Two new species of groundwater amphipods of the genus *Niphargus* Schiödte, 1849 from northwestern Iran
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Abstract. This study was conducted to describe and illustrate two new species of groundwater amphipods from the northern parts of the Zagros Mountains in West Azerbaijan Province, Iran. Mitochondrial (COI) and nuclear (28S rDNA) fragments as well as several morphological traits were used to characterize *Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov. and *Niphargus fiseri* sp. nov. The phylogenetic analyses showed that the nucleotide differences between the recently described species and their close allies are attributed to their distinctiveness. The molecular analysis also introduced that the new species are placed within the clade comprising Iranian species as a sister taxon. The genetic distances between *N. urmiensis* sp. nov. and *N. fiseri* sp. nov. are 7.6% and 1.6%, respectively based on the COI and 28S rDNA gene fragments.
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Introduction

Groundwater accounts for about 40% of inland water in the world (Castany 1982), containing habitats which are narrowly distributed with a high endemism and a high diversity of fauna communities (Humphreys 2006; Asmyhr *et al.* 2014; Glanville *et al.* 2016). Groundwater fauna involve nearly all main taxonomic groups examined in surface waters, but, unfortunately, are poorly surveyed (Deharveng *et al.* 2009).

It is important to understand species delimitation especially in groups which are common in groundwater habitats (Witt *et al.* 2006). In these environments, convergent selective pressures result in cryptic speciation (Jörger & Schrödl 2013). Therefore, cryptic species are difficult to distinguish based on morphological characteristics. Recently, increasing use of molecular techniques in taxonomy has resulted in detecting cryptic species, especially in underground groups (Eme *et al.* 2017; Stokkan *et al.* 2018).
Most of the groundwater animals within crustaceans belong to *Niphargus* Schiödte, 1849, which is one of the most various and species-rich taxa in groundwater habitats in the Western Palearctic (Väinölä *et al.* 2008; Fišer *et al.* 2009a). The members of this taxon inhabit subterranean waters and represent a part of the groundwater biodiversity (Fišer *et al.* 2007; Brad *et al.* 2015).

In terms of biodiversity richness, Iran is considered an extremely complex area with extreme distributions in terms of altitude, climate and temperature (Frey & Probst 1986). The high biodiversity of the Iranian fauna is also the result of its location and influences of four ecozones (Palaearctic, Nearctic, Afrotropical and Oriental) (Madjnoonian *et al.* 2005). Iran is located in the eastern part of the geographical range of the genus *Niphargus*. The first species reported from Iran was *N. valachicus* (Karaman, 1998). Up to now, 18 species have been described from north (two species), west (13 species), northwest (two species) and south (one species) (Karaman 1998; Esmaeili-Rineh *et al.* 2015a, 2016, 2018; Mamaghani-Shishvan *et al.* 2017). Despite the large groundwater aquifers in Iran, the study of these taxonomic groups in those environments is a rather new scientific field. Obviously, most of these species have been identified from various parts of the Zagros Mountains in western Iran.

Some important factors influence the frequency of niphargid populations, including the underlying geology, annual precipitation and the quality of water resources, especially in the karstic areas (White 2007). Climatic and hydrological conditions in northwest Iran (Raeisi 2004) are well suited for members of the genus *Niphargus*. Until now, no comprehensive research has been conducted in northwestern Iran and only *N. khwarizmi* Hekmatara, Zakšek, Heidari & Fišer, 2013 and *N. hosseiniei* Esmaeili-Rineh, Sari, Fišer & Bargrizaneh, 2017 have been described from the Kahriz and Brolan Springs in this region, respectively. Both species are widely distributed and endemic to Iran.

In this paper, the results of a recent research on the niphargid fauna from West Azerbaijan in the northwest of Iran are presented, and two new species identified in this region are described according to morphology and two mitochondrial (COI) and nuclear genes (28S rDNA).

**Material and methods**

**Morphological and morphometric studies**

The samples were provided from Badin Abad and Randole Springs in West Azerbaijan Province, Iran (Fig. 1). The material was collected using a hand net. Badin Abad and Randole Springs are located respectively in the proximity of Piranshahr and near to Oshnavieh in West Azerbaijan. The distance between the localities is about 4.3 km in a straight line. The material was examined morphologically and mounted on slides in Euparal® medium.

An Olympus LABOMED iVu7000 camera fitted on a LABOMED Lx500 stereo microscope was used to take the digital photos. The computer program ProgRes Capture Pro ver. 2.7 was used to perform the measurements and the counts (Fišer *et al.* 2009b). The morphological details were analyzed, carefully following Fišer *et al.* (2009b). According to Karaman (2018), the morphological terminology of setae on the mandibular palpus article 3 and in the propodus of gnathopods I–II was formulated. All material was deposited in the Zoological Collection, Razi University (ZCRU).

**Phylogenetic analyses and molecular divergence**

The total genomic DNA was extracted from a part of the animal using Tissue Kits (GenNet Bio™) following the manufacturer’s instructions to perform the molecular analyses (Seoul, Korea). The modified primer pair LCO1490-JJ and HCO2198-JJ was used to amplify the mitochondrial COI (Astrin & Stüben
Each 25 μl reaction contained optimized amounts of PCR water, 12.5 μl of Master Mix kit (Sinaclon, Iran), 0.2 μl of each primer (10 μM), and 50–100 ng of genomic DNA template. An initial denaturation step at 94°C for three minutes was followed by 36 cycles of 40 seconds at 94°C, 40 seconds at 52.5°C and two minutes at 65°C, with a final extension step for eight minutes at 65°C to amplify the COI gene. Cycling parameters for the 28S rDNA gene were as follows: initial denaturation of 94°C for seven minutes, 35 subsequent cycles of 94°C for 45 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for one minute, and a

final extension of 72°C for seven minutes. The purified PCR products were sequenced commercially by Macrogen Inc., Korea. Sequencing was performed using both primers mentioned above.

The acquired sequences (with GenBank accession numbers MK911603 to MK911612) were analyzed within the data set by Esmaeili-Rineh et al. (2015b), to identify the phylogenetic position of the newly discovered materials. The NCBI available sequences for three species, *Synurella ambulans* (F. Müller, 1846), *Pontogammarus crassus* (Sovinsky, 1904) and *Gammarus fossarum* Koch, 1836, were used as outgroups (accession numbers: KF719240, KF719242 and KF71924). All sequences were edited and aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994), as implemented in Bioedit ver. 7.0.5.3 program sequence alignment editor (Hall 1999) using the default settings. Alignments were concatenated and jModelTest ver. 0.1.1 (Posada 2008) was used under the Akaike information criterion (AIC) to select the optimal substitution model for each partition. The optimal substitution models were GTR+Γ and GTR+I+Γ for 28S and COI genes, respectively.

The phylogenetic form was reconstructed using the Bayesian inferences in Mr Bayes ver. 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). Bayesian analyses were implemented for five million generations, with four chains, and the trees were sampled every 1000 generations. The first 1250 sampled trees were discarded as burn-in, and the subsequent tree likelihoods were examined for convergence in Tracer program ver. 1.5.0 (Rambaut & Drummond 2009). The remaining trees visualized by FigTree ver. 1.4.0 were applied to calculate a 50% majority rule consensus tree. The data on analyzed species are available in the Electronic Supplement of Esmaeili-Rineh et al. (2015b, 2017a, 2017b). The corrected genetic distances were calculated using Kimura two-parameter (K2P) model (Kimura 1980) as implemented in MEGA ver. 5 software to measure the divergence from other, already described Iranian species of *Niphargus* (Tamura et al. 2011).

**Results**

**Phylogenetic position of the new species and their genetic distinctness**

The dataset used in phylogenetic analyses comprised individuals from Iran and a single specimen from Lebanon constituting a monophyletic group. According to Esmaeili-Rineh et al. (2015b), the eastern half of the genus range was colonized several times independently and constitutes an unresolved relationship to other European species of *Niphargus*.

A total of five individuals, two from Randole and three from Badin Abad Springs were sequenced and analyzed. The two specimens from the Randole population indicated unique haplotypes in terms of both fragments of COI (513 bp) and 28S (954 bp) genes. However, the three specimens from the Badin Abad population showed three and two haplotypes based on the COI and 28S genes, respectively. The phylogenetic analyses on 43 specimens consistently led to the placement of the two new species into a clade with a sister relationship within the Middle East clade. The precise position of this clade is unknown within the Middle East clade. The phylogenetic relationships between this clade (the two new species) and other clades in the Middle East clade were mostly not resolved according to the combined data of 28S and COI gene fragments (1467 bp) (Fig. 2).

The two new species are obviously different from all other Iranian species. Table 1 shows the pairwise Kimura two parameter genetic distances between *N. urmiensis* sp. nov., *N. fiseri* sp. nov. and all other species for two genes. *Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov. is the most genetically similar species to *N. fiseri* sp. nov. (7.6% and 1.6% in the studied COI and 28S rDNA genes fragment) and the most divergent species from *N. daniali* Esmaeili-Rineh & Sari, 2013 (18.6% and 10% based COI and 28S rDNA genes). In addition, the least genetic divergence to *N. fiseri* sp. nov. was observed in *N. urmiensis* sp. nov. (7.6%) and *N. alisadri* Esmaeili-Rineh & Sari, 2013 (1.3%) for COI and 28S rDNA, respectively. Also, *N. fiseri*
Fig. 2. Bayesian tree of 43 specimens as inferred from the 28S and COI gene sequences. Species are identified and named according to available taxonomic descriptions. Bayesian posterior probabilities more than 0.8 are indicated above branches.
Table 1. K2P genetic distances (%) between all Iranian species and the specimen from Lebanon of the genus *Niphargus* Schiödte, 1849 based on 28S ribosomal DNA gene (below diagonal) and mtDNA (COI) gene (above diagonal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: <em>N. kurdistanensis</em></td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: <em>N. alisadri</em></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: <em>N. darvishi</em></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: <em>N. borisi</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: <em>N. sharifi</em></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: <em>N. khwarizmi</em></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: <em>N. bistunicus</em></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: <em>N. khayami</em></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: <em>N. sohrevardensis</em></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: <em>N. rosseini</em></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: <em>N. persicus</em></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: <em>N. ilamensis</em></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: <em>N. daniali</em></td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: <em>Niphargus</em> Lebanon 0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: <em>N. sari</em></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: <em>N. kermanshahi</em></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: <em>N. hakani</em></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: <em>N. urumiensis</em> sp. nov. 2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19: <em>N. fisleri</em> 1 sp. nov. 1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: <em>N. fisleri</em> 2 sp. nov. 1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: <em>N. fisleri</em> 3 sp. nov. 1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sp. nov. indicate the most genetically divergent species from *N. daniali* (19.9% and 9.9% in the studied COI and 28S rDNA genes fragment).

**Species description**

Order Amphipoda Latreille, 1816  
Family Niphargidae Bousfield, 1977  
Genus *Niphargus* Schiödte, 1849

*Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov.  
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:7C655030-3855-4B46-85D1-76DB596428AA  
Figs 1, 3–6

**Diagnosis** (based on male only)

The palpus of maxilla I is short and does not reach the tip of the outer lobe. The size of coxae in gnathopods I and II is sub-similar. Gnathopods bear trapezoidal to rectangular shape of propodi. Gnathopds I to II dactyli have a single seta on the outer margin. Dorso-laterally, the urosomites I to II bear one and two setae, respectively. The inner ramus in uropod I is longer than the outer ramus. Epimeral plates I–II are partly angular but not produced. Lobes of telson have three distal spines each and no lateral spines. The telson cleft is more than half its length.

**Etymology**

The name ‘urmiensis’ refers to Urmia City, the center of West Azerbaijan province (Iran), where the species was found.

**Material examined**  

**Holotype**  
IRAN • ♂; West Azerbaijan Province, Oshnavieh City, Randole Spring; 37°03′25″ N, 45°02′48″ E; 10 Jul. 2016; M. Mamaghani-Shishvan leg.; ZCRU Amph.1071.

**Paratypes**  
IRAN • 1 ♂, 1 juv. (body length 6.8 and 3.8 mm, respectively); same data as for holotype; ZCRU Amph.1071.

**Description of holotype**

**Measurements.** The total length of the holotype is 8 mm. Head represents 17% of the total body length (Fig. 3C).

**Antennae.** Antenna I (Fig. 3A) is 0.51 times body length. Peduncular articles 1–3 progressively shorter; length of peduncular article 3 exceeds half of peduncular article 2 (ratio 1.00 : 1.80). Main flagellum with 18 articles (most with short setae), articles with up to one aesthetasc. Accessory flagellum bi-articulated and reaching ¼ of article 4 of main flagellum; both articles with two and one setae, respectively. Antenna II with flagellum formed of seven articles, approximately half as long as antenna I. Flagellum length is 0.79 times length of peduncle articles 4 +5. Peduncular article 4 of antenna II is longer than article 5 (1.3 : 1.00), peduncle articles 4 and 5 with seven and five groups of setae, respectively (Fig. 3B).

**Mouth parts.** Labium (Fig. 4C) bi-lobate; with fine setae on tip of outer lobes. Inner plate of maxilla I with two long apical setae, outer plate with seven long spines with 0-3-1-3-0-0-2 lateral projections; palp bi-articulated, short and not reaching tip of outer lobe, with seven apical setae (Fig. 3D–E). Both plates of maxilla II with numerous long distal and lateral setae (Fig. 4E). Mandible: right mandible with
Fig. 3. *Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1071). A. Antenna I. B. Antenna II. C. Head. D–E. Maxilla I. F. Left mandible. G. Right mandible. H. Mandibular palp. Scale bars: 1 = 0.25 mm (F–G); 2 = 0.5 mm (C–E); 3 = 1 mm (A–B).
Fig. 4. *Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1071). A. Gnathopod I. B. Gnathopod II. C. Labium. D. Maxilliped. E. Maxilla II. Scale bars: 1 = 0.5 mm (C–E); 2 = 1 mm (A–B).
four teeth on incisor process, lacinia mobilis pluritoothed and row of seven setae with lateral projections (Fig. 3F). Left mandible with five teeth on incisor process, lacinia mobilis with four teeth and row of eight setae with lateral projections (Fig. 3G). Mandibular palp articles 1:2:3 represent 20%, 31% and 49% of total palp length, respectively. Proximal article without setae; second article with four setae along inner margin and third article with one group of two A-setae, one group of B-setae, 12 D-setae and four E-setae (Fig. 3H). Maxilliped with short inner plate bearing four distal spines intermixed with five distal setae and one long lateral seta sub-distally; outer plate exceeding half of palp article 2, with eight spines along inner margin and three setae distally; maxilliped palp article 3 at outer margin with one proximal and one distal group of long setae; palp terminal article with three groups of setae at outer margin and one seta at base of nail, nail shorter than pedestal (Fig. 4D).

**GNATHOPODS.** Coxal plates of gnathopods I–II almost equal in size. Coxa of gnathopod I rectangular, longer than broad, anterior and ventral margins with five marginal setae. Basis with setae on anterior and posterior margins; ischium and merus with posterior group of setae. Carpus with one group of three setae antero-distally, bulge with long setae; carpus 0.55 times basis length and 0.87 times propodus length. Propodus of gnathopod I trapezoid shape and broader than long; anterior margin with four setae in one group in addition to antero-distal group of four setae. Palm convex, defined on outer surface by one strong long corner S-seta accompanied laterally by one L-seta with lateral projections and row of three facial M-setae, on inner surface by one short sub-corner R-seta. Dactylus reaching posterior margin of propodus, outer and inner margins of dactylus with one and four setae, respectively. Nail length 0.35 times total dactylus length (Fig. 4A). Coxal plate of gnathopod II with rectangular shape, longer than broad, anterior and ventral margins with seven setae. Basis with setae on anterior and posterior margins; ischium and merus with posterior group of setae. Carpus with one group of three setae antero-distally, bulge with long setae; carpus 0.69 times basis length and 0.85 times propodus length. Propodus longer than broad; anterior margin with two setae in one group in addition to antero-distal group of five setae. Palm slightly convex, defined on outer surface by one strong, long corner S-seta accompanied laterally by one L-seta with lateral projections and row of three facial M-setae, on inner surface by one short sub-corner R-seta. Dactylus reaching posterior margin of propodus, outer and inner margins of dactylus with one and four setae, respectively. Nail length 0.35 times total dactylus length (Fig. 4B). Coxal plate III rectangular, length to width ratio is 1.08 : 1; antero-ventro-posterior margin with six setae. Coxal plate IV rectangular, antero-ventral margin with four setae, posterior concavity shallow and approximately 0.1 times coxa width (Fig. 5A–B). Coxal plate V with five and one setae on anterior and posterior lobes, respectively. Coxal plate VI with one simple seta on posterior lobe. Coxal plate VII with one simple seta (Fig. 5C–E).

**PEREOPDS.** Pereopod III : IV length ratio is 1.05 : 1 (Fig. 5A–B). Dactylus IV short, dactylus length 0.54 times propodus length, nail shorter than pedestal (Fig. 5B). Pereopods V : VI : VII length ratios 1 : 1.17 : 1.22, respectively. Pereopod VII is 0.53 times total body length. Pereopod bases V–VI each with six groups of spines along anterior margins and with eight and seven setae along posterior margins, respectively. Pereopod basis VII with six groups of spines along anterior margin and seven setae along posterior margin, respectively (Fig. 5C–E). Postero-ventral lobe of ischium in pereopods V–VII developed. Ischium, merus and carpus in pereopods V–VII with several groups of spines and setae along anterior and posterior margins; propodus of pereopod VI longer than these in V and VII, dactyls of pereopods V–VII with one spine and one short seta at base of nail on inner margin, nail length of pereopod VII 0.3 times total dactylus length (Fig. 5C–E).

**EPIMERAL PLATES I–III** (Fig. 6G). With angular postero-ventral corner, postero-ventral corners of plates I–III posteriorly with two, three and three spines and setae, respectively. Epimeral plates II–III with one and two spines along of ventral margins, respectively.
PLEOPODS. Peduncle of pleopods I–III with two-hooked retinacles at distal part of inner margins. Peduncle of pleopod I with one seta along of outer margin. Peduncle of pleopod III with two setae along of inner margin (Fig. 6A–C); rami of pleopods I–III each with five to eight articles (Fig. 6A–C).

Fig. 5. Niphargus urmiensis sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1071). A. Pereopod III. B. Pereopod IV. C. Pereopod V. D. Pereopod VI. E. Pereopod VII. Scale bar: 1 mm.
Fig. 6. *Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1071). A. Pleopod I. B. Pleopod II. C. Pleopod III. D. Uropod I. E. Uropod II. F. Uropod III. G. Epimeral plates. H. Telson. Scale bars: 1 = 0.5 mm (G–H); 2 = 1 mm (A–E); 3 = 2 mm (F).
Pereonites. Pereonites I–VII without setae. Pleonites I–III each with one seta in middle of article on dorsal margin. Urosomites I–II with one and two setae on dorso-lateral margin, respectively. Urosomite III without setae. Urosomite I with one spine at base of uropod I.

Uropods. Peduncle of uropod I with six and four large spines along dorso-lateral and dorso-medial margins, respectively. Outer ramus of uropod I slightly shorter than inner ramus (ratio 1 : 1.06); inner ramus with one group of two spines laterally and four spines distally; outer ramus with two groups of two spines laterally and five spines distally (Fig. 6D). Inner ramus in uropod II longer than outer, both rami with lateral and distal long spines (Fig. 6E). Uropod III long, almost 0.42 times body length. Peduncle of uropod III with three spines, outer ramus bi-articulated, distal article 0.26 times proximal article. Proximal article of outer ramus bearing each six groups of spines along inner and outer margins (Fig. 6F); distal article with setae laterally and four setae distally. Inner ramus short, with one distal spine and one lateral seta. Telson longer than broad, lobes slightly narrowing; each lobe with three spines and one seta distally, with two plumose setae laterally (Fig. 6H).

Female
Unknown.

Remarks
*Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov. is characterized by two traits. The first one is the presence of one spine with lateral projections on the outer surface of the palmar corner in gnathopods I–II propodi. This character has been reported in *N. bisitunicus* Esmaeili-Rineh, Sari & Fišer, 2015 and *N. fiserti* sp. nov., however, both latter species bear the lateral spines in each telson lobe. Also, the maxillar palpus in *N. urmiensis* sp. nov. is short and not reaching the tip of the outer lobe of maxilla I, this character is rare among niphargids, but it has been reported in *N. kermanshahi* Esmaeili-Rineh, Heidari, Fišer & Akmali, 2016, *N. sohrevardensis* Esmaeili-Rineh, Sari, Fišer & Bargrizaneh, 2017, *N. borisi* Esmaeili-Rineh, Sari & Fišer, 2015 and *N. ilamensis* Esmaeili-Rineh, Sari, Fišer & Bargrizaneh, 2017 from Iran and *N. auerbachi* Schellenberg, 1934 from Switzerland. *Niphargus urmiensis* sp. nov., however, differs in the lower numbers of spines on the outer surface of the palmar corner of gnathopods I–II.

*Niphargus fiserti* sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1C9668BC-69FD-4B1F-B08C-27C92D10EF05
Figs 1, 7–10

Diagnosis (based on male only)
Antenna I is shorter than half of the total body length. The palpus of maxilla I is as long as the outer lobe. The palmar corner of gnathopods I–II has two short supporting spines. Gnathopods I–II bear trapezoidal shape of propodi. Gnathopods I–II dactyli have a single seta on the outer margin. Pereopod VI is longer than pereopod VII. Urosomite I bears one simple seta and urosomite II bears two spines on dorso-lateral margin. The outer ramus in uropod I is shorter than the inner ramus. Epimeral plates I–II are partly pointed. The lobes of the telson bear three distal and one marginal spines. The telson cleft is more than half of the telson length.

Etymology
The species is named in honor of Dr. Cene Fišer, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia who dedicated his research to the examination and exploration of subterranean amphipod diversity.
Material examined

Holotype
IRAN • ♂; West Azerbaijan Province, Piranshahr City, Badin Abad Spring; 36°34’55″ N, 45°10’34″ E; 24 Aug. 2016; M. Mamaghani-Shishvan leg.; ZCRU Amph.1073.

Paratypes
IRAN • 2 ♀♀ (body length between 6.8 and 7.5 mm); same data as for holotype; ZCRU Amph.1073.

Description of holotype

Measurements. Total male body length is 8 mm. Head represents 18% of total body length (Fig. 7C). Antenna I is 0.39 times body length. Peduncular articles 1–3 progressively shorter; length of peduncular articles 3 exceeds half of peduncular article 2 (ratio 1.21 : 1); main flagellum with 16 articles (most with short setae), articles with up to one aesthetasc. Accessory flagellum bi-articulated and reaching ¼ of article 4 of main flagellum, with one and two setae, respectively (Fig. 7A).

Antennae. Antenna II with flagellum formed of seven articles, approximately half as long as antenna I. Flagellum length is 0.90 of length of peduncle article 4+5. Peduncular article 4 slightly longer than article 5, with seven and five groups of setae, respectively (Fig. 7B).

Mouth parts. Labium (Fig. 8D) bi-lobate; with setae on the tip of lobes. Inner plate of maxilla I with two long apical setae; outer plate with seven spines with 3-2-2-1-0-1-0 lateral projections; palp bi-articulated, as long as outer lobe, with three long apical setae (Fig. 7D–E). Both plates of maxilla II with numerous distal setae and two lateral setae (Fig. 8E). Left mandible with five teeth on incisor process, lacinia mobilis with four teeth and a row of seven setae with lateral projections (Fig. 7F). Right mandible with four teeth on incisor process, lacinia mobilis pluritoothed and row of five setae with lateral projections (Fig. 7G). Mandibular palp articles 1 : 2 : 3 represent 22%, 35% and 43% of total palp length. Proximal article without setae, second article with five setae along inner margin and third article with one group of two A-setae, two groups of B-setae, no C-setae, 17 D-setae and five E-setae (Fig. 7H). Maxilliped with normal inner plate on which four distal spines intermixed with five distal and one simple long lateral setae subdistally; outer plate exceeding half of posterior margin of palp article 2, with nine spines along inner margin and three simple setae distally. Maxilliped palp article 3 with one proximal, inner and outer group of long simple setae at outer margin; palp terminal article with one simple seta at outer margin, nail shorter than pedestal (Fig. 8C).

Gnathopods. Gnathopod II larger than gnathopod I. Coxal plate of gnathopod I rounded, broader than long. Coxa I ventral margin with four setae. Basis with anterior and posterior margins; ischium and merus with posterior group of setae. Carpus with one group of three setae antero-distally, bulge with long setae; carpus 0.53 times basis length and 0.88 times propodus length. Propodus longer than broad; anterior margin with three setae in one group in addition to antero-distal group of five setae. Palm slightly convex, defined on outer surface by one strong long corner S-seta accompanied laterally by one L-seta with lateral projections and row of three facial M-setae and by two short sub-corner R-setae on inner surface. Dactylus reaching posterior margin of propodus, outer and inner margins with row of one and two setae, respectively; nail short, 0.45 times total dactylus length (Fig. 8A). Coxal plate of gnathopod II slightly rounded, with five setae along antero-ventro-posterior margins. Basis with setae along anterior and posterior margins; posterior margins of ischium and merus with one posterior group of setae each. Carpus 0.64 times basis length and 0.90 times propodus length. Carpus with one group of two setae antero-distally. Propodus in gnathopod II larger than gnathopod I, rectangular and longer than broad; anterior margin with two setae in one group in addition to antero-distal group of four setae. Palm convex, defined on outer surface by one strong long corner S-seta accompanied laterally by one L-seta with lateral projections and row of three facial M-setae, on inner surface by two short sub-corner
Fig. 7. *Niphargus fiseri* sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1073). A. Antenna I. B. Antenna II. C. Head. D–E. Maxilla I. F. Left mandible. G. Right mandible. H. Mandibular palp. Scale bars: 1 = 0.25 mm (F–G); 2 = 0.5 mm (C–E, H); 3 = 1 mm (A–B).
R-setae. Dactylus reaching posterior margin of propodus, outer and inner margins of dactylus with one and three setae, respectively. Nail length 0.45 of total dactylus length (Fig. 8B). Coxal plate III with rectangular shape, length to width ratio is 1.42 : 1; antero-ventral margin with five setae. Coxal plate IV with rectangular shape, ventral margin with four setae, posterior concavity shallow and approximately 0.1 of coxa width (Fig. 9A–B). Coxal plates V–VI with two and one setae on anterior and posterior lobes, respectively. Coxal plate VII with one seta (Fig. 9C–E).

Fig. 8. *Niphargus fixeri* sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1073). A. Gnathopod I. B. Gnathopod II. C. Maxilliped. D. Labium. E. Maxilla II. Scale bars: 1 = 0.5 mm (C–E); 2 = 1 mm (A–B).
Fig. 9. *Niphargus fiseri* sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1073). A. Pereopod III. B. Pereopod IV. C. Pereopod V. D. Pereopod VI. E. Pereopod VII. Scale bar = 1 mm.
PEREOPDS. Pereopod III : IV length ratio 1.03 : 1 (Fig. 9A–B). Dactylus IV short, dactylus length 0.43 times propodus length, nail shorter than pedestal (Fig. 9B). Pereopods V : VI : VII length ratios 1 : 1.27 : 1.15, respectively. Pereopod VII 0.45 times body length. Pereopod bases V and VII each with five groups of spines along anterior margins and with eight setae along posterior margins, respectively (Fig. 9C, E). Pereopod base VI with six groups of spines along posterior margin and with eight setae along anterior margin (Fig. 9D). Postero-ventral lobe of ischium in pereopods V–VII developed. Ischium, merus and carpus in pereopods V–VII with several groups of spines and setae along anterior and posterior margins; propodus of pereopod VII longer than these in V–VI, dactyls of pereopods V–VII with one spine and one short seta at base of nail on inner margin, nail length of pereopod VII 0.50 times of total dactylus length (Fig. 9C–E).

EPIMERAL PLATES I–III (Fig. 10G). With angular postero-ventral corner, anterior and ventral margins convex; postero-ventral corners of plates I–III posteriorly with two, two and three spines and setae, respectively. Epimaler plates II–III each with two spines along of ventral margins. Peduncle of pleopods I–III with two-hooked retinacles at distal part of inner margins. Peduncle of pleopods II–III with one and two setae along of inner margin (Fig. 10A–C); rami of pleopods I–III each with five to nine articles (Fig. 10A–C).

PEREONITES. Pereonites I–VII without setae. Pleonites I–III each with one seta in middle of article on dorsal margin. Urosomites I–II with one seta and two spines dorso-laterally, respectively. Urosomite III without setae. Urosomite I with one spine at base of uropod I.

UROPDS. Peduncle of uropod I with four and three large spines along dorso-lateral and dorso-medial margins, respectively. Inner ramus of uropod I longer than outer ramus (ratio 1 : 1.04); inner ramus with two groups of three spines laterally and five spines distally; outer ramus with two groups of three spines laterally and five spines distally (Fig. 10D). Inner ramus in uropod II longer than outer, both rami with lateral and distal long spines (Fig. 10E). Uropod III normal, almost 0.40 times body length. Peduncle of uropod III with five spines, outer ramus bi-articulated, distal 0.17 proximal articles. The proximal article of outer ramus bearing five and four groups of spines along inner and outer margins, respectively (Fig. 10E); distal article with four setae distally. Inner ramus short, with one distal spine and one lateral seta. Telson two times as long as broad, lobes slightly narrowing; each lobe with three spines distally, with one long spine and two plumose setae laterally (Fig. 10H).

Female
Unknown.

Remarks
Niphargus fiseri sp. nov. is diaNOized mainly by two characters. The first one is the presence of two supporting spines (R-setae sensu Karaman 2018) in both gnathopods, so far observed only in one species from Europe (N. kenki Karaman, 1952), but it seems to be more common in Iran. This character has been reported in N. bistunicus Esmaeili-Rineh, Sari & Fišer, 2015 and N. lorestanensis Esmaeili-Rineh, 2018. However, in the latter two species, the propodi in gnathopod I are more rectangular and more elongated. The second character is the length of the nail to pedestal ratio in the dactyli of gnathopods I to II that includes more than ⅓ of the dactyli in N. fiseri sp. nov. So far, this character has not been described in Iranian species.

Discussion
The West Azerbaijan Province is located in northwest of Iran between 35°58′ to 39°46′ N and 44°03′ to 47°23′ E. The populations collected in this study showed the presence of two new species of the genus
Fig. 10. Niphargus fiseri sp. nov., holotype, ♂, 8 mm (ZCRU Amph.1073). A. Pleopod I. B. Pleopod II. C. Pleopod III. D. Uropod I. E. Uropod II. F. Uropod III. G. Epimeral plates. H. Telson. Scale bars: 1 = 0.5 mm (G–H); 2 = 1 mm (A–E); 3 = 2 mm (F).
Niphargus. The DNA sequences confirmed the related taxonomic position of N. urmiensis sp. nov. and N. fizeri sp. nov. The Bayesian analysis showed that the two new species are phylogenetically different from other related species.

Genetically, based on COI and 28S rDNA genes (Table 1), N. urmiensis sp. nov. and N. alisadri are the most similar species to N. fizeri sp. nov. Niphargus fizeri sp. nov. resembles N. alisadri in terms of equal length of the maxillary palpus to outer plate in maxilla I and the number of distal spines on the telson lobes (each with three distal spines). However, epimeral plates I–III are inclined in N. fizeri sp. nov. and there is a lower number of spines on the ventral margin of epimeral plates II–III. In comparison, N. alisadri bears pointed epimeral plates I–III with more spines on the ventral margin of epimeral plates II–III. The gnathopods in N. alisadri bear rectangular- to triangular-shaped propodi, but these structures in N. fizeri sp. nov. are trapezoidal-shaped. Moreover, N. fizeri sp. nov. is separated from N. alisadri in terms of the number of spines and simple setae on the dorso-lateral surface of urosomites I–III. Also, N. fizeri sp. nov. bears one lateral spine in each telson lobe, but there are two lateral spines in N. alisadri (Esmaeili-Rineh & Sari 2013).

Nevertheless, N. urmiensis sp. nov. and N. fizeri sp. nov. share several morphological features regarding the number of spines on the outer surface of the palmar corner in gnathopods I–II propodi (each with one spine), the number of distal spines in the telson lobes (each with three spines), the longer size of the inner to outer ramus in uropod I, and reaching the dactyli at the posterior margin of gnathopods I–II propodi. However, N. urmiensis sp. nov. differs from N. fizeri sp. nov. in a number of features, such as the absence of lateral spines on the telson: there is one lateral spine in each telson lobe in N. fizeri sp. nov. Outer plate to palpus ratio in maxilla I is shorter in N. urmiensis sp. nov. compared with N. fizeri sp. nov.

In N. fizeri sp. nov., epimeral plates I–III are inclined whereas N. urmiensis sp. nov. has partly angular epimeral plate I–III. Also, multiple spines were observed in N. fizeri sp. nov. on the medial surface of the palmar corner (two supporting spines), a feature which was not observed in N. urmiensis sp. nov. The mentioned feature has been reported so far from one species in Europe (N. kenki) only, but it seems to be more common in Iran (Esmaeili-Rineh et al. 2015b, 2016, 2017b). The shape of gnathopod II is highly different between the two species. The propodi of N. fizeri sp. nov. are broader, whereas those in N. urmiensis sp. nov. are more rectangular and longer. The two new species differ in terms of the number of spines and simple setae on the dorso-lateral urosomites I–III margin.

These differences developed when the amphipod species become reproductively isolated (Fišer et al. 2017). In addition to the morphological distinctiveness, the molecular divergence further supported the hypothesis that N. urmiensis sp. nov. and N. fizeri sp. nov. deserve an independent species status.

Acknowledgements

This project was financially supported by Razi University as a part of the MSc thesis of first author. Special thanks go to Dr. Vahid Akmali for his help regarding molecular analyses. We are especially grateful to two anonymous referees for their valuable comments.

References


*Manuscript received: 24 January 2019*

*Manuscript accepted: 1 July 2019*

*Published on: 27 August 2019*

*Topic editor: Rudy Jocqué*

*Desk editor: Pepe Fernández*

Printed versions of all papers are also deposited in the libraries of the institutes that are members of the *EJT* consortium: Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, France; Meise Botanic Garden, Belgium; Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium; Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain; Real Jardín Botánico de Madrid CSIC, Spain; Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany; National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic.