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Abstract. The genus *Cicynethus* Simon, 1893, only known from a juvenile, it contains five species, all of which are here described or redescribed based on adults: *C. acer* sp. nov. (♂♀), *C. decoratus* (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. (♂), *C. floriumfontis* Jocqué, 1991 (♂♀), *C. mossambicus* sp. nov. (♂♀) and *C. subtropicalis* (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. (♂♀). The species are characterized by the genitalia, but also by the colour pattern. The distribution of the genus is extended to northern Mozambique. *Cicynethus hongfuchui* is transferred to *Storenomorpha hongfuchui* (Barrion, Barrion-Dupo & Heong, 2013) comb. nov. *Cicynethus acanthopus* Simon, 1910 is considered a species *incertae sedis*. A key to the species is provided.

Keywords. Range extension, southern Africa, Storenomorphinae.

Introduction

The faunistic approach of taxonomy, that prevailed into the mid sixties of the previous century, led to many misplaced species and synonymies as explained in Platnick & Raven (2013). Consequently, the spider family Zodariidae was also riddled with nomenclatorial problems and insufficiently described genera before the revision by Jocqué (1991). Many genera were only known from juveniles (e.g., *Systenoplacis* Simon, 1907, *Hetaerica* Rainbow, 1916), sometimes leading to unnecessary synonymies (see Jocqué 2009).

A perfect example in this respect is the genus *Cicynethus* Simon, 1910 that, at the time of the family revision (Jocqué 1991), was only known from two species, both exclusively represented by juveniles. The redescription (Jocqué 1991) was based on a single male only and females have still not been described for these species. The present paper aims to redefine the genus, this time based on both sexes, correct the misplacement of a few species, including the species from China, and describe two new species, thereby considerably expanding the distribution of the genus in Africa.
Material and methods

Specimens were observed, drawn and measured with a WILD M 10 stereo microscope. Details of the female genitalia and male palps were observed with a Zeiss Stemi 2000 stereo microscope. Female genitalia were digested using half a tablet of Total Care Enzima product (protein removal system originally for cleaning contact lenses and containing subtilisin A, 0.4 mg per tablet; Abbott Medical Optics, Santa Ana, CA) in a few millilitres of distilled water overnight and then immersed in 75% ethanol. Photographs of these female genitalia and male palps, as well as measurements and photographs of the habitus and details of mouthparts were taken with a Leica MZ16 A using the Leica Application Suite (LAS) automontage software (ver. 3.8). The habitus of some specimens was photographed by the focus stacking technique developed at the Royal Museum for Central Africa (part of the Digit 03 project) and explained in Brecko et al. (2014). For SEM photos, specimens were air dried (36 h), gold coated, examined and photographed with a JEOL 6480 LV scanning electron microscope. Illustrations were assembled and edited in Photoshop CS5 (white balance and colour contrast adjusted). Maps were created with the online tool SimpleMappr (Shorthouse 2010).

Types of the new species are deposited in the National Collection of Arachnids (Pretoria, South Africa) (NCA), the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History (TMSA, former Transvaal Museum) and the Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium (RMCA).

All palp illustrations are from left palps unless stated otherwise. All measurements are in mm unless otherwise indicated.

Abbreviations

ALE = anterior lateral eyes
ALS = anterior lateral spinnerets
AME = anterior median eyes
d = distal
DTP = distal tegular protrusion
E = embolus
F = femur
juv. = juveniles
MA = median apophysis
MNHN = Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, France (Christine Rollard)
MOQ = median ocular quadrangle
Mt = metatarsus
MtS = metatarsal stopper
NCA = National Collection of Arachnida, ARC – Plant Protection Research, Pretoria, South Africa (Petro Marais, Ansie Dippenaar-Schoeman, Robin Lyle)
NMBA = National Museum, Bloemfontein, Arachnida (Leon Lotz, Jan-Andries Neethling)
NMSA = KwaZulu-Natal Museum, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa (John Midgley)
P = patella
PLE = posterior lateral eyes
PLS = posterior lateral spinnerets
PME = posterior median eyes
PMS = posterior median spinnerets
RMCA = Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium
RTA = retrolateral tibial apophysis
sd = subdistal
t = tarsus
T = tibia
TMSA = Transvaal Museum, Pretoria, South Africa (now Ditsong National Museum of Natural History)

Results

Class Arachnida Cuvier, 1812
Order Araneae Clerck, 1757
Family Zodariidae Thorell, 1881
Subfamily Storenomorphinae Simon, 1890

Genus *Cicynethus* Simon, 1910

Type species

*Cicynethus peringueyi* Simon, 1893 (known from juvenile only).

Diagnosis

*Cicynethus* are medium-sized, elongated spiders with eyes in two procurved rows and spination on legs reduced to a few small distal spines on the ventral side of the metatarsi. It differs from *Chariobas* Simon, 1893, which has the eyes in a close group, and from *Thaumastochilus* Simon, 1897, which has strong spines on the anterior leg pair.

Description

Body. Medium-sized to large spiders (9.2–14.4 mm) with smooth to finely granulate teguments. Carapace longer than wide (L/W < 1.5–2.2) and fairly flat, protruding anteriorly (Figs 12B, 16D), widest at level of coxae II–III, not much narrowed in front to about 0.6–0.65 times maximum width (cephalic width measured on strong frontal bend), with few hairs apart from a few longer hairs in front of fovea and on clypeus. Cervical grooves faint. Profile highest just behind eyes and with slight dip at level of fovea.

Colour. Carapace medium to dark brown; chelicerae, mouthparts and sternum medium to orange brown; leg colour complex, frontal legs often darker and more uniform than posteriors; abdomen dorsum grey with species-specific colour pattern. Remark: colour pattern often darker in vivo (Fig. 15A–B), much more contrasted in alcohol.

Eyes. Eyes in two procurved rows (Fig. 5C). All eyes pale and subequal, AME usually the largest. MOQ quadrangular or slightly longer than wide. Clypeus straight, height 0.8 to 2 times diameter of ALE, with dispersed setae.

Prosoma. Chilum double, poorly sclerotized, about or slightly more than twice as wide as high, lateral margin poorly defined, with a few setae. Chelicerae without teeth, broad from base to tip with evenly dispersed setae; fangs shorter than wide at base. Labium diamond-shaped, widest in distal half, narrowed base. Endites roughly triangular, converging, with basolateral extension accommodating palpal coxa; with field of thick setae in distal half. Sternum elongated, roughly oval (Figs 8C, 9B, E, 12D), longer than wide, widest at middle, without triangular extensions; sometimes with a few precoxal sclerites (Fig. 16B); anterior margin straight or slightly concave.

Legs. Fairly slender, anterior legs more robust than posterior ones. Formula 1243 or 1423. Spination reduced to a few short ventral spines on Mt, arranged as 1–3 along distal margin and 1 or 2 subdistal behind the middle distal one. Paired tarsal claws with 10–14 teeth (Fig. 1A); unpaired claw smooth, strongly reduced on hind legs. Tarsal organ capsulate (Fig. 1E). Scopulae well developed. Metatarsi with
distal hood (MtS) extended to ventrolateral side (Fig. 1C). Tibial process well developed (Fig. 1D). One dorsal hinged hair on tibiae and metatarsi I and II. Bothria with one ridge (Fig. 1F–G).

**Female palp.** Female palpal tarsus conical, with short but strong prolateral spines (Fig. 8D); palpal claw with some small teeth at base (Fig. 1B); not turned inward; without distal patch of chemosensitive setae.

---

**Fig. 1.** SEM micrographs of *Cicynethus subtropicalis* (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. A–E. ♀ (NCA 2000/438). F–G. ♂ (NCA 2008/4266). A. Paired tarsal claw II, retrolateral view. B. Palpal claw, prolateral view. C. Metatarsus II, apex, showing metatarsal stopper (MtS). D. Tibial process (arrow), left leg IV, dorsal view. E. Tarsal organ, leg IV, dorsal view. F. Bothrium, metatarsus IV, dorsal view. G. Trichobothrium, base of RTA, retrolateral view. Abbreviation: MtS = metatarsal stopper. Scale bars: A = 0.1 mm; B–D = 50 μm; E–G = 10 μm.
ABDOMEN. Elongated oval or cylindrical, at least twice as long as wide; tracheal spiracle fairly small, somewhat advanced and provided with small rectangular scutellum. Both sexes with six spinnerets. ALS large, conical, biarticulate. PLS cylindrical, biarticulate, with 4 cylindrical gland spigots; PMS small, cylindrical, one-segmented with 2 cylindrical gland spigots. Colulus represented by haired field.

MALE PALP. Structure of male palp stable; RTA characteristic, with broad and long basal shaft ending in widened tip with two blades often perpendicular to each other (Fig. 2A–H). Cymbium without distal claw (Fig. 2A, D), with a few distal, slightly sinuous truncated spines (Figs 3F, 6B); tegulum often strongly swollen; embolus fairly short, originating prolaterally on posterior part of tegulum (Figs 2D, 3F, 6B); MA strongly curved outwards (Figs 3F, 6B).

EPIGYNE. With two central depressions separated by septum, in front of transverse plate (Figs 4E, 7D–H). Spermathecae large, reniform, far apart (Fig. 13F); copulatory ducts short, with thick walls.

Natural history
See the Discussion for the few observations concerning the habits of species of Cicynethus.

Distribution
Cicynethus is found in South Africa and Mozambique, with its northernmost locality in the Nyassa Province of Mozambique (Figs 21–22).

Species included
Cicynethus acer sp. nov. (♂♀)
Cicynethus decoratus (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. (♀)
Cicynethus floriumfontis Jocqué, 1991 (♂♀)
Cicynethus mossambicus sp. nov. (♂♀)
Cicynethus peringueyi Simon, 1893 (juveniles)
Cicynethus subtropicalis (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. (♂♀)

Key to the species (specimens in ethanol; C. peringueyi not included)

1. Cephalothorax uniformly dark chestnut brown, with small reddish spot in front of posterior margin (Fig. 12A, C); legs covered with numerous long hairs (Fig. 12A–D); male palpal bulbus, with well developed distal tegular protrusion (DTP), without retrolateral boss and not strongly swollen (Figs 2D, 13A–B); epigyne with thick transverse plate and short, well-defined thick median septum (Fig. 13D–F) .................................................. C. mossambicus sp. nov.
   – Cephalothorax differently coloured and with pattern; legs covered with shorter hairs; male palpal bulbus strongly swollen (Figs 2A, F–G, 10A–B, 18A, C–D, F) or with retrolateral boss (Figs 3D–F, 6A–B), DTP reduced or inconspicuous; epigyne with transverse plate narrower and with longer or faint median septum ........................................................................... 2
2. Entire body yellowish brown; base of setae with black spots throughout; legs spotted and with stripes on femora and patellae (Fig. 9A–F) ........................................ C. floriumfontis Jocqué, 1991
   – Differently coloured; base of setae without black spots; leg pattern different ...................................................... 3
3. Abdomen dorsum with large anterior diamond-shaped spot, without white longitudinal stripe in front of spinnerets (Fig. 7A–C); femora (F) and tibiae (T) II–IV with black ring (Fig. 8B) ................................................................. C. decoratus (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov.
   – Abdomen dorsum with simple longitudinal median stripe ending in short white stretch in front of spinnerets (Figs 3A, 4A–B, 5A, 15A–G); F and T without ring ...................................................... 4
4. Tip of RTA with sharp dorsal appendage (Fig. 3F); tegulum not strongly swollen, but with retrolateral boss (Fig. 3D–F); MA smoothly curved; embolus long, almost reaching distal tip of cymbium (Fig. 3F); epigyne with well defined, Y-shaped median septum (Figs 4E, 6C) .......... **C. acer** sp. nov.

- Palp with dorsal excrescence of RTA tip flat, lamellar; tegulum strongly swollen and bulging (Fig. 18A, C–D, F); MA frontal margin with boss; embolus reaching distal margin of cymbial alveolus; epigyne with faint median septum (Fig. 17A–H) ................................................................. **C. subtropicalis** (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov.

**Cicynethus acer** sp. nov.

*urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:227C79D9-B0F1-42EF-9D74-A9BFAB78873B*  
*Figs 3–6, 21*

**Diagnosis**

The male of *Cicynethus acer* sp. nov. is recognized by the sharp excrescence at the tip of the RTA and the retrolateral knob on the tegular swelling. The epigyne is similar to that of *C. floriumfontis*, but the median septum is provided with two conspicuous anterior arches in the latter.

**Etymology**

The species name ‘acer’ is an adjective meaning ‘sharp’ and referring to the sharp excrescence of the RTA.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**  
SOUTH AFRICA: ♂, MpumAlanga Province, Pilgrim’s Rest, Mariepskop, 24°32′ S, 30°52′ W, 25 Nov. (no year but before 1990), G. Van Dam leg. (TM 6162).

**Paratypes**  
SOUTH AFRICA: 1 ♂, together with holotype; 1 ♀, Limpopo Province, Pietersburg (now Polokwane) 23°54′ S, 27°23′ E, 4 Nov. 1916, C.A. Thompson leg. (TM 13375; formerly 2217).

**Other material**  

**Description**

**Holotype** (male)


**Colour** (Fig. 3A–C). Carapace medium reddish brown, darker on sides, with paler median spot near posterior margin and central dark band in front of fovea, widened behind and reaching ocular area; chelicerae medium brown with pale mediodistal patch; sternum medium brown, paler towards posterior, pale yellow tip, darker along margins; endites and labium pale yellow, darker along margins; legs pale yellow with all P medium brown on sides; leg I with distal half of T, Mt and t medium brown; leg II with Mt and t brownish yellow, legs III and IV with distal part of Mt and t brownish yellow; abdomen: dorsum with dark grey mottling provided with two median triangles and white median stripe in front of spinnerets; sides with dark grey mottling; venter pale with poorly defined dark stripes in posterior half.

**Sternum** (Fig. 3B). Elongated oval, 1.42 wide, 2.06 long. No precoxal sclerites.

**Chilum.** Two sclerites 0.10 high, 0.25 wide, with few setae.
EYES. AME larger than other eyes: ALE 0.20; AME 0.16; PLE 0.15; PME 0.15; ALE–AME 0.10; AME–AME 0.07; PLE–PME 0.15; PME–PME 0.08. Clypeus 0.28 high.

LEG SPINATION. With distal ventral spines Mt I: d2 sd1; Mt II: d2 sd1; Mt III: d3 sd2; Mt IV: d3 sd3.

LEG MEASUREMENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Cicynethus acer sp. nov., holotype, ♂ (TM 6162). A. Habitus, dorsal view. B. As preceding, ventral view. C. As preceding, lateral view. D. Palp, retrolateral view. E. As preceding, detail of RTA. F. Palp, ventral view. Abbreviations: E = embolus; MA = median apophysis; TS = tegular swelling. Scale bars: A–C = 5 mm; D, F = 0.5 mm; E = 0.2 mm.
LEGS. Mt I and II with four distal ventral spines in a series 1–3 with central ones closely set behind each other, Mt III with 2–3 and IV with 3–3.

MALE PALP (Figs 3D–F, 6A–B). RTA broad and straight, widened at tip with narrow lamellate extension pointing up and outward, with sharp tip in ventral view; tegulum with central swelling itself with retrolateral knob; embolus slightly curved, long, almost reaching tip of cymbium; MA sharply curved outward, distal section straight.

Paratype (female)
MEASUREMENTS. Total length: 17.04. Carapace: length 4.97, width 2.98, height 1.56.

Fig. 4. Cicynethus acer sp. nov., paratype, ♀ (TM 13375). A. Habitus, dorsal view. B. As preceding, closer view. C. As preceding, ventral view. D. As preceding, lateral view. E. Epigyne, ventral view. Scale bars: A–D = 5 mm; E = 0.2 mm.
COLOUR (Fig. 4A–D). Carapace uniform reddish brown, with paler triangle behind fovea reaching posterior margin. Chelicerae reddish brown, with pale patch near fangs. Endites reddish brown at base, fading to yellowish in front; labium reddish brown, paler in front. Sternum uniform dark reddish brown, paler near posterior tip. Legs I with F yellow, distal part and remainder of legs orange; legs II yellow, with distal orange rings on F and T orange, P, Mt and t orange; legs III–IV yellow, with narrow distal ring on F, T and Mt; entire P and distal part of t orange. Abdomen pale with three longitudinal dark stripes, the central one with two faint triangles in front of white longitudinal bar; sides dark mottled grey; venter with two ill-defined longitudinal stripes.

STERNUM (Fig. 4C). Elongated oval, 1.49 wide, 2.41 long. Precoxal sclerites in front of coxae II poorly delimited.

EYES. ALE 0.18; AME 0.18; PLE 0.16; PME 0.16; ALE–AME 0.07; AME–AME 0.08; PLE–PME 0.21; PME–PME 0.12. Clypeus 0.36 high.

LEG SPINATION. With distal ventral spines Mt I: d2; Mt II: d2 sd1; Mt III: d2 sd1; Mt IV: d2 sd1–1.

LEG MEASUREMENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>9.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Cicynethus acer sp. nov., juvenile (RMCA_ARA_131816). A. Habitus, dorsal view. B. As preceding, lateral view. C. As preceding, frontal view. Scale bars: 2 mm
**Epigyne** (Figs 4E, 6C). With sclerotized, boat-shaped transverse bar delimiting longitudinal oval openings separated by well developed, narrow Y-shaped septum; anterior part of openings narrowed by dark brown, slightly swollen sclerotized area.

**Variation**

In the juveniles (Fig. 5A–C) the colour pattern is much more contrasted than in the adults. The carapace has three well-defined dark bands and in the median stripe of the dorsal abdominal pattern, the two triangles in front of the white bar are much more clearly marked than in the adults.

**Distribution**

Known from the type locality in northern Mpumalanga Province, from Limpopo Province and from southern Mozambique (Fig. 21).

---

**Fig. 6.** *Cicynethus acer* sp. nov., genitalia drawings. **A.** Male palp, retrolateral view. **B.** As preceding, ventral view. **C.** Epigyne, ventral view. Abbreviations: E = embolus; MA = median apophysis; RTA = retrolateral tibial apophysis; TS = tegular swelling. Scale bars: 0.2 mm.
Cicynethus decoratus (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov.
Figs 7–8, 21

Chariobas decorata Lawrence, 1952: 195, fig. 24 (descr. ♂).

Diagnosis
The female of Cicynethus decoratus comb. nov., is recognized by the epigyne with broad plate deeply indented in front and by the dark rings on the ventral part of all Fe and TII–IV.

Material examined

Holotype
SOUTH AFRICA: ♀, Kwazulu-Natal Province, Port Edward, 31°03′ S, 30°13′ E, Nov. 1943, R. Lawrence and R. Holiday leg. (NM 3923).

Other material

Description

Holotype (female)

Measurements. Total length: 12.57. Carapace: length 5.11, width 2.91, height 1.42.

Colour (Fig. 7A). Carapace reddish brown, with paler median spot near posterior margin. Chelicerae reddish brown with pale patch near fangs. Endites reddish brown fading to yellowish in front; labium dark reddish brown, paler in front. Sternum uniform dark reddish brown, darkened along anterior margins. Legs yellowish orange; anterior legs darker reddish brown from P onwards; F with dark ventral distal spot; d FI with dark distal ring interrupted dorsally; F II–IV with dark ring on distal third; all P darkened on sides; T II with basal dark ring; T II–IV with dark ring on distal third. Abdomen cream, dorsum with dark dorsal pattern: lateral bands with three ill-defined triangles and median band consisting of diamond, stripe, 2 chevrons and 2-segmented spot in front of spinnerets; sides with one large frontal spot and two small posterior ones; venter with two longitudinal dark lines.

Sternum. Elongated oval, 1.35 wide, 2.20 long. Precoxal sclerites in front of coxae II.

Chilum. Two poorly defined triangles, each 0.09 high, 0.21 wide.

Eyes. ALE 0.18; AME 0.16; PLE 0.18; PME 0.16; ALE–AME 0.08; AME–AME 0.07; PLE–PME 0.16; PME–PME 0.08. Clypeus 0.30 high.

Leg measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>7.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEGS SPINATION. With distal ventral spines Mt I: d3 sd1; Mt II: d3 sd1; Mt III: d2 sd1; Mt IV: d2 sd1–1.

EPIGYNE (Fig. 7D). With broad plate, longer in front; with deep median indentation, without median septum; frontal corners of plate surrounded by dark circular area.

**Female** (NCA 2014/3298)


COLOUR (Fig. 7B). Carapace reddish brown, slightly suffused with black in cephalic region, with narrow long dark ‘V’ in front of fovea and four dark marginal triangles on either side. Chelicerae reddish brown with pale patch near fangs. Endites yellowish orange, darker at base. Labium reddish brown. Sternum

---

medium brown, darkened along margin and with faint dark spots in posterior half; legs yellowish orange, darkened to medium brown on M tI, tI and tII, and extremity of tIII and tIV; F and TII–IV with median ring on ventral half. Abdomen cream, dorsum with complex sepia dorsal pattern: diamond-shaped and 3-segmented black spot in front of spinnerets; sides with one large frontal spot and two small posterior ones; venter with two longitudinal dark lines.

**Sternum** (Fig. 8C). Elongated oval, 1.14 wide, 1.63 long. Precoxal sclerites in front of coxae II.

**Chilum.** Two poorly defined triangles, each 0.07 high, 0.18 wide.

**Eyes.** ALE 0.15; AME 0.16; PLE 0.18; PME 0.15; ALE–AME 0.05; AME–AME 0.03; PLE–PME 0.12; PME–PME 0.08. Clypeus 0.30 high.

---

**Fig. 8. Cicynethus decoratus** (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. A–D. ♀ (RMCA_ARA_131806). E. Subadult ♂ (same sample). A. Habitus, ventral view. B. As preceding, lateral view. C. Prosoma, ventral view. D. Female palp, prolateral view. E. Habitus, dorsal view. Scale bars: A–B, E = 2 mm; C–D = 1 mm.
LEGS. All Mt with four distal ventral spines in a series 1–2–1 with central ones closely set behind each other.

EPIGYNE (Fig. 7E). With broad plate, longer in front; with deep median indentation; produced into median septum; frontal corners of plate surrounded by dark circular area.

Male
Unknown. Subadult male (Fig. 8E) with contrasted pattern on carapace and abdomen.

Distribution
Known from the vicinity of Port Edward (Fig. 21).

Variation
Total length: 9.23–12.57. Carapace: length 4.12–5.11, width 2.34–2.91. The lateral dark triangles on the carapace may be very vague (Fig. 7C). The median septum in the epigyne is sometimes strongly developed, as in the female from Ingogo Forest (RMCA_ARA_131806) (Fig. 7F, H). Juveniles (n = 16, including subadult males and females from RMCA_ARA_131806) have a yellow carapace with a medium brown pattern consisting of a median band widening from the fovea towards the front, including the eye region and margins with a row of dark coalescing triangular spots (Fig. 8E); sternum with broad dark margins; legs and abdomen as in the adult female.

Cicynethus floriumfontis Jocqué, 1991
Figs 2A–C, 9–11, 21


Diagnosis
Both male and female of Cicynethus floriumfontis are recognized by the colour pattern, with numerous small dark spots and the broad dark bands on the carapace, the central one not reaching the PME.

Material examined
Holotype

Other material
SOUTH AFRICA: 1 ♂, Eastern Cape Province, Middelburg, 31°49′ S, 25°00′ E, pittraps, 8 Sep. 1995, M. de Jager leg. (NCA 95/394); 1 ♂, same collection data as for preceding but 3 Sep. 1995 (NCA 95/358); 1 ♀, same collection data as for preceding but 15 Mar. 1995 (NCA 95/243); 2 ♂♂, associated with termites, same collection data as for preceding but 8 May 1991 (NCA 91/1051).
Description

Male (NCA 95/394)


Colour (Fig. 9A–C). Carapace brownish yellow with many tiny dark dots, brown median band widening towards the front, not reaching eye region; broad dark marginal bands with irregularly serrated median margin; chelicerae medium brown with darker condyle and dark spot near fang insertion and pale mediodistal spot; endites yellow; labium medium brown; sternum with yellow centre, darker margins

and medium brown anterior part (Fig. 9B); legs brownish yellow with many dark spots at setae sockets; spots on F coalescing into dark dorsal median stripe (Fig. 9A); all P, T I and II, Mt I with dark pro- and retrolateral stripes; distal dorsal margin of trochanters and coxae brown; abdomen cream, sparsely dotted with small darker spots; epiandrum orange; spinnerets yellow (Fig. 9A–B).

Sternum (Fig. 9B). Elongated oval, 1.63 wide, 2.20 long. No precoxal sclerites.

Chilum. Two poorly defined triangles, each 0.11 high, 0.23 wide.

EYES. ALE 0.18; AME 0.23; PLE 0.18; PME 0.16; ALE–AME 0.08; AME–AME 0.08; PLE–PME 0.25; PME–PME 0.10. Clypeus 0.36 high.

**Leg Measurements.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>13.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>11.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>11.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legs. With numerous long, hinged hairs. Spination: All Mt with four distal ventral spines in a series d1 sd2–1 with central ones closely set behind each other.

**Male Palp** (Figs 10A–D, 11A–B). RTA large, broad, dorsal margin at base with shallow triangular extension; distal extremity complex, with two flat ledges, one transverse, broad, turned upwards, one longitudinal, much smaller, with rounded extremity; cymbium with two distal curved spines and one prolateral spine; tegulum strongly swollen, with sperm duct sinus, narrow, distal part entering embolus invisible; embolus membranous at base, slightly curved; MA almost straight, pointing out and backwards.

**Female** (NCA 95//243)


**Colour** (Fig. 9D–F). Very similar to male except sternum almost entirely yellowish brown with narrow darker margin (Fig. 9E).

**Sternum** (Fig. 9E). Elongated oval, 1.78 wide, 2.34 long. Precoxal sclerites at coxae II.

**Chilum.** Two poorly defined triangles, each 0.10 high, 0.26 wide.

EYES. ALE 0.12; AME 0.18; PLE 0.15; PME 0.13; ALE–AME 0.10; AME–AME 0.13; PLE–PME 0.28; PME–PME 0.15. Clypeus 0.49 high.

**Leg Measurements.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>12.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>10.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legs. With numerous long, hinged hairs. Spination: as in male.

**Epigyne** (Figs 10E, 11C). Atria large, strongly curved, separated by well-developed, narrow median septum ending anteriorly in two arches.

**Variation**

The colour pattern of the males, mainly that of the legs and the spots on the abdomen, may vary in intensity. The number of distal cymbial spines varies between two and five.
**Distribution**

Known from two localities in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa (Fig. 21).

**Natural history**

No details are known on the habitat in which the specimens were collected. One specimen was ‘caught in association with termites’ without further explanation.

---

**Fig. 11. Cicynethus floriumfontis** Jocqué, 1991, genitalia drawings. **A–B**. ♂ (NCA 95/394). **C**. ♀ (NCA 95/243). **A**. Male palp, ventral view. **B**. As preceding, retrolateral view. **C**. Epigyne, ventral view. Scale bars: 0.2 mm.
Cicynethus mossambicus sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:EF8D0370-1C32-4EB9-91DD-97BD0367EBF7
Figs 2D–E, 12–14, 22

Diagnosis
Both male and female Cicynethus mossambicus sp. nov. are recognized by the uniform dark chestnut carapace and the hairy legs; males are recognized by the shape of the RTA, the simple, not strongly swollen tegulum and the distal tegular protrusion (DTP); females by the epigyne provided with broad procurved scape and the wide but short median septum separating the small copulatory openings.

Etymology
The species name is an adjective referring to the country where it is found.

Material examined

Holotype
MOZAMBIQUE: ♂, Niassa region, Sanga Plateau, 12°24′00.7″ S, 35°20′07.0″ E, montane forest, pitfall with fence, 15 Nov. 2016, L. Geeraert and M. Jocqué leg. (RMCA_ARA_245528).

Paratypes
MOZAMBIQUE: 1 ♀, Niassa region, Njesi Plateau, 12°49′53.3″ S, 35°11′10.0″ E, montane forest, pitfall with fence, 24 Nov. 2016, L. Geeraert and M. Jocqué (RMCA_ARA_245530); 2 ♂♂, same locality as for holotype, Malaise trap, 18 Nov. 2016, L. Geeraert and M. Jocqué (RMCA_ARA_245538).

Description

Holotype (male)

COLOUR (Fig. 12A–B). Carapace dark chestnut brown with small pale brown patch at posterior margin. Chelicerae dark brown; endites brown, with distal half white; labium brown, with distal margin white; legs: tarsi brown with pale ring at base; metatarsi dark brown; tibia I with broad dark ring at base and distal extremity, orange in between, tibia II similar to I but orange part longer; tibiae III and IV: yellow with dark rings at base and distal extremity; trochanters orange with brown parts; coxae dark brown, with large ventral yellow patch; abdomen cream with dark median stripe ending in two triangles followed by interrupted chevrons; sides cream with longitudinal stripe all along; venter pale, with two faint longitudinal stripes; spinnerets greyish brown.

STERNUM. Elongated oval, with sinuous lateral margins, 1.42 wide, 2.13 long.

CHILUM. Two poorly defined triangles, each 0.07 high, 0.25 wide.

EYES. ALE 0.15; AME 0.18; PLE 0.18; PME 0.16; ALE–AME 0.03; AME–AME 0.07; PLE–PME 0.13; PME–PME 0.08. Clypeus 0.12 high.

LEG MEASUREMENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>11.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>7.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEGS. T and Mt covered with long hairs. Spination: with distal ventral spines Mt I: d3; Mt II: d2 sd1; Mt III: d3 sd1; Mt IV: d3 sd1; spines almost as long as diameter of segment.

MALE PALP (Figs 2D–E, 13A–C, 14A–B). RTA a long rectangular prong, distal end tapered to rounded tip; knob ventral of RTA base; tegulum not strongly swollen, with distal tegular protrusion (DTP); embolus thin, slightly curved, originating in centre on prolateral side of tegulum, directed forward; MA directed retrolaterad, thin, straight, with sharp tip; posterior part of tegulum bulging backward.

Paratype (female, RMCA_ARA_245530)


Colour (Fig. 12C–D). Carapace, chelicerae sternum and abdomen as in male. Legs less contrasted: entirely medium brown except femora yellow with dark rings at base and distal extremity and dark dorsal stripe, broadest on Fe I.

Sternum (Fig. 12D). Elongated oval with sinuous lateral margins, 1.42 wide, 2.13 long.

Chilum. Two poorly defined triangles, each 0.07 high, 0.25 wide.

Eyes. ALE 0.18; AME 0.16; PLE 0.16; PME 0.21; ALE–AME 0.02; AME–AME 0.12; PLE–PME 0.08; PME–PME 0.13. Clypeus 0.10 high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leg Measurements.</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13. Cicynethus mossambicus sp. nov. A–C. ♂, holotype (RMCA_ARA_245528). D–F. ♀, paratype (RMCA_ARA_245530). A. Male palp, ventral view. B. As preceding, retrolateral view. C. Distal tip of RTA, retrolateral view. D. Epigyne, ventral view. E. Epigyne, digested, ventral view. F. As preceding, dorsal view. Abbreviations: DTP = distal tegular protrusion; E = embolus; MA = median apophysis. Scale bars: 0.2 mm.
LEGS. T and Mt covered with long hairs. Spination: with distal ventral spines Mt I: d1; Mt II: d2 sd1, subdistal; Mt III: d3 sd1+1; Mt IV: d3 sd1+1; spines almost as long as diameter of segment.

EPIGYNE (Figs 13D–F, 14C). Transverse oval sclerotized area with broad procured scape, copulatory openings separated by thick but short median septum.

Distribution
Known from mountain area in northwest Mozambique (Fig. 22).

Fig. 14. Cicynethus mossambicus sp. nov., genitalia drawings. A–B, ♂, holotype (RMCA_ARA_245528). C, ♀, paratype (RMCA_ARA_245530). A. Male palp, ventral view. B. As preceding, retrolateral view. C. Epigyne, ventral view. Abbreviations: DTP = distal tegular protrusion; E = embolus; MA = median apophysis. Scale bars: 0.2 mm.
Natural history
Specimens were found by sieving forest litter and in a Malaise trap, which indicates that they are moving between the litter layer and the shrub layer.

*Cicynethus subtropicalis* (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov.
Figs 1A–G, 2F–H, 15–19, 20A–B, 21

*Chariobas subtropicalis* Lawrence, 1952: 195, fig. 25 (descr ♀).

Diagnosis
Both male and female of *Cicynethus subtropicalis* comb. nov. are recognized by the colour pattern of the legs and abdomen; the male palp is similar to that of *C. floriumfontis*, with the strongly protruding tegulum in which the sperm duct is visible on the prolateral side, but is distinguished by the shape of the RTA, with two ledges perpendicular to each other; the female by the details of the abdomen, with large atria and faint median septum emerging from the anterior margin of the posterior plate.

Material examined

**Holotype**

**Other material**
SOUTH AFRICA: **Kwazulu-Natal Province**: 1 ♂, Simangaliso Wetland Park, Mkhuze Game Reserve, 27°38′42″ S, 32°09′30″ E, pitfall trap, B13, 18 Jul. 2008, X. Combrink leg. (NCA 2016/842); 1 ♂, Ndumo Game Reserve, 26°53′37″ S, 32°15′18″ E, broadleaf woodland, sweepnet, 10 Feb. 2005, C. Haddad leg. (NCA 2008/4266); 1 ♂, deep sand forest, under tree bark, same collection data as for preceding but 11 Jan. 2007 (NCA 2007/3035); 1 ♀, Ndumo Game Reserve, 26°58′ S, 32°25′ E, on bush, 6 Dec. 2000, C. Haddad leg. (NCA 2005/1860); 1 ♀, Tembe Elephant Park, 27°02′56″ S, 32°25′20″ E, open woodland, searching shrub foliage, 9 Jan. 2009, C. Haddad leg. (NCA 2007/3203; drawings); 1 ♀, leaf litter, same collection data as for preceding but 21 Jan. 2002 (RMCA_ARA_211745; drawing; DNA extraction); 1 ♀, 1 juv., sweep grass and herbs, same collection data as for preceding but 12 Jan. 2002 (NCA 2007/3556; drawing); 2 ♀♂, Empangeni, 28°43′ S, 31°52′ E, bush, marshy ground, 17 May 1975, P. Reavell leg. (NCA 2000/438).

Description

**Holotype** (female, NMSA 1161)

**Measurements.** Total length: 11.50. Carapace: length 4.97, width 2.70, height 1.42.

**Colour** (Fig. 15D). Carapace medium brown, reddish brown around fovea; chelicerae reddish brown, with distal antero-median pale patch; endites and labium pale yellow; sternum brownish yellow in front to pale yellow at the back; legs greyish yellow, stronger first pair reddish brown from P onwards; abdomen uniform grey with ill-defined darker median dorsal stripe ending in white longitudinal bar.

**Sternum** (Fig. 16A). Elongated oval, 1.49 wide, 2.13 long. Precoxal sclerites at coxae II–IV.

**Eyes.** ALE 0.15; AME 0.16; PLE 0.15; PME 0.13; ALE–AME 0.05; AME–AME 0.05; PLE–PME 0.16; PME–PME 0.10. Clypeus 0.33 high, with several long setae.

**Chillum.** Poorly defined, two sclerites each 0.36 wide, 0.10 high.
LEGS SPINATION. Distal ventral spines Mt I: d3 sd1; Mt II: d3 sd1; Mt III: d2 sd1; Mt IV: d2 sd1–1.

EPIGYNE (Fig. 15A). With posterior, slightly procurved plate, in the middle produced into median septum, delimiting large atria; large dark area on either side.

Note
Since the holotype was collected more than 110 years ago, it is not surprising that is bleached. We therefore provide the description of a more recent female in addition to the description of a male.

**Male** (NCA 2016/842)

**Measurements.** Total length: 10.37. Carapace: length 4.97, width 2.91, height 0.78.

**Colour** (Fig. 15A). Carapace reddish brown with darker median band in front of fovea, widening towards the front, reaching eye region; dark marginal bands with irregularly serrated median margin; chelicerae reddish brown with distal median part white; endites yellowish orange; labium reddish brown; sternum reddish brown with darker margins in anterior half; legs: all F yellow with distal dorsal extremity dark brown, remainder of first leg pair reddish brown; second pair with P brown, T yellow, Mt and t brown; third and fourth pairs with P yellow with brown sides, remainder yellow to slightly orange on Mt and t; abdomen base colour cream; dorsum with three dark stripes, the median one divided by thin white line anteriorly and by large white bar in posterior third; venter with white mottling; spinnerets yellow.

**Sternum.** Elongated oval, 1.56 wide, 2.13 long. Precoxal sclerites absent.

**Chilum.** Poorly defined, two sclerites each 0.08 high, 0.30 wide.

**Eyes.** ALE 0.20; AME 0.16; PLE 0.20; PME 0.16; ALE–AME 0.07; AME–AME 0.07; PLE–PME 0.16; PME–PME 0.10. Clypeus 0.33 high, with several long setae.

---

LEGS. With numerous long, hinged hairs. All Mt with four distal ventral spines in a series 1–2–1 with central ones closely set behind each other.

MALE PALP (Figs 18A–I, 19A–D). RTA large, tapered to extremity with two ledges, one transverse, rounded, the other one perpendicular to that, bluntly pointed; cymbium short, with two distal spines; tegulum strongly protruding, sperm duct on prolateral side narrowed before entering strongly curved embolus; MA slightly curved, pointing outward.

**Female** (NCA 2005/1860)

MEASUREMENTS. Total length: 14.41. Carapace: length 5.98, width 3.20, height 1.42.

---

COLOUR (Figs 15E, 16B–C). Very similar to colour of male.

STERNUM. Elongated oval, 1.63 wide, 2.56 long. All coxae with precoxal sclerites.

CHILUM. Poorly defined, two sclerites, each 0.10 high, 0.31 wide.

EYES. ALE 0.21; AME 0.25; PLE 0.18; PME 0.16; ALE–AME 0.12; AME–AME 0.10; PLE–PME 0.25; PME–PME 0.13. Clypeus 0.38 high, with several long setae.

LEGS MEASUREMENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>12.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>9.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 20. A. Cicynethus cf. subtropicalis (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov., ♀, subadult, dorsal view (Port Elisabeth, MNHN AR 10010). B. As preceding, lateral view. C. Cicynethus peringueyi Simon, 1910, holotype, subadult ♀ (MNHN AR 3293), habitus, dorsal view. D. As preceding, lateral view. E. Cicynethus acanthopus Simon, 1910, holotype, subadult ♀ (MNHN AR 3290), dorsal view. F. As preceding, lateral view. Scale bars: 2 mm.
LEGS. Without hinged hairs. All Mt with four distal ventral spines in a series 1–2–1 with central ones closely set behind each other.

EPIGYNE (Fig. 17B). With wide posterior plate slightly procurved, in the middle produced into faint median septum delimiting large atria; large dark area on each side.

Variation

The colour of the live specimen (Fig. 15A–B) is quite aberrant from what is observed in specimens kept in ethanol (Fig. 15C–G). The carapace of the live specimen is almost uniform dark brown except for a small paler patch at the posterior margin, whereas in all the preserved specimens there is a clear pattern composed of three dark bands interrupted by stretches of medium brownish orange. Also, the abdominal pattern is somewhat different: the dorsolateral dark bands are less pronounced than in the collection specimens. Considering the locality where the live specimen was photographed, we assume that its colour pattern falls within the variation observed in the species, with the characteristic contrasting white posterior stretch of the median abdominal band (Fig. 15A–G). The epigyne is also subject to quite some variation, as shown in the pictures (Fig. 17A–F) and in the interpretation in the drawings (17G–H). Details of the male palp also vary to some extent. The inclination of the palp may lead to rather different observations and interpretations, mainly in connection with the details of the RTA apex (Figs 18B, E, G, 19B, D) and the curvature of the median apophysis (Figs 18A, C, F, 19A, C). The distal swelling of the MA (Figs 18C, 19A) seems absent in Figs 18F and 19C, but this is merely the result of the inclination at which the specimens is photographed or drawn.

Fig. 21. Distribution map: Cicynethus acer sp. nov. (●); C. decoratus (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. (●); C. floriumfontis Jocqué, 1991 (■); C. peringueyi Simon, 1910 (▲); C. subtropicalis (Lawrence, 1952) comb. nov. (●).
The female specimens from Empangeni (2000/438) have an epigyne in which the contours of the dark internal structure (Fig. 17E–F) look slightly different from those of the other specimens (Fig. 17A–D). However, since the colour pattern of all these specimens is similar and in the absence of males with different palps, we have refrained from describing another new species based on only two specimens.

**Distribution**

Known from several localities near the type locality in Kwazulu-Natal Province, South Africa (Fig. 21).

**Systematic notes**

*Cicynethus acanthopus* Simon, 1910, only known from a subadult female (Fig. 20E–F) from Namibia, is aberrant in many respects (see Jocqué 1991): the shape and the setation of the carapace, the shape of the labium, the height of the clypeus and the leg spination. All these characters point in the direction of another genus, not yet identified. We therefore consider *C. acanthopus* as ‘species incertae sedis’.

*Cicynethus hongfuchui* Barrion, Barrion-Dupo & Heong, 2013 is not a member of *Cicynethus* and most probably an elongated species of *Storenomorpha* Simon, 1884. In that genus, the posterior eye row is strongly recurved, whereas it is clearly procurred in *Cicynethus*. The colour pattern and number

---
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**Fig. 22.** Distribution of *Cicynethus mossambicus* sp. nov. (▲).
of spines on the legs, very few in Cicynethus, also point to Storenomorpha. One character mentioned by Barrion et al. (2013: 45), “maxillae yellow except short black serrula”, is puzzling because one of the synapomorphies of the family Zodariidae is the absence of a serrula. We here present the new combination Storenomorpha hongfuchui (Barrion, Barrion-Dupo & Heong, 2013) comb. nov.

Discussion

The only specimen of C. peringueyi is a juvenile (Fig. 20C–D) from Cape Town and it remains questionable whether it belongs to one of the species described on adults. Its pattern resembles that of C. floriumfontis and it might thus be either a senior synonym of that species or a species that has never been collected again. The juvenile specimen from Port Elizabeth (MNHN AR 10010), on the other hand, has a pattern (Fig. 20A–B) that is reminiscent of that of C. subtropicalis comb. nov., but the locality falls completely outside its presently known distribution area and is even separated from it by that of C. decoratus comb. nov. Considering the collecting efforts in the framework of the SANSA inventory (Dippenaar-Schoeman et al. 2015), it is surprising that no specimens of Cicynethus have been found in the vicinity of the two localities of these juveniles or along the coast in between these.

The microhabitat preference of Cicynethus is far from clear and puzzling. It is remarkable that most samples are small and there is not a single sample in which adults of both sexes are present. The majority of the specimens have been found at ground level, either in pitfall traps or by sifting leaf litter. But, as could be expected, other specimens were found in Malaise traps, or by sweeping the shrub layer. Representatives of the Storenomorphinae are, indeed, expected to live in tube-shaped retreats above ground level (Jocqué & Bosmans 1989; Leroy & Jocqué 1993; Jocqué 1994). However, the frequency with which Cicynethus is found in pitfalls might indicate that they have a mixed life style and spend part of their life cycle at ground level and part in the shrub layer. According to C. Haddad (pers. comm.), juveniles are primarily litter-dwellers and adults tend to move to foliage. The experience with C. subtropicalis comb. nov. has been to find adults in low shrubs (< 1.5m high) only, where they construct a tubular retreat, sticking several broad leaves together with silk. This observation is in accordance with what can be deduced from some of the samples listed above.

This brings us to the colour pattern. There is one large sample (n = 17) of C. decoratus comb. nov. in which both juveniles and one adult female are present (RMCA_ARA_131806). The colour pattern of the carapace of the juveniles is clearly different from that of the adult, which might point in the direction of a different life style. Yet, the colour pattern of the adults is apparently stable and clearly different between the species. This is quite unusual. Colour patterns are hardly ever used to a large extent to differentiate spiders living at ground level, and in the many revisions of Zodariidae, this character has been used only once, more precisely to differentiate some species in the key to females of Suffascar Henrard & Jocqué, 2017 (Henrard & Jocqué 2017: 533). Yet, in Cicynethus it is perfectly possible to combine the keys for males and females to identify the species almost solely on the base of their colour pattern. It was thus possible to identify the females from the Oribi Gorge Nature Reserve and Ingogo Forest Reserve as C. decoratus comb. nov. Surprisingly, the terminology used in both descriptions (the present one was carried out independently from the one by Lawrence 1952), is fairly different. This illustrates the phenomenon that there is hardly a standardized terminology to describe colour patterns.
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