen A., Wattanachaiyingcharoen W. & Yiu V. 2019. The Luciolinae of SE Asia and the Australopacific region: a revisionary checklist (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) including description of three new genera and 13 new species. *Zootaxa* 4687 (1): 1–174. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4687.1.1

Bocakova M., Campello-Gonçalves L. & Silveira L.F.L. 2022. Phylogeny of the new subfamily Cladodinae: neotenic fireflies from the Neotropics (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* XX: 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlab091

Bousquet Y. 1997. Taxonomic status of some species of Carabidae described by VI Motschulsky from North America (Coleoptera). *The Coleopterists' Bulletin* 51 (4): 329–342.

Deyrolle E. 1879. Demandes et offres. Le Naturaliste : Journal des Échanges et des Nouvelles 1: 1–2.

Fender K.M. 1970. *Ellychnia* of western North America (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *Northwest Science* 44 (1): 31–43.

Geisthardt M. 1982. Zur Kenntnis von *Nyctophila caucasica* (Motschulsky, 1854) und Beschreibung neuer Subspecies un einer Species aus dem Kaukasus (Coleoptera: Lampyridae: Lampyrini). 2. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Gattung *Nyctophila* Olivier 1884. *Entomologische Blätter für Biologie und Systematik der Käfer* 78 (2–3): 66–74. [In German]

Griffin F.J. 1936. XXI. On the dates of publication of Motschulsky (V. de), 'Etudes entomologiques', I.–XI., 1853–1862. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 17: 256–257. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933608655117

Kazantsev S.V. & Nikitsky N.B. 2008. Types of fireflies (Coleoptera, Lampyridae) in the Motschulsky collection at the Zoological Museum of Moscow Lomonosov University. *Bulletin de la Société des Naturalistes de Moscou* 113 (5): 23–30.

Keller O. & Branham M.A. 2021. A catalog of the Lampyridae (Coleoptera) of the West Indies. *Zootaxa* 4970 (1): 53–105. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4970.1.2

Krivokhatsky V.A. 2013 (ed.). An adventurous life of Victor Ivanovich Motschoulsky, described by himself. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, St. Petersburg. [in Russian].

Ladino-Peñuela A.G., Botero J.P. & Silveira L.F.L. 2022. First Phylogeny of Pseudolychnuris Reveals Its Polyphyly and a Staggering Case of Convergence at the Andean Paramos (Lampyridae: Lampyrini). *Insects* 13(8): 697. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13080697

Martin G.J., Stanger-Hall K.F., Branham M.A., Silveira L.F.L., Lower S.E., Hall D.W., Li X., Lemmon A.R., Lemmon E.M. & Bybee S.M. 2019. Higher-level phylogeny and reclassification of Lampyridae (Coleoptera: Elateroidea). *Insect Systematics and Diversity* 3 (6): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/isd/ixz024

McDermott F.A. 1966. Lampyridae. *In*: Steel W.O. (ed.) Coleopterorum Catalogus *Supplementa*, pars. 9: 1–149. Uitgeverij Dr. W. Junk. The Hague, Netherlands.

Motschulsky V.I. 1853. Lampyrides. *Études Entomologiques* 1: 25–58. Imprimerie de la Société de Litérature Finnoise, Helsinki. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.124602

Motschulsky V.I. 1854a. Lampyrides. *Études Entomologiques* 2: 1–14; 2: 33–43. Imprimerie de la Société de Litérature Finnoise, Helsinki.

Motschulsky V.I. 1854b. Lampyrides. *Études Entomologiques* 3: 15–26: 47–62. Imprimerie de la Société de Litérature Finnoise, Helsinki.

Motschulsky V.I. 1869. Genres et Espèces d'Insectes, publiés dans différents ouvrages par Victor Motschoulsky. *Horae Societatis Entomologicae Rossicae* Supplement of VIth Vol. (for 1868): 1–46.

Papavero N. 1971. Essays on the History of Neotropical Dipterology, with Special Reference to Collectors (1750-1905). Volume I. Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil.

Perez-Hernandez C.X., Zaragoza-Caballero S. & Romo-Galicia A. 2022. Updated checklist of the fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) of Mexico. *Zootaxa* 5092 (3): 291–317. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa./zootaxa.5092.3.3

Reiman A.L. 1999. English gardeners in Russia. To the compiling of a dictionary. Russia–England. Pages of a dialog. *Short content of the reports of the V Scientific Conference in Tsarskoe Selo*: 28–34. State Hermitage Publishing House, St. Petersburg [in Russian].

Silveira L.F.L. & Mermudes J.R.M. 2014. Systematic review of the firefly genus *Amydetes* Illiger, 1807 (Coleoptera: Lampyridae), with description of 13 new species. *Zootaxa* 3765 (3): 201–248. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3765.3.1 Silveira L.F.L., Mermudes J.R.M. & Bocakova M. 2016. Systematic review of the firefly genus *Scissicauda* (Coleoptera, Lampyridae, Amydetinae) from Brazil. *ZooKeys* 558: 55–75. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.558.6040

Silveira L.F.L., Rosa S.P. & Mermudes J.R.M. 2019. Systematic review of the firefly genus *Lucernuta* Laporte, 1833 (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *Annales Zoologici* 69 (2): 293–314. https://doi.org/10.3161/00034541ANZ2019.69.2.003

Silveira L.F.L., Roza A.S., Vaz S. & Mermudes J.R.M. 2021. Description and phylogenetic analysis of a new firefly genus from the Atlantic Rainforest, with five new species and new combinations (Coleoptera: Lampyridae: Lampyrinae). *Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny* 79: 115–150. https://doi.org/10.3897/asp.79.e67185

Souto P.M., Campello L., Khattar G., Mermudes J.R.M., Monteiro R.F. & Silveira L.F.L. 2019. How to design a predatory firefly? Lessons from the Photurinae (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 278: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2018.10.006

Vaz S., Mermudes J.R.M., Paiva P.C. & Da Silveira L.F.L. 2020. Systematic review and phylogeny of the firefly genus *Dilychnia* (Lampyridae: Lampyrinae), with notes on geographical range. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 190 (3): 844–888. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlaa041

Manuscript received: 17 December 2022 Manuscript accepted: 30 November 2023 Published on: 26 June 2024 Topic editor: Frederik Leliaert Desk editor: Thomas Guyomard

Printed versions of all papers are deposited in the libraries of four of the institutes that are members of the *EJT* consortium: Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France; Meise Botanic Garden, Belgium; Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium. The other members of the consortium are: Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain; Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Bonn – Hamburg, Germany; National Museum of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic; The Steinhardt Museum of Natural History, Tel Aviv, Israël.